

ZF

Lawrence C Paulson and others

November 22, 2007

Contents

1	Zermelo-Fraenkel Set Theory	11
1.1	Substitution	17
1.2	Bounded universal quantifier	17
1.3	Bounded existential quantifier	18
1.4	Rules for subsets	18
1.5	Rules for equality	19
1.6	Rules for Replace – the derived form of replacement	19
1.7	Rules for RepFun	20
1.8	Rules for Collect – forming a subset by separation	20
1.9	Rules for Unions	21
1.10	Rules for Unions of families	21
1.11	Rules for the empty set	21
1.12	Rules for Inter	22
1.13	Rules for Intersections of families	22
1.14	Rules for Powersets	23
1.15	Cantor’s Theorem: There is no surjection from a set to its powerset.	23
2	Unordered Pairs	23
2.1	Unordered Pairs: constant <i>Upair</i>	23
2.2	Rules for Binary Union, Defined via <i>Upair</i>	24
2.3	Rules for Binary Intersection, Defined via <i>Upair</i>	24
2.4	Rules for Set Difference, Defined via <i>Upair</i>	24
2.5	Rules for <i>cons</i>	25
2.6	Singletons	25
2.7	Descriptions	26
2.8	Conditional Terms: <i>if–then–else</i>	26
2.9	Consequences of Foundation	27
2.10	Rules for Successor	28
2.11	Miniscoping of the Bounded Universal Quantifier	29
2.12	Miniscoping of the Bounded Existential Quantifier	29

2.13	Miniscoping of the Replacement Operator	30
2.14	Miniscoping of Unions	31
2.15	Miniscoping of Intersections	31
2.16	Other simprules	32
3	Ordered Pairs	32
3.1	Sigma: Disjoint Union of a Family of Sets	33
3.2	Projections <i>fst</i> and <i>snd</i>	34
3.3	The Eliminator, <i>split</i>	34
3.4	A version of <i>split</i> for Formulae: Result Type <i>o</i>	35
4	Basic Equalities and Inclusions	35
4.1	Bounded Quantifiers	35
4.2	Converse of a Relation	36
4.3	Finite Set Constructions Using <i>cons</i>	36
4.4	Binary Intersection	38
4.5	Binary Union	39
4.6	Set Difference	40
4.7	Big Union and Intersection	42
4.8	Unions and Intersections of Families	43
4.9	Image of a Set under a Function or Relation	50
4.10	Inverse Image of a Set under a Function or Relation	51
4.11	Powerset Operator	52
4.12	RepFun	53
4.13	Collect	53
5	Least and Greatest Fixed Points; the Knaster-Tarski Theorem	54
5.1	Monotone Operators	55
5.2	Proof of Knaster-Tarski Theorem using <i>lfp</i>	55
5.3	General Induction Rule for Least Fixedpoints	56
5.4	Proof of Knaster-Tarski Theorem using <i>gfp</i>	57
5.5	Coinduction Rules for Greatest Fixed Points	58
6	Booleans in Zermelo-Fraenkel Set Theory	59
6.1	Laws About 'not'	61
6.2	Laws About 'and'	61
6.3	Laws About 'or'	61
7	Disjoint Sums	62
7.1	Rules for the <i>Part</i> Primitive	63
7.2	Rules for Disjoint Sums	63
7.3	The Eliminator: <i>case</i>	64
7.4	More Rules for <i>Part</i> (<i>A</i> , <i>h</i>)	65

8	Functions, Function Spaces, Lambda-Abstraction	66
8.1	The Pi Operator: Dependent Function Space	66
8.2	Function Application	67
8.3	Lambda Abstraction	68
8.4	Extensionality	69
8.5	Images of Functions	70
8.6	Properties of $\text{restrict}(f, A)$	71
8.7	Unions of Functions	72
8.8	Domain and Range of a Function or Relation	72
8.9	Extensions of Functions	73
8.10	Function Updates	73
8.11	Monotonicity Theorems	74
8.11.1	Replacement in its Various Forms	74
8.11.2	Standard Products, Sums and Function Spaces	75
8.11.3	Converse, Domain, Range, Field	75
8.11.4	Images	75
9	Quine-Inspired Ordered Pairs and Disjoint Sums	76
9.1	Quine ordered pairing	77
9.1.1	QSigma: Disjoint union of a family of sets Generalizes Cartesian product	77
9.1.2	Projections: qfst , qsnd	78
9.1.3	Eliminator: qsplit	78
9.1.4	qsplit for predicates: result type o	79
9.1.5	qconverse	79
9.2	The Quine-inspired notion of disjoint sum	80
9.2.1	Eliminator – qcase	81
9.2.2	Monotonicity	82
10	Inductive and Coinductive Definitions	82
11	Injections, Surjections, Bijections, Composition	82
11.1	Surjections	83
11.2	Injections	84
11.3	Bijections	84
11.4	Identity Function	85
11.5	Converse of a Function	85
11.6	Converses of Injections, Surjections, Bijections	86
11.7	Composition of Two Relations	86
11.8	Domain and Range – see Suppes, Section 3.1	87
11.9	Other Results	87
11.10	Composition Preserves Functions, Injections, and Surjections	87
11.11	Dual Properties of inj and surj	88
11.11.1	Inverses of Composition	88

11.11.2	Proving that a Function is a Bijection	89
11.11.3	Unions of Functions	89
11.11.4	Restrictions as Surjections and Bijections	89
11.11.5	Lemmas for Ramsey's Theorem	90
12	Relations: Their General Properties and Transitive Closure	90
12.1	General properties of relations	91
12.1.1	irreflexivity	91
12.1.2	symmetry	91
12.1.3	antisymmetry	91
12.1.4	transitivity	92
12.2	Transitive closure of a relation	92
13	Well-Founded Recursion	96
13.1	Well-Founded Relations	96
13.1.1	Equivalences between <i>wf</i> and <i>wf-on</i>	96
13.1.2	Introduction Rules for <i>wf-on</i>	97
13.1.3	Well-founded Induction	97
13.2	Basic Properties of Well-Founded Relations	98
13.3	The Predicate <i>is-recfun</i>	99
13.4	Recursion: Main Existence Lemma	99
13.5	Unfolding <i>wftrec</i> (<i>r</i> , <i>a</i> , <i>H</i>)	100
13.5.1	Removal of the Premise <i>trans</i> (<i>r</i>)	100
14	Transitive Sets and Ordinals	101
14.1	Rules for Transset	101
14.1.1	Three Neat Characterisations of Transset	101
14.1.2	Consequences of Downwards Closure	102
14.1.3	Closure Properties	102
14.2	Lemmas for Ordinals	103
14.3	The Construction of Ordinals: 0, succ, Union	103
14.4	<i>j</i> is 'less Than' for Ordinals	104
14.5	Natural Deduction Rules for Memrel	105
14.6	Transfinite Induction	106
14.6.1	Proving That <i>j</i> is a Linear Ordering on the Ordinals	107
14.6.2	Some Rewrite Rules for <i>j</i> , <i>le</i>	107
14.7	Results about Less-Than or Equals	108
14.7.1	Transitivity Laws	108
14.7.2	Union and Intersection	109
14.8	Results about Limits	110
14.9	Limit Ordinals – General Properties	111
14.9.1	Traditional 3-Way Case Analysis on Ordinals	112

15 Special quantifiers	113
15.1 Quantifiers and union operator for ordinals	113
15.1.1 simplification of the new quantifiers	114
15.1.2 Union over ordinals	114
15.1.3 universal quantifier for ordinals	115
15.1.4 existential quantifier for ordinals	115
15.1.5 Rules for Ordinal-Indexed Unions	116
15.2 Quantification over a class	116
15.2.1 Relativized universal quantifier	117
15.2.2 Relativized existential quantifier	117
15.2.3 One-point rule for bounded quantifiers	119
15.2.4 Sets as Classes	119
16 The Natural numbers As a Least Fixed Point	120
16.1 Injectivity Properties and Induction	121
16.2 Variations on Mathematical Induction	122
16.3 quasinat: to allow a case-split rule for <i>nat-case</i>	123
16.4 Recursion on the Natural Numbers	124
17 Epsilon Induction and Recursion	124
17.1 Basic Closure Properties	125
17.2 Leastness of <i>eclose</i>	126
17.3 Epsilon Recursion	126
17.4 Rank	127
17.5 Corollaries of Leastness	129
18 Partial and Total Orderings: Basic Definitions and Properties	130
18.1 Immediate Consequences of the Definitions	131
18.2 Restricting an Ordering's Domain	132
18.3 Empty and Unit Domains	133
18.3.1 Relations over the Empty Set	133
18.3.2 The Empty Relation Well-Orders the Unit Set	133
18.4 Order-Isomorphisms	134
18.5 Main results of Kunen, Chapter 1 section 6	135
18.6 Towards Kunen's Theorem 6.3: Linearity of the Similarity Relation	137
18.7 Miscellaneous Results by Krzysztof Grabczewski	138
19 Combining Orderings: Foundations of Ordinal Arithmetic	139
19.1 Addition of Relations – Disjoint Sum	139
19.1.1 Rewrite rules. Can be used to obtain introduction rules	139
19.1.2 Elimination Rule	140
19.1.3 Type checking	140

19.1.4	Linearity	140
19.1.5	Well-foundedness	140
19.1.6	An <i>ord-iso</i> congruence law	140
19.1.7	Associativity	141
19.2	Multiplication of Relations – Lexicographic Product	141
19.2.1	Rewrite rule. Can be used to obtain introduction rules	141
19.2.2	Type checking	141
19.2.3	Linearity	141
19.2.4	Well-foundedness	142
19.2.5	An <i>ord-iso</i> congruence law	142
19.2.6	Distributive law	143
19.2.7	Associativity	143
19.3	Inverse Image of a Relation	143
19.3.1	Rewrite rule	143
19.3.2	Type checking	143
19.3.3	Partial Ordering Properties	143
19.3.4	Linearity	144
19.3.5	Well-foundedness	144
19.4	Every well-founded relation is a subset of some inverse image of an ordinal	144
19.5	Other Results	145
19.5.1	The Empty Relation	145
19.5.2	The "measure" relation is useful with wfrec	146
19.5.3	Well-foundedness of Unions	146
19.5.4	Bijections involving Powersets	146
20	Order Types and Ordinal Arithmetic	147
20.1	Proofs needing the combination of Ordinal.thy and Order.thy	148
20.2	Ordermap and ordertype	148
20.2.1	Unfolding of ordermap	148
20.2.2	Showing that ordermap, ordertype yield ordinals	149
20.2.3	ordermap preserves the orderings in both directions	149
20.2.4	Isomorphisms involving ordertype	149
20.2.5	Basic equalities for ordertype	150
20.2.6	A fundamental unfolding law for ordertype.	150
20.3	Alternative definition of ordinal	151
20.4	Ordinal Addition	151
20.4.1	Order Type calculations for radd	151
20.4.2	ordify: trivial coercion to an ordinal	152
20.4.3	Basic laws for ordinal addition	152
20.4.4	Ordinal addition with successor – via associativity!	153
20.5	Ordinal Subtraction	155
20.6	Ordinal Multiplication	155
20.6.1	A useful unfolding law	155

20.6.2	Basic laws for ordinal multiplication	156
20.6.3	Ordering/monotonicity properties of ordinal multiplication	157
20.7	The Relation Lt	158
21	Finite Powerset Operator and Finite Function Space	158
21.1	Finite Powerset Operator	159
21.2	Finite Function Space	160
21.3	The Contents of a Singleton Set	161
22	Cardinal Numbers Without the Axiom of Choice	161
22.1	The Schroeder-Bernstein Theorem	162
22.2	lesspoll: contributions by Krzysztof Grabczewski	164
22.3	The finite cardinals	167
22.4	The first infinite cardinal: Omega, or nat	169
22.5	Towards Cardinal Arithmetic	169
22.6	Lemmas by Krzysztof Grabczewski	170
22.7	Finite and infinite sets	170
23	The Cumulative Hierarchy and a Small Universe for Recursive Types	173
23.1	Immediate Consequences of the Definition of $Vfrom(A, i)$	174
23.1.1	Monotonicity	174
23.1.2	A fundamental equality: $Vfrom$ does not require ordinals!	174
23.2	Basic Closure Properties	175
23.2.1	Finite sets and ordered pairs	175
23.3	0, Successor and Limit Equations for $Vfrom$	175
23.4	$Vfrom$ applied to Limit Ordinals	175
23.4.1	Closure under Disjoint Union	176
23.5	Properties assuming $Transset(A)$	177
23.5.1	Products	177
23.5.2	Disjoint Sums, or Quine Ordered Pairs	178
23.5.3	Function Space!	178
23.6	The Set $Vset(i)$	178
23.6.1	Characterisation of the elements of $Vset(i)$	178
23.6.2	Reasoning about Sets in Terms of Their Elements' Ranks	179
23.6.3	Set Up an Environment for Simplification	179
23.6.4	Recursion over $Vset$ Levels!	179
23.7	The Datatype Universe: $univ(A)$	180
23.7.1	The Set $univ(A)$ as a Limit	180
23.8	Closure Properties for $univ(A)$	180
23.8.1	Closure under Unordered and Ordered Pairs	181
23.8.2	The Natural Numbers	181

23.8.3	Instances for 1 and 2	181
23.8.4	Closure under Disjoint Union	182
23.9	Finite Branching Closure Properties	182
23.9.1	Closure under Finite Powerset	182
23.9.2	Closure under Finite Powers: Functions from a Natu- ral Number	182
23.9.3	Closure under Finite Function Space	182
23.10*	For QUniv. Properties of Vfrom analogous to the "take- lemma" *	183
24	A Small Universe for Lazy Recursive Types	184
24.1	Properties involving Transset and Sum	184
24.2	Introduction and Elimination Rules	184
24.3	Closure Properties	185
24.4	Quine Disjoint Sum	185
24.5	Closure for Quine-Inspired Products and Sums	185
24.6	Quine Disjoint Sum	186
24.7	The Natural Numbers	186
24.8	"Take-Lemma" Rules	187
25	Datatype and CoDatatype Definitions	187
26	Arithmetic Operators and Their Definitions	187
26.1	<i>natify</i> , the Coercion to <i>nat</i>	189
26.2	Typing rules	190
26.3	Addition	191
26.4	Monotonicity of Addition	192
26.5	Multiplication	195
27	Arithmetic with simplification	196
27.1	Difference	196
27.2	Remainder	197
27.3	Division	197
27.4	Further Facts about Remainder	198
27.5	Additional theorems about \leq	199
27.6	Cancellation Laws for Common Factors in Comparisons	200
27.7	More Lemmas about Remainder	201
27.7.1	More Lemmas About Difference	202
28	Lists in Zermelo-Fraenkel Set Theory	203
28.1	The function zip	216

29	Equivalence Relations	221
29.1	Suppes, Theorem 70: r is an equiv relation iff $\text{converse}(r) \circ r = r$	222
29.2	Defining Unary Operations upon Equivalence Classes	224
29.3	Defining Binary Operations upon Equivalence Classes	224
30	The Integers as Equivalence Classes Over Pairs of Natural Numbers	225
30.1	Proving that <i>intrel</i> is an equivalence relation	227
30.2	Collapsing rules: to remove <i>intify</i> from arithmetic expressions	228
30.3	<i>zminus</i> : unary negation on <i>int</i>	229
30.4	<i>znegative</i> : the test for negative integers	230
30.5	<i>nat-of</i> : Coercion of an Integer to a Natural Number	230
30.6	<i>zmagnitude</i> : magnitude of an integer, as a natural number	231
30.7	<i>op \$+</i> : addition on <i>int</i>	232
30.8	<i>op \$×</i> : Integer Multiplication	234
30.9	The "Less Than" Relation	236
30.10	Less Than or Equals	237
30.11	More subtraction laws (for <i>zcompare-rls</i>)	238
30.12	Monotonicity and Cancellation Results for Instantiation of the CancelNumerals Simprocs	239
30.13	Comparison laws	240
30.13.1	More inequality lemmas	240
30.13.2	The next several equations are permutative: watch out!	240
31	Arithmetic on Binary Integers	240
31.0.3	The Carry and Borrow Functions, <i>bin-succ</i> and <i>bin-pred</i>	243
31.0.4	<i>bin-minus</i> : Unary Negation of Binary Integers	243
31.0.5	<i>bin-add</i> : Binary Addition	244
31.0.6	<i>bin-mult</i> : Binary Multiplication	244
31.1	Computations	244
31.2	Simplification Rules for Comparison of Binary Numbers	246
32	The Division Operators Div and Mod	250
32.1	Uniqueness and monotonicity of quotients and remainders	255
32.2	Correctness of posDivAlg, the Division Algorithm for $a \geq 0$ and $b > 0$	255
32.3	Some convenient biconditionals for products of signs	256
32.4	Correctness of negDivAlg, the division algorithm for $a \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$	257
32.5	Existence shown by proving the division algorithm to be correct	258
32.6	division of a number by itself	262
32.7	Computation of division and remainder	262
32.8	Monotonicity in the first argument (divisor)	264

32.9	Monotonicity in the second argument (dividend)	265
32.10	More algebraic laws for zdiv and zmod	265
32.11	proving $a \text{ zdiv } (b * c) = (a \text{ zdiv } b) \text{ zdiv } c$	268
32.12	Cancellation of common factors in "zdiv"	268
32.13	Distribution of factors over "zmod"	269
33	Cardinal Arithmetic Without the Axiom of Choice	270
33.1	Cardinal addition	271
33.1.1	Cardinal addition is commutative	271
33.1.2	Cardinal addition is associative	271
33.1.3	0 is the identity for addition	272
33.1.4	Addition by another cardinal	272
33.1.5	Monotonicity of addition	272
33.1.6	Addition of finite cardinals is "ordinary" addition	272
33.2	Cardinal multiplication	273
33.2.1	Cardinal multiplication is commutative	273
33.2.2	Cardinal multiplication is associative	273
33.2.3	Cardinal multiplication distributes over addition	273
33.2.4	Multiplication by 0 yields 0	273
33.2.5	1 is the identity for multiplication	273
33.3	Some inequalities for multiplication	273
33.3.1	Multiplication by a non-zero cardinal	274
33.3.2	Monotonicity of multiplication	274
33.4	Multiplication of finite cardinals is "ordinary" multiplication	274
33.5	Infinite Cardinals are Limit Ordinals	275
33.5.1	Establishing the well-ordering	275
33.5.2	Characterising initial segments of the well-ordering	275
33.5.3	The cardinality of initial segments	276
33.5.4	Toward's Kunen's Corollary 10.13 (1)	277
33.6	For Every Cardinal Number There Exists A Greater One	277
33.7	Basic Properties of Successor Cardinals	277
33.7.1	Removing elements from a finite set decreases its cardinality	278
33.7.2	Theorems by Krzysztof Grabczewski, proofs by lcp	279
34	Theory Main: Everything Except AC	279
34.1	Iteration of the function F	279
34.2	Transfinite Recursion	280
35	The Axiom of Choice	281

36 Zorn's Lemma	282
36.1 Mathematical Preamble	283
36.2 The Transfinite Construction	283
36.3 Some Properties of the Transfinite Construction	283
36.4 Hausdorff's Theorem: Every Set Contains a Maximal Chain .	284
36.5 Zorn's Lemma: If All Chains in S Have Upper Bounds In S, then S contains a Maximal Element	285
36.6 Zermelo's Theorem: Every Set can be Well-Ordered	285
37 Cardinal Arithmetic Using AC	286
37.1 Strengthened Forms of Existing Theorems on Cardinals . . .	286
37.2 The relationship between cardinality and le-pollence	287
37.3 Other Applications of AC	287
38 Infinite-Branching Datatype Definitions	288

1 Zermelo-Fraenkel Set Theory

theory *ZF* **imports** *FOL* **begin**

$\langle ML \rangle$

global

typedecl *i*

arities *i* :: *term*

consts

<i>0</i>	:: <i>i</i>	(<i>0</i>)	— the empty set
<i>Pow</i>	:: <i>i</i> => <i>i</i>		— power sets
<i>Inf</i>	:: <i>i</i>		— infinite set

Bounded Quantifiers

consts

<i>Ball</i>	:: [<i>i</i> , <i>i</i> => <i>o</i>] => <i>o</i>
<i>Bex</i>	:: [<i>i</i> , <i>i</i> => <i>o</i>] => <i>o</i>

General Union and Intersection

consts

<i>Union</i>	:: <i>i</i> => <i>i</i>
<i>Inter</i>	:: <i>i</i> => <i>i</i>

Variations on Replacement

consts

<i>PrimReplace</i>	:: [<i>i</i> , [<i>i</i> , <i>i</i>] => <i>o</i>] => <i>i</i>
<i>Replace</i>	:: [<i>i</i> , [<i>i</i> , <i>i</i>] => <i>o</i>] => <i>i</i>

RepFun :: $[i, i \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$
Collect :: $[i, i \Rightarrow o] \Rightarrow i$

Definite descriptions – via Replace over the set "1"

consts

The :: $(i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow i$ (**binder** *THE* 10)
If :: $[o, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ ((*if* (-)/ *then* (-)/ *else* (-)) [10] 10)

abbreviation (*input*)

old-if :: $[o, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ (*if* '(-,-') **where**
if(*P*,*a*,*b*) == *If*(*P*,*a*,*b*)

Finite Sets

consts

Upair :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$
cons :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$
succ :: $i \Rightarrow i$

Ordered Pairing

consts

Pair :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$
fst :: $i \Rightarrow i$
snd :: $i \Rightarrow i$
split :: $[[i, i] \Rightarrow 'a, i] \Rightarrow 'a::\{\}$ — for pattern-matching

Sigma and Pi Operators

consts

Sigma :: $[i, i \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$
Pi :: $[i, i \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$

Relations and Functions

consts

domain :: $i \Rightarrow i$
range :: $i \Rightarrow i$
field :: $i \Rightarrow i$
converse :: $i \Rightarrow i$
relation :: $i \Rightarrow o$ — recognizes sets of pairs
function :: $i \Rightarrow o$ — recognizes functions; can have non-pairs
Lambda :: $[i, i \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$
restrict :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$

Infixes in order of decreasing precedence

consts

Image :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$ (**infixl** “ 90) — image
vimage :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$ (**infixl** – “ 90) — inverse image
apply :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$ (**infixl** ‘ 90) — function application
Int :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$ (**infixl** *Int* 70) — binary intersection

$Un \quad :: [i, i] => i \quad (\mathbf{infixl} \ Un \ 65) \text{ --- binary union}$
 $Diff \quad :: [i, i] => i \quad (\mathbf{infixl} \ - \ 65) \text{ --- set difference}$
 $Subset \quad :: [i, i] => o \quad (\mathbf{infixl} \ <= \ 50) \text{ --- subset relation}$
 $mem \quad :: [i, i] => o \quad (\mathbf{infixl} \ : \ 50) \text{ --- membership relation}$

abbreviation

$not\text{-}mem \quad :: [i, i] => o \quad (\mathbf{infixl} \ \sim \ 50) \text{ --- negated membership relation}$
where $x \sim y == \sim (x : y)$

abbreviation

$cart\text{-}prod \quad :: [i, i] => i \quad (\mathbf{infixr} \ * \ 80) \text{ --- Cartesian product}$
where $A * B == Sigma(A, \%-. B)$

abbreviation

$function\text{-}space \quad :: [i, i] => i \quad (\mathbf{infixr} \ -> \ 60) \text{ --- function space}$
where $A -> B == Pi(A, \%-. B)$

nonterminals *is patterns*

syntax

$is \quad :: i => is \quad (-)$
 $@Enum \quad :: [i, is] => is \quad (-, / -)$

 $@Finset \quad :: is => i \quad (\{(-)\})$
 $@Tuple \quad :: [i, is] => i \quad (<(-, / -)>)$
 $@Collect \quad :: [pttrn, i, o] => i \quad ((1\{- \cdot / -\}))$
 $@Replace \quad :: [pttrn, pttrn, i, o] => i \quad ((1\{- \cdot / - \cdot -, -\}))$
 $@RepFun \quad :: [i, pttrn, i] => i \quad ((1\{- \cdot / - \cdot -\}) [51, 0, 51])$
 $@INTER \quad :: [pttrn, i, i] => i \quad ((3INT \cdot - \cdot / -) 10)$
 $@UNION \quad :: [pttrn, i, i] => i \quad ((3UN \cdot - \cdot / -) 10)$
 $@PROD \quad :: [pttrn, i, i] => i \quad ((3PROD \cdot - \cdot / -) 10)$
 $@SUM \quad :: [pttrn, i, i] => i \quad ((3SUM \cdot - \cdot / -) 10)$
 $@lam \quad :: [pttrn, i, i] => i \quad ((3lam \cdot - \cdot / -) 10)$
 $@Ball \quad :: [pttrn, i, o] => o \quad ((3ALL \cdot - \cdot / -) 10)$
 $@Bex \quad :: [pttrn, i, o] => o \quad ((3EX \cdot - \cdot / -) 10)$

$@pattern \quad :: patterns => pttrn \quad (<->)$
 $\quad :: pttrn => patterns \quad (-)$
 $@patterns \quad :: [pttrn, patterns] => patterns \quad (-, / -)$

translations

$\{x, xs\} \quad == \text{cons}(x, \{xs\})$
 $\{x\} \quad == \text{cons}(x, 0)$
 $\{x:A. P\} \quad == \text{Collect}(A, \%x. P)$
 $\{y. x:A. Q\} \quad == \text{Replace}(A, \%x y. Q)$
 $\{b. x:A\} \quad == \text{RepFun}(A, \%x. b)$

$INT\ x:A. B == Inter(\{B. x:A\})$
 $UN\ x:A. B == Union(\{B. x:A\})$
 $PROD\ x:A. B == Pi(A, \%x. B)$
 $SUM\ x:A. B == Sigma(A, \%x. B)$
 $lam\ x:A. f == Lambda(A, \%x. f)$
 $ALL\ x:A. P == Ball(A, \%x. P)$
 $EX\ x:A. P == Bex(A, \%x. P)$

$\langle x, y, z \rangle == \langle x, \langle y, z \rangle \rangle$
 $\langle x, y \rangle == Pair(x, y)$
 $\% \langle x, y, zs \rangle. b == split(\%x \langle y, zs \rangle. b)$
 $\% \langle x, y \rangle. b == split(\%x y. b)$

notation (*xsymbols*)

$cart-prod$ (infixr \times 80) and
 Int (infixl \cap 70) and
 Un (infixl \cup 65) and
 $function-space$ (infixr \rightarrow 60) and
 $Subset$ (infixl \subseteq 50) and
 mem (infixl \in 50) and
 $not-mem$ (infixl \notin 50) and
 $Union$ (\bigcup - [90] 90) and
 $Inter$ (\bigcap - [90] 90)

syntax (*xsymbols*)

$@Collect :: [pttrn, i, o] => i$ ((1{- \in - ./ -}))
 $@Replace :: [pttrn, pttrn, i, o] => i$ ((1{- \in - ./ - \in -, -}))
 $@RepFun :: [i, pttrn, i] => i$ ((1{- \in - ./ -} [51,0,51])
 $@UNION :: [pttrn, i, i] => i$ ((3 \bigcup - \in -./ -) 10)
 $@INTER :: [pttrn, i, i] => i$ ((3 \bigcap - \in -./ -) 10)
 $@PROD :: [pttrn, i, i] => i$ ((3 Π - \in -./ -) 10)
 $@SUM :: [pttrn, i, i] => i$ ((3 Σ - \in -./ -) 10)
 $@lam :: [pttrn, i, i] => i$ ((3 λ - \in -./ -) 10)
 $@Ball :: [pttrn, i, o] => o$ ((3 \forall - \in -./ -) 10)
 $@Bex :: [pttrn, i, o] => o$ ((3 \exists - \in -./ -) 10)
 $@Tuple :: [i, is] => i$ (((-./ -)))
 $@pattern :: patterns => pttrn$ ((-))

notation (*HTML output*)

$cart-prod$ (infixr \times 80) and
 Int (infixl \cap 70) and
 Un (infixl \cup 65) and
 $Subset$ (infixl \subseteq 50) and
 mem (infixl \in 50) and
 $not-mem$ (infixl \notin 50) and
 $Union$ (\bigcup - [90] 90) and
 $Inter$ (\bigcap - [90] 90)

syntax (*HTML output*)

$@Collect :: [pttrn, i, o] \Rightarrow i \quad ((1\{- \in - ./ -\}))$
 $@Replace :: [pttrn, pttrn, i, o] \Rightarrow i \quad ((1\{- ./ - \in -, -\}))$
 $@RepFun :: [i, pttrn, i] \Rightarrow i \quad ((1\{- ./ - \in -\}) [51,0,51])$
 $@UNION :: [pttrn, i, i] \Rightarrow i \quad ((3\bigcup - \in - ./ -) 10)$
 $@INTER :: [pttrn, i, i] \Rightarrow i \quad ((3\bigcap - \in - ./ -) 10)$
 $@PROD :: [pttrn, i, i] \Rightarrow i \quad ((3\Pi - \in - ./ -) 10)$
 $@SUM :: [pttrn, i, i] \Rightarrow i \quad ((3\Sigma - \in - ./ -) 10)$
 $@lam :: [pttrn, i, i] \Rightarrow i \quad ((3\lambda - \in - ./ -) 10)$
 $@Ball :: [pttrn, i, o] \Rightarrow o \quad ((3\forall - \in - ./ -) 10)$
 $@Bex :: [pttrn, i, o] \Rightarrow o \quad ((3\exists - \in - ./ -) 10)$
 $@Tuple :: [i, is] \Rightarrow i \quad ((-, ./ -))$
 $@pattern :: patterns \Rightarrow pttrn \quad ((-))$

finalconsts

$0 \text{ Pow Inf Union PrimReplace mem}$

defs

$Ball\text{-}def: \quad Ball(A, P) == \forall x. x \in A \longrightarrow P(x)$
 $Bex\text{-}def: \quad Bex(A, P) == \exists x. x \in A \ \& \ P(x)$

 $subset\text{-}def: \quad A \leq B == \forall x \in A. x \in B$

local

axioms

$extension: \quad A = B \longleftrightarrow A \leq B \ \& \ B \leq A$
 $Union\text{-}iff: \quad A \in Union(C) \longleftrightarrow (\exists B \in C. A \in B)$
 $Pow\text{-}iff: \quad A \in Pow(B) \longleftrightarrow A \leq B$

 $infinity: \quad 0 \in Inf \ \& \ (\forall y \in Inf. succ(y) \in Inf)$

 $foundation: \quad A = 0 \mid (\exists x \in A. \forall y \in x. y \sim A)$

 $replacement: \quad (\forall x \in A. \forall y z. P(x, y) \ \& \ P(x, z) \longrightarrow y = z) \implies$
 $\quad b \in PrimReplace(A, P) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \in A. P(x, b))$

defs

Replace-def: $\text{Replace}(A,P) == \text{PrimReplace}(A, \%x y. (EX!z. P(x,z)) \& P(x,y))$

RepFun-def: $\text{RepFun}(A,f) == \{y . x \in A, y=f(x)\}$

Collect-def: $\text{Collect}(A,P) == \{y . x \in A, x=y \& P(x)\}$

Upair-def: $\text{Upair}(a,b) == \{y. x \in \text{Pow}(\text{Pow}(\emptyset)), (x=\emptyset \& y=a) \mid (x=\text{Pow}(\emptyset) \& y=b)\}$

cons-def: $\text{cons}(a,A) == \text{Upair}(a,a) \text{ Un } A$

succ-def: $\text{succ}(i) == \text{cons}(i, i)$

Diff-def: $A - B == \{ x \in A . \sim(x \in B) \}$

Inter-def: $\text{Inter}(A) == \{ x \in \text{Union}(A) . \forall y \in A. x \in y \}$

Un-def: $A \text{ Un } B == \text{Union}(\text{Upair}(A,B))$

Int-def: $A \text{ Int } B == \text{Inter}(\text{Upair}(A,B))$

the-def: $\text{The}(P) == \text{Union}(\{y . x \in \{\emptyset\}, P(y)\})$

if-def: $\text{if}(P,a,b) == \text{THE } z. P \& z=a \mid \sim P \& z=b$

Pair-def: $\langle a,b \rangle == \{\{a,a\}, \{a,b\}\}$

fst-def: $\text{fst}(p) == \text{THE } a. \exists b. p=\langle a,b \rangle$

snd-def: $\text{snd}(p) == \text{THE } b. \exists a. p=\langle a,b \rangle$

split-def: $\text{split}(c) == \%p. c(\text{fst}(p), \text{snd}(p))$

Sigma-def: $\text{Sigma}(A,B) == \bigcup x \in A. \bigcup y \in B(x). \{\langle x,y \rangle\}$

converse-def: $\text{converse}(r) == \{z. w \in r, \exists x y. w=\langle x,y \rangle \& z=\langle y,x \rangle\}$

domain-def: $\text{domain}(r) == \{x. w \in r, \exists y. w=\langle x,y \rangle\}$

range-def: $\text{range}(r) == \text{domain}(\text{converse}(r))$

field-def: $\text{field}(r) == \text{domain}(r) \text{ Un } \text{range}(r)$

relation-def: $\text{relation}(r) == \forall z \in r. \exists x y. z = \langle x,y \rangle$

function-def: $\text{function}(r) ==$

$\forall x y. \langle x, y \rangle : r \dashrightarrow (\forall y'. \langle x, y' \rangle : r \dashrightarrow y = y')$
image-def: $r \text{ `` } A == \{y : \text{range}(r) . \exists x \in A. \langle x, y \rangle : r\}$
vimage-def: $r \text{ - `` } A == \text{converse}(r) \text{ `` } A$

lam-def: $\text{Lambda}(A, b) == \{\langle x, b(x) \rangle . x \in A\}$
apply-def: $f'a == \text{Union}(f'\{a\})$
Pi-def: $\text{Pi}(A, B) == \{f \in \text{Pow}(\text{Sigma}(A, B)). A \leq \text{domain}(f) \ \& \ \text{function}(f)\}$

restrict-def: $\text{restrict}(r, A) == \{z : r. \exists x \in A. \exists y. z = \langle x, y \rangle\}$

1.1 Substitution

lemma *subst-elem*: $[\![\ b \in A; \ a = b \]\!] ==> a \in A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.2 Bounded universal quantifier

lemma *ballI* [*intro!*]: $[\![\ !x. x \in A ==> P(x) \]\!] ==> \forall x \in A. P(x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *strip = impI allI ballI*

lemma *bspec* [*dest?*]: $[\![\ \forall x \in A. P(x); \ x : A \]\!] ==> P(x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rev-ballE* [*elim*]:
 $[\![\ \forall x \in A. P(x); \ x \sim : A ==> Q; \ P(x) ==> Q \]\!] ==> Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ballE*: $[\![\ \forall x \in A. P(x); \ P(x) ==> Q; \ x \sim : A ==> Q \]\!] ==> Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rev-bspec*: $[\![\ x : A; \ \forall x \in A. P(x) \]\!] ==> P(x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ball-triv* [*simp*]: $(\forall x \in A. P) <-> ((\exists x. x \in A) \dashrightarrow P)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ball-cong* [*cong*]:
 $[\![\ A = A'; \ !x. x \in A' ==> P(x) <-> P'(x) \]\!] ==> (\forall x \in A. P(x)) <-> (\forall x \in A'. P'(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *atomize-ball*:

$(!!x. x \in A ==> P(x)) == \text{Trueprop } (\forall x \in A. P(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas [*symmetric, rulify*] = *atomize-ball*

and [*symmetric, defn*] = *atomize-ball*

1.3 Bounded existential quantifier

lemma *bexI* [*intro*]: $[\![P(x); x: A]\!] ==> \exists x \in A. P(x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rev-bexI*: $[\![x \in A; P(x)]\!] ==> \exists x \in A. P(x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bexCI*: $[\![\forall x \in A. \sim P(x) ==> P(a); a: A]\!] ==> \exists x \in A. P(x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bexE* [*elim*!]: $[\![\exists x \in A. P(x); !!x. [\![x \in A; P(x)]\!] ==> Q]\!] ==> Q$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bex-triv* [*simp*]: $(\exists x \in A. P) <-> ((\exists x. x \in A) \ \& \ P)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bex-cong* [*cong*]:

$[\![A=A'; !!x. x \in A' ==> P(x) <-> P'(x)]\!]$

$==> (\exists x \in A. P(x)) <-> (\exists x \in A'. P'(x))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

1.4 Rules for subsets

lemma *subsetI* [*intro*!]:

$(!!x. x \in A ==> x \in B) ==> A <= B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subsetD* [*elim*]: $[\![A <= B; c \in A]\!] ==> c \in B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subsetCE* [*elim*]:

$[\![A <= B; c \sim A ==> P; c \in B ==> P]\!] ==> P$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rev-subsetD*: $[\![c \in A; A <= B]\!] ==> c \in B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *contra-subsetD*: $[| A \leq B; c \sim B |] \implies c \sim A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rev-contra-subsetD*: $[| c \sim B; A \leq B |] \implies c \sim A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subset-refl* [*simp*]: $A \leq A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subset-trans*: $[| A \leq B; B \leq C |] \implies A \leq C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subset-iff*:
 $A \leq B \iff (\forall x. x \in A \implies x \in B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.5 Rules for equality

lemma *equalityI* [*intro*]: $[| A \leq B; B \leq A |] \implies A = B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *equality-iffI*: $(\forall x. x \in A \iff x \in B) \implies A = B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *equalityD1* = *extension* [*THEN iffD1, THEN conjunct1, standard*]
lemmas *equalityD2* = *extension* [*THEN iffD1, THEN conjunct2, standard*]

lemma *equalityE*: $[| A = B; [| A \leq B; B \leq A |] \implies P |] \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *equalityCE*:
 $[| A = B; [| c \in A; c \in B |] \implies P; [| c \sim A; c \sim B |] \implies P |] \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.6 Rules for Replace – the derived form of replacement

lemma *Replace-iff*:
 $b : \{y. x \in A, P(x, y)\} \iff (\exists x \in A. P(x, b) \ \& \ (\forall y. P(x, y) \implies y = b))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ReplaceI* [*intro*]:
 $[| P(x, b); x : A; \forall y. P(x, y) \implies y = b |] \implies$
 $b : \{y. x \in A, P(x, y)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ReplaceE*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket b : \{y. x \in A, P(x,y)\}; \\ & \quad !!x. \llbracket x : A; P(x,b); \forall y. P(x,y) \multimap y=b \rrbracket \implies R \\ & \rrbracket \implies R \end{aligned}$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ReplaceE2* [*elim!*]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket b : \{y. x \in A, P(x,y)\}; \\ & \quad !!x. \llbracket x : A; P(x,b) \rrbracket \implies R \\ & \rrbracket \implies R \end{aligned}$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Replace-cong* [*cong*]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket A=B; !!x y. x \in B \implies P(x,y) \multimap Q(x,y) \rrbracket \implies \\ & \quad Replace(A,P) = Replace(B,Q) \end{aligned}$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.7 Rules for RepFun

lemma *RepFunI*: $a \in A \implies f(a) : \{f(x). x \in A\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *RepFun-eqI* [*intro*]: $\llbracket b=f(a); a \in A \rrbracket \implies b : \{f(x). x \in A\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *RepFunE* [*elim!*]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket b : \{f(x). x \in A\}; \\ & \quad !!x. \llbracket x \in A; b=f(x) \rrbracket \implies P \rrbracket \implies \\ & \quad P \end{aligned}$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *RepFun-cong* [*cong*]:

$$\llbracket A=B; !!x. x \in B \implies f(x)=g(x) \rrbracket \implies RepFun(A,f) = RepFun(B,g)$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *RepFun-iff* [*simp*]: $b : \{f(x). x \in A\} \multimap (\exists x \in A. b=f(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *triv-RepFun* [*simp*]: $\{x. x \in A\} = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.8 Rules for Collect – forming a subset by separation

lemma *separation* [*simp*]: $a : \{x \in A. P(x)\} \multimap a \in A \ \& \ P(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *CollectI* [*intro!*]: $\llbracket a \in A; P(a) \rrbracket \implies a : \{x \in A. P(x)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *CollectE* [*elim!*]: $\llbracket a : \{x \in A. P(x)\}; \llbracket a \in A; P(a) \rrbracket \implies R \rrbracket \implies R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *CollectD1*: $a : \{x \in A. P(x)\} \implies a \in A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *CollectD2*: $a : \{x \in A. P(x)\} \implies P(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Collect-cong* [*cong*]:
 $\llbracket A=B; \llbracket \forall x. x \in B \implies P(x) \iff Q(x) \rrbracket \implies Collect(A, \%x. P(x)) = Collect(B, \%x. Q(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.9 Rules for Unions

declare *Union-iff* [*simp*]

lemma *UnionI* [*intro*]: $\llbracket B: C; A: B \rrbracket \implies A: Union(C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UnionE* [*elim!*]: $\llbracket A \in Union(C); \llbracket \forall B. \llbracket A: B; B: C \rrbracket \implies R \rrbracket \implies R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.10 Rules for Unions of families

lemma *UN-iff* [*simp*]: $b : (\bigcup x \in A. B(x)) \iff (\exists x \in A. b \in B(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UN-I*: $\llbracket a: A; b: B(a) \rrbracket \implies b: (\bigcup x \in A. B(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UN-E* [*elim!*]:
 $\llbracket b : (\bigcup x \in A. B(x)); \llbracket \forall x. \llbracket x: A; b: B(x) \rrbracket \implies R \rrbracket \implies R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UN-cong*:
 $\llbracket A=B; \llbracket \forall x. x \in B \implies C(x)=D(x) \rrbracket \implies (\bigcup x \in A. C(x)) = (\bigcup x \in B. D(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.11 Rules for the empty set

lemma *not-mem-empty* [*simp*]: $a \sim: 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *emptyE* [*elim!*] = *not-mem-empty* [*THEN notE, standard*]

lemma *empty-subsetI* [*simp*]: $0 \leq A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *equals0I*: $[\text{!!}y. y \in A \implies \text{False}] \implies A = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *equals0D* [*dest*]: $A = 0 \implies a \sim: A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare *sym* [*THEN equals0D, dest*]

lemma *not-emptyI*: $a \in A \implies A \sim = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-emptyE*: $[A \sim = 0; \text{!!}x. x \in A \implies R] \implies R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.12 Rules for Inter

lemma *Inter-iff*: $A \in \text{Inter}(C) \iff (\forall x \in C. A: x) \ \& \ C \neq 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *InterI* [*intro!*]:
 $[\text{!!}x. x: C \implies A: x; C \neq 0] \implies A \in \text{Inter}(C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *InterD* [*elim*]: $[A \in \text{Inter}(C); B \in C] \implies A \in B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *InterE* [*elim*]:
 $[A \in \text{Inter}(C); B \sim: C \implies R; A \in B \implies R] \implies R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.13 Rules for Intersections of families

lemma *INT-iff*: $b : (\bigcap x \in A. B(x)) \iff (\forall x \in A. b \in B(x)) \ \& \ A \neq 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *INT-I*: $[\text{!!}x. x: A \implies b: B(x); A \neq 0] \implies b: (\bigcap x \in A. B(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *INT-E*: $[b : (\bigcap x \in A. B(x)); a: A] \implies b \in B(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *INT-cong*:

$[| A=B; !!x. x \in B ==> C(x)=D(x) |] ==> (\bigcap x \in A. C(x)) = (\bigcap x \in B. D(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.14 Rules for Powersets

lemma *PowI*: $A \leq B ==> A \in Pow(B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *PowD*: $A \in Pow(B) ==> A \leq B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

declare *Pow-iff* [*iff*]

lemmas *Pow-bottom* = *empty-subsetI* [*THEN PowI*]

lemmas *Pow-top* = *subset-refl* [*THEN PowI*]

1.15 Cantor's Theorem: There is no surjection from a set to its powerset.

lemma *cantor*: $\exists S \in Pow(A). \forall x \in A. b(x) \sim S$

$\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

end

2 Unordered Pairs

theory *upair* **imports** *ZF*

uses *Tools/typechk.ML* **begin**

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma *atomize-ball* [*symmetric, rulify*]:

$(!!x. x:A ==> P(x)) == Trueprop (ALL x:A. P(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.1 Unordered Pairs: constant *Upair*

lemma *Upair-iff* [*simp*]: $c : Upair(a,b) <-> (c=a \mid c=b)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UpairI1*: $a : Upair(a,b)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UpairI2*: $b : \text{Upair}(a,b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UpairE*: $[[a : \text{Upair}(b,c); a=b \implies P; a=c \implies P]] \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.2 Rules for Binary Union, Defined via *Upair*

lemma *Un-iff* [*simp*]: $c : A \text{ Un } B \iff (c:A \mid c:B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UnI1*: $c : A \implies c : A \text{ Un } B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UnI2*: $c : B \implies c : A \text{ Un } B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare *UnI1* [*elim?*] *UnI2* [*elim?*]

lemma *UnE* [*elim!*]: $[[c : A \text{ Un } B; c:A \implies P; c:B \implies P]] \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UnE'*: $[[c : A \text{ Un } B; c:A \implies P; [[c:B; c\sim:A]] \implies P]] \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UnCI* [*intro!*]: $(c \sim: B \implies c : A) \implies c : A \text{ Un } B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.3 Rules for Binary Intersection, Defined via *Upair*

lemma *Int-iff* [*simp*]: $c : A \text{ Int } B \iff (c:A \ \& \ c:B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *IntI* [*intro!*]: $[[c : A; c : B]] \implies c : A \text{ Int } B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *IntD1*: $c : A \text{ Int } B \implies c : A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *IntD2*: $c : A \text{ Int } B \implies c : B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *IntE* [*elim!*]: $[[c : A \text{ Int } B; [[c:A; c:B]] \implies P]] \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.4 Rules for Set Difference, Defined via *Upair*

lemma *Diff-iff* [*simp*]: $c : A - B \iff (c:A \ \& \ c\sim:B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DiffI* [*intro!*]: $[| c : A; c \sim B |] ==> c : A - B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DiffD1*: $c : A - B ==> c : A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DiffD2*: $c : A - B ==> c \sim B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DiffE* [*elim!*]: $[| c : A - B; [| c:A; c\sim B |] ==> P |] ==> P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.5 Rules for *cons*

lemma *cons-iff* [*simp*]: $a : cons(b,A) <-> (a=b \mid a:A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *consI1* [*simp, TC*]: $a : cons(a,B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *consI2*: $a : B ==> a : cons(b,B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *consE* [*elim!*]: $[| a : cons(b,A); a=b ==> P; a:A ==> P |] ==> P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *consE'*:
 $[| a : cons(b,A); a=b ==> P; [| a:A; a\sim b |] ==> P |] ==> P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *consCI* [*intro!*]: $(a\sim B ==> a=b) ==> a : cons(b,B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cons-not-0* [*simp*]: $cons(a,B) \sim = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *cons-neq-0* = *cons-not-0* [*THEN notE, standard*]

declare *cons-not-0* [*THEN not-sym, simp*]

2.6 Singletons

lemma *singleton-iff*: $a : \{b\} <-> a=b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *singletonI* [intro!]: $a : \{a\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *singletonE = singleton-iff* [THEN iffD1, elim-format, standard, elim!]

2.7 Descriptions

lemma *the-equality* [intro]:

$\llbracket P(a); !!x. P(x) ==> x=a \rrbracket ==> (THE\ x. P(x)) = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *the-equality2*: $\llbracket EX! x. P(x); P(a) \rrbracket ==> (THE\ x. P(x)) = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *theI*: $EX! x. P(x) ==> P(THE\ x. P(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *the-0*: $\sim (EX! x. P(x)) ==> (THE\ x. P(x))=0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *theI2*:

assumes $p1: \sim Q(0) ==> EX! x. P(x)$

and $p2: !!x. P(x) ==> Q(x)$

shows $Q(THE\ x. P(x))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *the-eq-trivial* [simp]: $(THE\ x. x = a) = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *the-eq-trivial2* [simp]: $(THE\ x. a = x) = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.8 Conditional Terms: *if-then-else*

lemma *if-true* [simp]: $(if\ True\ then\ a\ else\ b) = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *if-false* [simp]: $(if\ False\ then\ a\ else\ b) = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *if-cong*:

$\llbracket P<->Q; Q ==> a=c; \sim Q ==> b=d \rrbracket$
 $==> (if\ P\ then\ a\ else\ b) = (if\ Q\ then\ c\ else\ d)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *if-weak-cong*: $P <-> Q ==> (if\ P\ then\ x\ else\ y) = (if\ Q\ then\ x\ else\ y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *if-P*: $P ==> (if\ P\ then\ a\ else\ b) = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *if-not-P*: $\sim P ==> (if\ P\ then\ a\ else\ b) = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *split-if* [*split*]:
 $P(if\ Q\ then\ x\ else\ y) <-> ((Q \dashrightarrow P(x)) \ \& \ (\sim Q \dashrightarrow P(y)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *split-if-eq1* = *split-if* [*of* %*x*. *x* = *b*, *standard*]
lemmas *split-if-eq2* = *split-if* [*of* %*x*. *a* = *x*, *standard*]

lemmas *split-if-mem1* = *split-if* [*of* %*x*. *x* : *b*, *standard*]
lemmas *split-if-mem2* = *split-if* [*of* %*x*. *a* : *x*, *standard*]

lemmas *split-ifs* = *split-if-eq1* *split-if-eq2* *split-if-mem1* *split-if-mem2*

lemma *if-iff*: $a: (if\ P\ then\ x\ else\ y) <-> P \ \& \ a:x \mid \sim P \ \& \ a:y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *if-type* [*TC*]:
 $[[P ==> a: A; \ \sim P ==> b: A]] ==> (if\ P\ then\ a\ else\ b): A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *split-if-asm*: $P(if\ Q\ then\ x\ else\ y) <-> (\sim((Q \ \& \ \sim P(x)) \mid (\sim Q \ \& \ \sim P(y))))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *if-splits* = *split-if* *split-if-asm*

2.9 Consequences of Foundation

lemma *mem-asym*: $[[a:b; \ \sim P ==> b:a]] ==> P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mem-irrefl*: $a:a \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mem-not-refl*: $a \sim: a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mem-imp-not-eq*: $a:A \implies a \sim = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eq-imp-not-mem*: $a=A \implies a \sim: A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.10 Rules for Successor

lemma *succ-iff*: $i : succ(j) \iff i=j \mid i:j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succI1* [*simp*]: $i : succ(i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succI2*: $i : j \implies i : succ(j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succE* [*elim!*]:
 $\llbracket i : succ(j); i=j \implies P; i:j \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succCI* [*intro!*]: $(i \sim:j \implies i=j) \implies i : succ(j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succ-not-0* [*simp*]: $succ(n) \sim = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *succ-neq-0* = *succ-not-0* [*THEN notE, standard, elim!*]

declare *succ-not-0* [*THEN not-sym, simp*]
declare *sym* [*THEN succ-neq-0, elim!*]

lemmas *succ-subsetD* = *succI1* [*THEN* [2] *subsetD*]

lemmas *succ-neq-self* = *succI1* [*THEN mem-imp-not-eq, THEN not-sym, standard*]

lemma *succ-inject-iff* [*simp*]: $\text{succ}(m) = \text{succ}(n) \leftrightarrow m=n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *succ-inject* = *succ-inject-iff* [*THEN iffD1, standard, dest!*]

2.11 Miniscoping of the Bounded Universal Quantifier

lemma *ball-simps1*:

$(\text{ALL } x:A. P(x) \ \& \ Q) \leftrightarrow (\text{ALL } x:A. P(x)) \ \& \ (A=0 \mid Q)$
 $(\text{ALL } x:A. P(x) \mid Q) \leftrightarrow ((\text{ALL } x:A. P(x)) \mid Q)$
 $(\text{ALL } x:A. P(x) \dashrightarrow Q) \leftrightarrow ((\text{EX } x:A. P(x)) \dashrightarrow Q)$
 $(\sim(\text{ALL } x:A. P(x))) \leftrightarrow (\text{EX } x:A. \sim P(x))$
 $(\text{ALL } x:0. P(x)) \leftrightarrow \text{True}$
 $(\text{ALL } x:\text{succ}(i). P(x)) \leftrightarrow P(i) \ \& \ (\text{ALL } x:i. P(x))$
 $(\text{ALL } x:\text{cons}(a,B). P(x)) \leftrightarrow P(a) \ \& \ (\text{ALL } x:B. P(x))$
 $(\text{ALL } x:\text{RepFun}(A,f). P(x)) \leftrightarrow (\text{ALL } y:A. P(f(y)))$
 $(\text{ALL } x:\text{Union}(A). P(x)) \leftrightarrow (\text{ALL } y:A. \text{ALL } x:y. P(x))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ball-simps2*:

$(\text{ALL } x:A. P \ \& \ Q(x)) \leftrightarrow (A=0 \mid P) \ \& \ (\text{ALL } x:A. Q(x))$
 $(\text{ALL } x:A. P \mid Q(x)) \leftrightarrow (P \mid (\text{ALL } x:A. Q(x)))$
 $(\text{ALL } x:A. P \dashrightarrow Q(x)) \leftrightarrow (P \dashrightarrow (\text{ALL } x:A. Q(x)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ball-simps3*:

$(\text{ALL } x:\text{Collect}(A,Q). P(x)) \leftrightarrow (\text{ALL } x:A. Q(x) \dashrightarrow P(x))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *ball-simps* [*simp*] = *ball-simps1 ball-simps2 ball-simps3*

lemma *ball-conj-distrib*:

$(\text{ALL } x:A. P(x) \ \& \ Q(x)) \leftrightarrow ((\text{ALL } x:A. P(x)) \ \& \ (\text{ALL } x:A. Q(x)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.12 Miniscoping of the Bounded Existential Quantifier

lemma *bex-simps1*:

$(\text{EX } x:A. P(x) \ \& \ Q) \leftrightarrow ((\text{EX } x:A. P(x)) \ \& \ Q)$
 $(\text{EX } x:A. P(x) \mid Q) \leftrightarrow (\text{EX } x:A. P(x)) \mid (A^{\sim}=0 \ \& \ Q)$
 $(\text{EX } x:A. P(x) \dashrightarrow Q) \leftrightarrow ((\text{ALL } x:A. P(x)) \dashrightarrow (A^{\sim}=0 \ \& \ Q))$
 $(\text{EX } x:0. P(x)) \leftrightarrow \text{False}$
 $(\text{EX } x:\text{succ}(i). P(x)) \leftrightarrow P(i) \mid (\text{EX } x:i. P(x))$
 $(\text{EX } x:\text{cons}(a,B). P(x)) \leftrightarrow P(a) \mid (\text{EX } x:B. P(x))$
 $(\text{EX } x:\text{RepFun}(A,f). P(x)) \leftrightarrow (\text{EX } y:A. P(f(y)))$
 $(\text{EX } x:\text{Union}(A). P(x)) \leftrightarrow (\text{EX } y:A. \text{EX } x:y. P(x))$
 $(\sim(\text{EX } x:A. P(x))) \leftrightarrow (\text{ALL } x:A. \sim P(x))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bex-simps2*:

$(EX\ x:A. P \ \&\ Q(x)) <-> (P \ \&\ (EX\ x:A. Q(x)))$
 $(EX\ x:A. P \mid Q(x)) <-> (A \approx 0 \ \&\ P) \mid (EX\ x:A. Q(x))$
 $(EX\ x:A. P \dashv\dashv Q(x)) <-> ((A=0 \mid P) \dashv\dashv (EX\ x:A. Q(x)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bex-simps3*:

$(EX\ x:Collect(A,Q).P(x)) <-> (EX\ x:A. Q(x) \ \&\ P(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *bex-simps* [simp] = *bex-simps1* *bex-simps2* *bex-simps3*

lemma *bex-disj-distrib*:

$(EX\ x:A. P(x) \mid Q(x)) <-> ((EX\ x:A. P(x)) \mid (EX\ x:A. Q(x)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bex-triv-one-point1* [simp]: $(EX\ x:A. x=a) <-> (a:A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bex-triv-one-point2* [simp]: $(EX\ x:A. a=x) <-> (a:A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bex-one-point1* [simp]: $(EX\ x:A. x=a \ \&\ P(x)) <-> (a:A \ \&\ P(a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bex-one-point2* [simp]: $(EX\ x:A. a=x \ \&\ P(x)) <-> (a:A \ \&\ P(a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ball-one-point1* [simp]: $(ALL\ x:A. x=a \dashv\dashv P(x)) <-> (a:A \dashv\dashv P(a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ball-one-point2* [simp]: $(ALL\ x:A. a=x \dashv\dashv P(x)) <-> (a:A \dashv\dashv P(a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.13 Miniscoping of the Replacement Operator

These cover both *Replace* and *Collect*

lemma *Rep-simps* [simp]:

$\{x. y:0, R(x,y)\} = 0$
 $\{x:0. P(x)\} = 0$
 $\{x:A. Q\} = (if\ Q\ then\ A\ else\ 0)$
 $RepFun(0,f) = 0$
 $RepFun(succ(i),f) = cons(f(i), RepFun(i,f))$
 $RepFun(cons(a,B),f) = cons(f(a), RepFun(B,f))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.14 Miniscoping of Unions

lemma *UN-simps1*:

$$\begin{aligned}
(UN\ x:C. \text{cons}(a, B(x))) &= (\text{if } C=0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \text{cons}(a, UN\ x:C. B(x))) \\
(UN\ x:C. A(x) \text{ Un } B') &= (\text{if } C=0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } (UN\ x:C. A(x)) \text{ Un } B') \\
(UN\ x:C. A' \text{ Un } B(x)) &= (\text{if } C=0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } A' \text{ Un } (UN\ x:C. B(x))) \\
(UN\ x:C. A(x) \text{ Int } B') &= ((UN\ x:C. A(x)) \text{ Int } B') \\
(UN\ x:C. A' \text{ Int } B(x)) &= (A' \text{ Int } (UN\ x:C. B(x))) \\
(UN\ x:C. A(x) - B') &= ((UN\ x:C. A(x)) - B') \\
(UN\ x:C. A' - B(x)) &= (\text{if } C=0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } A' - (INT\ x:C. B(x)))
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UN-simps2*:

$$\begin{aligned}
(UN\ x: \text{Union}(A). B(x)) &= (UN\ y:A. UN\ x:y. B(x)) \\
(UN\ z: (UN\ x:A. B(x)). C(z)) &= (UN\ x:A. UN\ z: B(x). C(z)) \\
(UN\ x: \text{RepFun}(A, f). B(x)) &= (UN\ a:A. B(f(a)))
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *UN-simps* [simp] = *UN-simps1 UN-simps2*

Opposite of miniscoping: pull the operator out

lemma *UN-extend-simps1*:

$$\begin{aligned}
(UN\ x:C. A(x)) \text{ Un } B &= (\text{if } C=0 \text{ then } B \text{ else } (UN\ x:C. A(x)) \text{ Un } B) \\
((UN\ x:C. A(x)) \text{ Int } B) &= (UN\ x:C. A(x) \text{ Int } B) \\
((UN\ x:C. A(x)) - B) &= (UN\ x:C. A(x) - B)
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UN-extend-simps2*:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{cons}(a, UN\ x:C. B(x)) &= (\text{if } C=0 \text{ then } \{a\} \text{ else } (UN\ x:C. \text{cons}(a, B(x)))) \\
A \text{ Un } (UN\ x:C. B(x)) &= (\text{if } C=0 \text{ then } A \text{ else } (UN\ x:C. A \text{ Un } B(x))) \\
(A \text{ Int } (UN\ x:C. B(x))) &= (UN\ x:C. A \text{ Int } B(x)) \\
A - (INT\ x:C. B(x)) &= (\text{if } C=0 \text{ then } A \text{ else } (UN\ x:C. A - B(x))) \\
(UN\ y:A. UN\ x:y. B(x)) &= (UN\ x: \text{Union}(A). B(x)) \\
(UN\ a:A. B(f(a))) &= (UN\ x: \text{RepFun}(A, f). B(x))
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UN-UN-extend*:

$$(UN\ x:A. UN\ z: B(x). C(z)) = (UN\ z: (UN\ x:A. B(x)). C(z))$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *UN-extend-simps* = *UN-extend-simps1 UN-extend-simps2 UN-UN-extend*

2.15 Miniscoping of Intersections

lemma *INT-simps1*:

$$\begin{aligned}
(INT\ x:C. A(x) \text{ Int } B) &= (INT\ x:C. A(x)) \text{ Int } B \\
(INT\ x:C. A(x) - B) &= (INT\ x:C. A(x)) - B \\
(INT\ x:C. A(x) \text{ Un } B) &= (\text{if } C=0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } (INT\ x:C. A(x)) \text{ Un } B)
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *INT-simps2*:

$$\begin{aligned} (INT\ x:C. A\ Int\ B(x)) &= A\ Int\ (INT\ x:C. B(x)) \\ (INT\ x:C. A - B(x)) &= (if\ C=0\ then\ 0\ else\ A - (UN\ x:C. B(x))) \\ (INT\ x:C. cons(a, B(x))) &= (if\ C=0\ then\ 0\ else\ cons(a, INT\ x:C. B(x))) \\ (INT\ x:C. A\ Un\ B(x)) &= (if\ C=0\ then\ 0\ else\ A\ Un\ (INT\ x:C. B(x))) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *INT-simps* [simp] = *INT-simps1* *INT-simps2*

Opposite of miniscoping: pull the operator out

lemma *INT-extend-simps1*:

$$\begin{aligned} (INT\ x:C. A(x))\ Int\ B &= (INT\ x:C. A(x)\ Int\ B) \\ (INT\ x:C. A(x)) - B &= (INT\ x:C. A(x) - B) \\ (INT\ x:C. A(x))\ Un\ B &= (if\ C=0\ then\ B\ else\ (INT\ x:C. A(x)\ Un\ B)) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *INT-extend-simps2*:

$$\begin{aligned} A\ Int\ (INT\ x:C. B(x)) &= (INT\ x:C. A\ Int\ B(x)) \\ A - (UN\ x:C. B(x)) &= (if\ C=0\ then\ A\ else\ (INT\ x:C. A - B(x))) \\ cons(a, INT\ x:C. B(x)) &= (if\ C=0\ then\ \{a\}\ else\ (INT\ x:C. cons(a, B(x)))) \\ A\ Un\ (INT\ x:C. B(x)) &= (if\ C=0\ then\ A\ else\ (INT\ x:C. A\ Un\ B(x))) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *INT-extend-simps* = *INT-extend-simps1* *INT-extend-simps2*

2.16 Other simprules

lemma *misc-simps* [simp]:

$$\begin{aligned} 0\ Un\ A &= A \\ A\ Un\ 0 &= A \\ 0\ Int\ A &= 0 \\ A\ Int\ 0 &= 0 \\ 0 - A &= 0 \\ A - 0 &= A \\ Union(0) &= 0 \\ Union(cons(b,A)) &= b\ Un\ Union(A) \\ Inter(\{b\}) &= b \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

3 Ordered Pairs

theory *pair* **imports** *upair*
uses *simpdata.ML* **begin**

lemma *singleton-eq-iff* [*iff*]: $\{a\} = \{b\} \leftrightarrow a=b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *doubleton-eq-iff*: $\{a,b\} = \{c,d\} \leftrightarrow (a=c \ \& \ b=d) \mid (a=d \ \& \ b=c)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Pair-iff* [*simp*]: $\langle a,b \rangle = \langle c,d \rangle \leftrightarrow a=c \ \& \ b=d$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *Pair-inject* = *Pair-iff* [*THEN iffD1, THEN conjE, standard, elim!*]

lemmas *Pair-inject1* = *Pair-iff* [*THEN iffD1, THEN conjunct1, standard*]

lemmas *Pair-inject2* = *Pair-iff* [*THEN iffD1, THEN conjunct2, standard*]

lemma *Pair-not-0*: $\langle a,b \rangle \sim = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *Pair-neq-0* = *Pair-not-0* [*THEN notE, standard, elim!*]

declare *sym* [*THEN Pair-neq-0, elim!*]

lemma *Pair-neq-fst*: $\langle a,b \rangle = a \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Pair-neq-snd*: $\langle a,b \rangle = b \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.1 Sigma: Disjoint Union of a Family of Sets

Generalizes Cartesian product

lemma *Sigma-iff* [*simp*]: $\langle a,b \rangle : \text{Sigma}(A,B) \leftrightarrow a:A \ \& \ b:B(a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SigmaI* [*TC,intro!*]: $[\mid a:A; \ b:B(a) \mid] \implies \langle a,b \rangle : \text{Sigma}(A,B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *SigmaD1* = *Sigma-iff* [*THEN iffD1, THEN conjunct1, standard*]

lemmas *SigmaD2* = *Sigma-iff* [*THEN iffD1, THEN conjunct2, standard*]

lemma *SigmaE* [*elim!*]:
 $[\mid c : \text{Sigma}(A,B);$
 $\quad !!x \ y. [\mid x:A; \ y:B(x); \ c=\langle x,y \rangle \mid] \implies P$
 $\mid] \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *SigmaE2* [*elim!*]:
 $[\mid \langle a,b \rangle : \text{Sigma}(A,B);$
 $\quad [\mid a:A; \ b:B(a) \mid] \implies P$

$\llbracket \rrbracket \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Sigma-cong*:
 $\llbracket A=A'; \ !x. x:A' \implies B(x)=B'(x) \rrbracket \implies$
 $Sigma(A,B) = Sigma(A',B')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Sigma-empty1* [simp]: $Sigma(0,B) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Sigma-empty2* [simp]: $A*0 = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Sigma-empty-iff*: $A*B=0 \iff A=0 \mid B=0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.2 Projections *fst* and *snd*

lemma *fst-conv* [simp]: $fst(<a,b>) = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *snd-conv* [simp]: $snd(<a,b>) = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fst-type* [TC]: $p:Sigma(A,B) \implies fst(p) : A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *snd-type* [TC]: $p:Sigma(A,B) \implies snd(p) : B(fst(p))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pair-fst-snd-eq*: $a: Sigma(A,B) \implies <fst(a),snd(a)> = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.3 The Eliminator, *split*

lemma *split* [simp]: $split(\%x y. c(x,y), <a,b>) == c(a,b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *split-type* [TC]:
 $\llbracket p:Sigma(A,B);$
 $\ !x y. \llbracket x:A; y:B(x) \rrbracket \implies c(x,y):C(<x,y>)$
 $\rrbracket \implies split(\%x y. c(x,y), p) : C(p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *expand-split*:
 $u: A*B \implies$
 $R(split(c,u)) \iff (ALL x:A. ALL y:B. u = <x,y> \iff R(c(x,y)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

3.4 A version of *split* for Formulae: Result Type *o*

lemma *splitI*: $R(a,b) \implies split(R, \langle a,b \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *splitE*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[split(R,z); \quad z:Sigma(A,B); \\ & \quad !!x\ y. \quad [[z = \langle x,y \rangle; \quad R(x,y) \quad]] \implies P \\ & \quad]] \implies P \end{aligned}$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *splitD*: $split(R, \langle a,b \rangle) \implies R(a,b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Complex rules for Sigma.

lemma *split-paired-Bex-Sigma* [*simp*]:
 $(\exists z \in Sigma(A,B). P(z)) \iff (\exists x \in A. \exists y \in B(x). P(\langle x,y \rangle))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *split-paired-Ball-Sigma* [*simp*]:
 $(\forall z \in Sigma(A,B). P(z)) \iff (\forall x \in A. \forall y \in B(x). P(\langle x,y \rangle))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

4 Basic Equalities and Inclusions

theory *equalities* **imports** *pair* **begin**

These cover union, intersection, converse, domain, range, etc. Philippe de Groote proved many of the inclusions.

lemma *in-mono*: $A \subseteq B \implies x \in A \implies x \in B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *the-eq-0* [*simp*]: $(THE\ x.\ False) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.1 Bounded Quantifiers

The following are not added to the default simpset because (a) they duplicate the body and (b) there are no similar rules for *Int*.

lemma *ball-Un*: $(\forall x \in A \cup B. P(x)) \leftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. P(x)) \ \& \ (\forall x \in B. P(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *beX-Un*: $(\exists x \in A \cup B. P(x)) \leftrightarrow (\exists x \in A. P(x)) \mid (\exists x \in B. P(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ball-UN*: $(\forall z \in (\bigcup x \in A. B(x)). P(z)) \leftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. \forall z \in B(x). P(z))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *beX-UN*: $(\exists z \in (\bigcup x \in A. B(x)). P(z)) \leftrightarrow (\exists x \in A. \exists z \in B(x). P(z))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.2 Converse of a Relation

lemma *converse-iff* [*simp*]: $\langle a, b \rangle \in converse(r) \leftrightarrow \langle b, a \rangle \in r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *converseI* [*intro!*]: $\langle a, b \rangle \in r \implies \langle b, a \rangle \in converse(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *converseD*: $\langle a, b \rangle \in converse(r) \implies \langle b, a \rangle \in r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *converseE* [*elim!*]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket yx \in converse(r); \\ & \quad !!x\ y. \llbracket yx = \langle y, x \rangle; \langle x, y \rangle \in r \rrbracket \implies P \rrbracket \\ & \implies P \end{aligned}$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *converse-converse*: $r \subseteq Sigma(A, B) \implies converse(converse(r)) = r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *converse-type*: $r \subseteq A * B \implies converse(r) \subseteq B * A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *converse-prod* [*simp*]: $converse(A * B) = B * A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *converse-empty* [*simp*]: $converse(0) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *converse-subset-iff*:
 $A \subseteq Sigma(X, Y) \implies converse(A) \subseteq converse(B) \leftrightarrow A \subseteq B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.3 Finite Set Constructions Using *cons*

lemma *cons-subsetI*: $\llbracket a \in C; B \subseteq C \rrbracket \implies cons(a, B) \subseteq C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subset-consI*: $B \subseteq \text{cons}(a, B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cons-subset-iff* [*iff*]: $\text{cons}(a, B) \subseteq C \iff a \in C \ \& \ B \subseteq C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *cons-subsetE* = *cons-subset-iff* [*THEN iffD1*, *THEN conjE*, *standard*]

lemma *subset-empty-iff*: $A \subseteq 0 \iff A = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subset-cons-iff*: $C \subseteq \text{cons}(a, B) \iff C \subseteq B \mid (a \in C \ \& \ C - \{a\} \subseteq B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cons-eq*: $\{a\} \cup B = \text{cons}(a, B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cons-commute*: $\text{cons}(a, \text{cons}(b, C)) = \text{cons}(b, \text{cons}(a, C))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cons-absorb*: $a \in B \implies \text{cons}(a, B) = B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cons-Diff*: $a \in B \implies \text{cons}(a, B - \{a\}) = B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Diff-cons-eq*: $\text{cons}(a, B) - C = (\text{if } a \in C \text{ then } B - C \text{ else } \text{cons}(a, B - C))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equal-singleton* [*rule-format*]: $[\mid a \in C; \ \forall y \in C. y = b \mid] \implies C = \{b\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [*simp*]: $\text{cons}(a, \text{cons}(a, B)) = \text{cons}(a, B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *singleton-subsetI*: $a \in C \implies \{a\} \subseteq C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *singleton-subsetD*: $\{a\} \subseteq C \implies a \in C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subset-succI*: $i \subseteq \text{succ}(i)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succ-subsetI*: $[| i \in j; i \subseteq j |] ==> succ(i) \subseteq j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succ-subsetE*:
 $[| succ(i) \subseteq j; [| i \in j; i \subseteq j |] ==> P |] ==> P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succ-subset-iff*: $succ(a) \subseteq B <-> (a \subseteq B \ \& \ a \in B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.4 Binary Intersection

lemma *Int-subset-iff*: $C \subseteq A \text{ Int } B <-> C \subseteq A \ \& \ C \subseteq B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-lower1*: $A \text{ Int } B \subseteq A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-lower2*: $A \text{ Int } B \subseteq B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-greatest*: $[| C \subseteq A; C \subseteq B |] ==> C \subseteq A \text{ Int } B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-cons*: $cons(a, B) \text{ Int } C \subseteq cons(a, B \text{ Int } C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-absorb [simp]*: $A \text{ Int } A = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-left-absorb*: $A \text{ Int } (A \text{ Int } B) = A \text{ Int } B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-commute*: $A \text{ Int } B = B \text{ Int } A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-left-commute*: $A \text{ Int } (B \text{ Int } C) = B \text{ Int } (A \text{ Int } C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-assoc*: $(A \text{ Int } B) \text{ Int } C = A \text{ Int } (B \text{ Int } C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *Int-ac= Int-assoc Int-left-absorb Int-commute Int-left-commute*

lemma *Int-absorb1*: $B \subseteq A ==> A \cap B = B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-absorb2*: $A \subseteq B \implies A \cap B = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-Un-distrib*: $A \text{ Int } (B \text{ Un } C) = (A \text{ Int } B) \text{ Un } (A \text{ Int } C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-Un-distrib2*: $(B \text{ Un } C) \text{ Int } A = (B \text{ Int } A) \text{ Un } (C \text{ Int } A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subset-Int-iff*: $A \subseteq B \iff A \text{ Int } B = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subset-Int-iff2*: $A \subseteq B \iff B \text{ Int } A = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-Diff-eq*: $C \subseteq A \implies (A - B) \text{ Int } C = C - B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-cons-left*:
 $\text{cons}(a, A) \text{ Int } B = (\text{if } a \in B \text{ then } \text{cons}(a, A \text{ Int } B) \text{ else } A \text{ Int } B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-cons-right*:
 $A \text{ Int } \text{cons}(a, B) = (\text{if } a \in A \text{ then } \text{cons}(a, A \text{ Int } B) \text{ else } A \text{ Int } B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cons-Int-distrib*: $\text{cons}(x, A \cap B) = \text{cons}(x, A) \cap \text{cons}(x, B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.5 Binary Union

lemma *Un-subset-iff*: $A \text{ Un } B \subseteq C \iff A \subseteq C \ \& \ B \subseteq C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Un-upper1*: $A \subseteq A \text{ Un } B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Un-upper2*: $B \subseteq A \text{ Un } B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Un-least*: $[A \subseteq C; B \subseteq C] \implies A \text{ Un } B \subseteq C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Un-cons*: $\text{cons}(a, B) \text{ Un } C = \text{cons}(a, B \text{ Un } C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Un-absorb [simp]*: $A \text{ Un } A = A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Un-left-absorb*: $A \text{ Un } (A \text{ Un } B) = A \text{ Un } B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Un-commute*: $A \text{ Un } B = B \text{ Un } A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Un-left-commute*: $A \text{ Un } (B \text{ Un } C) = B \text{ Un } (A \text{ Un } C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Un-assoc*: $(A \text{ Un } B) \text{ Un } C = A \text{ Un } (B \text{ Un } C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *Un-ac* = *Un-assoc Un-left-absorb Un-commute Un-left-commute*

lemma *Un-absorb1*: $A \subseteq B \implies A \cup B = B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Un-absorb2*: $B \subseteq A \implies A \cup B = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Un-Int-distrib*: $(A \text{ Int } B) \text{ Un } C = (A \text{ Un } C) \text{ Int } (B \text{ Un } C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subset-Un-iff*: $A \subseteq B \iff A \text{ Un } B = B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subset-Un-iff2*: $A \subseteq B \iff B \text{ Un } A = B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Un-empty [iff]*: $(A \text{ Un } B = 0) \iff (A = 0 \ \& \ B = 0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Un-eq-Union*: $A \text{ Un } B = \text{Union}(\{A, B\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.6 Set Difference

lemma *Diff-subset*: $A - B \subseteq A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Diff-contains*: $[| C \subseteq A; C \text{ Int } B = 0 |] \implies C \subseteq A - B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subset-Diff-cons-iff*: $B \subseteq A - \text{cons}(c, C) \iff B \subseteq A - C \ \& \ c \sim: B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Diff-cancel*: $A - A = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Diff-triv*: $A \text{ Int } B = 0 \implies A - B = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *empty-Diff* [simp]: $0 - A = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Diff-0* [simp]: $A - 0 = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Diff-eq-0-iff*: $A - B = 0 \iff A \subseteq B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Diff-cons*: $A - \text{cons}(a, B) = A - B - \{a\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Diff-cons2*: $A - \text{cons}(a, B) = A - \{a\} - B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Diff-disjoint*: $A \text{ Int } (B - A) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Diff-partition*: $A \subseteq B \implies A \text{ Un } (B - A) = B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subset-Un-Diff*: $A \subseteq B \text{ Un } (A - B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *double-complement*: $[A \subseteq B; B \subseteq C] \implies B - (C - A) = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *double-complement-Un*: $(A \text{ Un } B) - (B - A) = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Un-Int-crazy*:
 $(A \text{ Int } B) \text{ Un } (B \text{ Int } C) \text{ Un } (C \text{ Int } A) = (A \text{ Un } B) \text{ Int } (B \text{ Un } C) \text{ Int } (C \text{ Un } A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Diff-Un*: $A - (B \text{ Un } C) = (A - B) \text{ Int } (A - C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Diff-Int*: $A - (B \text{ Int } C) = (A - B) \text{ Un } (A - C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Un-Diff*: $(A \text{ Un } B) - C = (A - C) \text{ Un } (B - C)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-Diff*: $(A \text{ Int } B) - C = A \text{ Int } (B - C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Diff-Int-distrib*: $C \text{ Int } (A - B) = (C \text{ Int } A) - (C \text{ Int } B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Diff-Int-distrib2*: $(A - B) \text{ Int } C = (A \text{ Int } C) - (B \text{ Int } C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Un-Int-assoc-iff*: $(A \text{ Int } B) \text{ Un } C = A \text{ Int } (B \text{ Un } C) \iff C \subseteq A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.7 Big Union and Intersection

lemma *Union-subset-iff*: $\text{Union}(A) \subseteq C \iff (\forall x \in A. x \subseteq C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Union-upper*: $B \in A \implies B \subseteq \text{Union}(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Union-least*: $[\![\forall x. x \in A \implies x \subseteq C]\!] \implies \text{Union}(A) \subseteq C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Union-cons* [simp]: $\text{Union}(\text{cons}(a, B)) = a \text{ Un } \text{Union}(B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Union-Un-distrib*: $\text{Union}(A \text{ Un } B) = \text{Union}(A) \text{ Un } \text{Union}(B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Union-Int-subset*: $\text{Union}(A \text{ Int } B) \subseteq \text{Union}(A) \text{ Int } \text{Union}(B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Union-disjoint*: $\text{Union}(C) \text{ Int } A = 0 \iff (\forall B \in C. B \text{ Int } A = 0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Union-empty-iff*: $\text{Union}(A) = 0 \iff (\forall B \in A. B = 0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-Union2*: $\text{Union}(B) \text{ Int } A = (\bigcup C \in B. C \text{ Int } A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Inter-subset-iff*: $A \neq 0 \implies C \subseteq \text{Inter}(A) \iff (\forall x \in A. C \subseteq x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Inter-lower*: $B \in A \implies \text{Inter}(A) \subseteq B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Inter-greatest*: $[A \neq 0; \forall x. x \in A \implies C \subseteq x] \implies C \subseteq \text{Inter}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *INT-lower*: $x \in A \implies (\bigcap_{x \in A} B(x)) \subseteq B(x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *INT-greatest*: $[A \neq 0; \forall x. x \in A \implies C \subseteq B(x)] \implies C \subseteq (\bigcap_{x \in A} B(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Inter-0* [simp]: $\text{Inter}(0) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Inter-Un-subset*:
 $[z \in A; z \in B] \implies \text{Inter}(A) \text{ Un } \text{Inter}(B) \subseteq \text{Inter}(A \text{ Int } B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Inter-Un-distrib*:
 $[A \neq 0; B \neq 0] \implies \text{Inter}(A \text{ Un } B) = \text{Inter}(A) \text{ Int } \text{Inter}(B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Union-singleton*: $\text{Union}(\{b\}) = b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Inter-singleton*: $\text{Inter}(\{b\}) = b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Inter-cons* [simp]:
 $\text{Inter}(\text{cons}(a, B)) = (\text{if } B = 0 \text{ then } a \text{ else } a \text{ Int } \text{Inter}(B))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.8 Unions and Intersections of Families

lemma *subset-UN-iff-eq*: $A \subseteq (\bigcup_{i \in I} B(i)) \iff A = (\bigcup_{i \in I} A \text{ Int } B(i))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UN-subset-iff*: $(\bigcup_{x \in A} B(x)) \subseteq C \iff (\forall x \in A. B(x) \subseteq C)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UN-upper*: $x \in A \implies B(x) \subseteq (\bigcup_{x \in A} B(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UN-least*: $[\forall x. x \in A \implies B(x) \subseteq C] \implies (\bigcup_{x \in A} B(x)) \subseteq C$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Union-eq-UN*: $Union(A) = (\bigcup x \in A. x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Inter-eq-INT*: $Inter(A) = (\bigcap x \in A. x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UN-0 [simp]*: $(\bigcup i \in 0. A(i)) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UN-singleton*: $(\bigcup x \in A. \{x\}) = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UN-UN*: $(\bigcup i \in A. Un B. C(i)) = (\bigcup i \in A. C(i)) Un (\bigcup i \in B. C(i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *INT-UN*: $(\bigcap i \in I. Un J. A(i)) =$
 $(if\ I=0\ then\ \bigcap j \in J. A(j)$
 $else\ if\ J=0\ then\ \bigcap i \in I. A(i)$
 $else\ ((\bigcap i \in I. A(i))\ Int\ (\bigcap j \in J. A(j))))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UN-UN-flatten*: $(\bigcup x \in (\bigcup y \in A. B(y)). C(x)) = (\bigcup y \in A. \bigcup x \in B(y). C(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-UN-distrib*: $B\ Int\ (\bigcup i \in I. A(i)) = (\bigcup i \in I. B\ Int\ A(i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Un-INT-distrib*: $I \neq 0 ==> B\ Un\ (\bigcap i \in I. A(i)) = (\bigcap i \in I. B\ Un\ A(i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-UN-distrib2*:
 $(\bigcup i \in I. A(i))\ Int\ (\bigcup j \in J. B(j)) = (\bigcup i \in I. \bigcup j \in J. A(i)\ Int\ B(j))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Un-INT-distrib2*: $[I \neq 0; J \neq 0] ==>$
 $(\bigcap i \in I. A(i))\ Un\ (\bigcap j \in J. B(j)) = (\bigcap i \in I. \bigcap j \in J. A(i)\ Un\ B(j))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UN-constant [simp]*: $(\bigcup y \in A. c) = (if\ A=0\ then\ 0\ else\ c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *INT-constant [simp]*: $(\bigcap y \in A. c) = (if\ A=0\ then\ 0\ else\ c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UN-RepFun [simp]*: $(\bigcup y \in RepFun(A, f). B(y)) = (\bigcup x \in A. B(f(x)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *INT-RepFun [simp]*: $(\bigcap x \in RepFun(A, f). B(x)) = (\bigcap a \in A. B(f(a)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *INT-Union-eq*:

$0 \sim: A ==> (\bigcap x \in Union(A). B(x)) = (\bigcap y \in A. \bigcap x \in y. B(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *INT-UN-eq*:

$(\forall x \in A. B(x) \sim= 0)$
 $==> (\bigcap z \in (\bigcup x \in A. B(x)). C(z)) = (\bigcap x \in A. \bigcap z \in B(x). C(z))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UN-Un-distrib*:

$(\bigcup i \in I. A(i) \text{ Un } B(i)) = (\bigcup i \in I. A(i)) \text{ Un } (\bigcup i \in I. B(i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *INT-Int-distrib*:

$I \neq 0 ==> (\bigcap i \in I. A(i) \text{ Int } B(i)) = (\bigcap i \in I. A(i)) \text{ Int } (\bigcap i \in I. B(i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UN-Int-subset*:

$(\bigcup z \in I \text{ Int } J. A(z)) \subseteq (\bigcup z \in I. A(z)) \text{ Int } (\bigcup z \in J. A(z))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Diff-UN*: $I \neq 0 ==> B - (\bigcup i \in I. A(i)) = (\bigcap i \in I. B - A(i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Diff-INT*: $I \neq 0 ==> B - (\bigcap i \in I. A(i)) = (\bigcup i \in I. B - A(i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Sigma-cons1*: $Sigma(cons(a, B), C) = (\{a\} * C(a)) \text{ Un } Sigma(B, C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Sigma-cons2*: $A * cons(b, B) = A * \{b\} \text{ Un } A * B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Sigma-succ1*: $Sigma(succ(A), B) = (\{A\} * B(A)) \text{ Un } Sigma(A, B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Sigma-succ2*: $A * succ(B) = A * \{B\} \text{ Un } A * B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SUM-UN-distrib1*:
 $(\Sigma x \in (\bigcup y \in A. C(y)). B(x)) = (\bigcup y \in A. \Sigma x \in C(y). B(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SUM-UN-distrib2*:
 $(\Sigma i \in I. \bigcup j \in J. C(i, j)) = (\bigcup j \in J. \Sigma i \in I. C(i, j))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SUM-Un-distrib1*:
 $(\Sigma i \in I \text{ Un } J. C(i)) = (\Sigma i \in I. C(i)) \text{ Un } (\Sigma j \in J. C(j))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SUM-Un-distrib2*:
 $(\Sigma i \in I. A(i) \text{ Un } B(i)) = (\Sigma i \in I. A(i)) \text{ Un } (\Sigma i \in I. B(i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prod-Un-distrib2*: $I * (A \text{ Un } B) = I * A \text{ Un } I * B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SUM-Int-distrib1*:
 $(\Sigma i \in I \text{ Int } J. C(i)) = (\Sigma i \in I. C(i)) \text{ Int } (\Sigma j \in J. C(j))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SUM-Int-distrib2*:
 $(\Sigma i \in I. A(i) \text{ Int } B(i)) = (\Sigma i \in I. A(i)) \text{ Int } (\Sigma i \in I. B(i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prod-Int-distrib2*: $I * (A \text{ Int } B) = I * A \text{ Int } I * B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SUM-eq-UN*: $(\Sigma i \in I. A(i)) = (\bigcup i \in I. \{i\} * A(i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *times-subset-iff*:
 $(A' * B' \subseteq A * B) \iff (A' = 0 \mid B' = 0 \mid (A' \subseteq A) \ \& \ (B' \subseteq B))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-Sigma-eq*:
 $(\Sigma x \in A'. B'(x)) \text{ Int } (\Sigma x \in A. B(x)) = (\Sigma x \in A' \text{ Int } A. B'(x)) \text{ Int } B(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *domain-iff*: $a: \text{domain}(r) \leftrightarrow (EX\ y. \langle a, y \rangle \in r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domainI* [*intro*]: $\langle a, b \rangle \in r \implies a: \text{domain}(r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domainE* [*elim!*]:
 $[\mid a \in \text{domain}(r); \ !y. \langle a, y \rangle \in r \implies P \mid] \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domain-subset*: $\text{domain}(\text{Sigma}(A, B)) \subseteq A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domain-of-prod*: $b \in B \implies \text{domain}(A * B) = A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domain-0* [*simp*]: $\text{domain}(0) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domain-cons* [*simp*]: $\text{domain}(\text{cons}(\langle a, b \rangle, r)) = \text{cons}(a, \text{domain}(r))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domain-Un-eq* [*simp*]: $\text{domain}(A \text{ Un } B) = \text{domain}(A) \text{ Un } \text{domain}(B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domain-Int-subset*: $\text{domain}(A \text{ Int } B) \subseteq \text{domain}(A) \text{ Int } \text{domain}(B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domain-Diff-subset*: $\text{domain}(A) - \text{domain}(B) \subseteq \text{domain}(A - B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domain-UN*: $\text{domain}(\bigcup x \in A. B(x)) = (\bigcup x \in A. \text{domain}(B(x)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domain-Union*: $\text{domain}(\text{Union}(A)) = (\bigcup x \in A. \text{domain}(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rangeI* [*intro*]: $\langle a, b \rangle \in r \implies b \in \text{range}(r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rangeE* [*elim!*]: $[\mid b \in \text{range}(r); \ !x. \langle x, b \rangle \in r \implies P \mid] \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *range-subset*: $\text{range}(A * B) \subseteq B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *range-of-prod*: $a \in A \implies \text{range}(A * B) = B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *range-0* [simp]: $\text{range}(0) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *range-cons* [simp]: $\text{range}(\text{cons}(\langle a, b \rangle, r)) = \text{cons}(b, \text{range}(r))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *range-Un-eq* [simp]: $\text{range}(A \text{ Un } B) = \text{range}(A) \text{ Un } \text{range}(B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *range-Int-subset*: $\text{range}(A \text{ Int } B) \subseteq \text{range}(A) \text{ Int } \text{range}(B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *range-Diff-subset*: $\text{range}(A) - \text{range}(B) \subseteq \text{range}(A - B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *domain-converse* [simp]: $\text{domain}(\text{converse}(r)) = \text{range}(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *range-converse* [simp]: $\text{range}(\text{converse}(r)) = \text{domain}(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fieldI1*: $\langle a, b \rangle \in r \implies a \in \text{field}(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fieldI2*: $\langle a, b \rangle \in r \implies b \in \text{field}(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fieldCI* [intro]:
 $(\sim \langle c, a \rangle \in r \implies \langle a, b \rangle \in r) \implies a \in \text{field}(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fieldE* [elim!]:
 $\llbracket a \in \text{field}(r);$
 $!!x. \langle a, x \rangle \in r \implies P;$
 $!!x. \langle x, a \rangle \in r \implies P \quad \rrbracket \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *field-subset*: $\text{field}(A * B) \subseteq A \text{ Un } B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *domain-subset-field*: $\text{domain}(r) \subseteq \text{field}(r)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *range-subset-field*: $range(r) \subseteq field(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *domain-times-range*: $r \subseteq Sigma(A,B) ==> r \subseteq domain(r)*range(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *field-times-field*: $r \subseteq Sigma(A,B) ==> r \subseteq field(r)*field(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *relation-field-times-field*: $relation(r) ==> r \subseteq field(r)*field(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *field-of-prod*: $field(A*A) = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *field-0* [simp]: $field(0) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *field-cons* [simp]: $field(cons(<a,b>,r)) = cons(a, cons(b, field(r)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *field-Un-eq* [simp]: $field(A \ Un \ B) = field(A) \ Un \ field(B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *field-Int-subset*: $field(A \ Int \ B) \subseteq field(A) \ Int \ field(B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *field-Diff-subset*: $field(A) - field(B) \subseteq field(A - B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *field-converse* [simp]: $field(converse(r)) = field(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-Union*: $(\forall x \in S. \ EX \ A \ B. \ x \subseteq A*B) ==>$
 $Union(S) \subseteq domain(Union(S)) * range(Union(S))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rel-Un*: $[| \ r \subseteq A*B; \ s \subseteq C*D \ |] ==> (r \ Un \ s) \subseteq (A \ Un \ C) * (B \ Un \ D)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *domain-Diff-eq*: $[| \ <a,c> \in r; \ c \sim b \ |] ==> domain(r - \{<a,b>\}) = domain(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *range-Diff-eq*: $[| \ <c,b> \in r; \ c \sim a \ |] ==> range(r - \{<a,b>\}) = range(r)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

4.9 Image of a Set under a Function or Relation

lemma *image-iff*: $b \in r^{``}A \leftrightarrow (\exists x \in A. \langle x, b \rangle \in r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-singleton-iff*: $b \in r^{``}\{a\} \leftrightarrow \langle a, b \rangle \in r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *imageI* [intro]: $[\langle a, b \rangle \in r; a \in A] \implies b \in r^{``}A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *imageE* [elim!]:
 $[\langle b: r^{``}A; !!x. [\langle x, b \rangle \in r; x \in A] \implies P \rangle] \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-subset*: $r \subseteq A * B \implies r^{``}C \subseteq B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-0* [simp]: $r^{``}0 = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-Un* [simp]: $r^{``}(A \cup B) = (r^{``}A) \cup (r^{``}B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-UN*: $r^{``}(\bigcup x \in A. B(x)) = \bigcup x \in A. r^{``}B(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Collect-image-eq*:
 $\{z \in \text{Sigma}(A, B). P(z)\}^{``}C = (\bigcup x \in A. \{y \in B(x). x \in C \ \& \ P(\langle x, y \rangle)\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-Int-subset*: $r^{``}(A \cap B) \subseteq (r^{``}A) \cap (r^{``}B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-Int-square-subset*: $(r \cap A * A)^{``}B \subseteq (r^{``}B) \cap A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-Int-square*: $B \subseteq A \implies (r \cap A * A)^{``}B = (r^{``}B) \cap A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-0-left* [simp]: $0^{``}A = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-Un-left*: $(r \cup s)^{``}A = (r^{``}A) \cup (s^{``}A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-Int-subset-left*: $(r \text{ Int } s) \text{ `` } A \subseteq (r \text{ `` } A) \text{ Int } (s \text{ `` } A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.10 Inverse Image of a Set under a Function or Relation

lemma *vimage-iff*:
 $a \in r \text{ `` } B \text{ <-> } (\exists y \in B. \text{ < } a, y \text{ > } \in r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *vimage-singleton-iff*: $a \in r \text{ `` } \{b\} \text{ <-> } \text{ < } a, b \text{ > } \in r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *vimageI [intro]*: $[\text{ < } a, b \text{ > } \in r; b \in B] \implies a \in r \text{ `` } B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *vimageE [elim!]*:
 $[\text{ < } a, x \text{ > } \in r; x \in B] \implies P \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *vimage-subset*: $r \subseteq A * B \implies r \text{ `` } C \subseteq A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *vimage-0 [simp]*: $r \text{ `` } 0 = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *vimage-Un [simp]*: $r \text{ `` } (A \text{ Un } B) = (r \text{ `` } A) \text{ Un } (r \text{ `` } B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *vimage-Int-subset*: $r \text{ `` } (A \text{ Int } B) \subseteq (r \text{ `` } A) \text{ Int } (r \text{ `` } B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *vimage-eq-UN*: $f \text{ `` } B = (\bigcup y \in B. f \text{ `` } \{y\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *function-vimage-Int*:
 $\text{function}(f) \implies f \text{ `` } (A \text{ Int } B) = (f \text{ `` } A) \text{ Int } (f \text{ `` } B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *function-vimage-Diff*: $\text{function}(f) \implies f \text{ `` } (A - B) = (f \text{ `` } A) - (f \text{ `` } B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *function-image-vimage*: $\text{function}(f) \implies f \text{ `` } (f \text{ `` } A) \subseteq A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *vimage-Int-square-subset*: $(r \text{ Int } A * A) \text{ `` } B \subseteq (r \text{ `` } B) \text{ Int } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *vimage-Int-square*: $B \subseteq A \implies (r \text{ Int } A * A) - ``B = (r - ``B) \text{ Int } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *vimage-0-left* [simp]: $0 - ``A = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *vimage-Un-left*: $(r \text{ Un } s) - ``A = (r - ``A) \text{ Un } (s - ``A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *vimage-Int-subset-left*: $(r \text{ Int } s) - ``A \subseteq (r - ``A) \text{ Int } (s - ``A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *converse-Un* [simp]: $\text{converse}(A \text{ Un } B) = \text{converse}(A) \text{ Un } \text{converse}(B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *converse-Int* [simp]: $\text{converse}(A \text{ Int } B) = \text{converse}(A) \text{ Int } \text{converse}(B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *converse-Diff* [simp]: $\text{converse}(A - B) = \text{converse}(A) - \text{converse}(B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *converse-UN* [simp]: $\text{converse}(\bigcup x \in A. B(x)) = (\bigcup x \in A. \text{converse}(B(x)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *converse-INT* [simp]:
 $\text{converse}(\bigcap x \in A. B(x)) = (\bigcap x \in A. \text{converse}(B(x)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.11 Powerset Operator

lemma *Pow-0* [simp]: $\text{Pow}(0) = \{0\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Pow-insert*: $\text{Pow}(\text{cons}(a, A)) = \text{Pow}(A) \text{ Un } \{\text{cons}(a, X) \mid X: \text{Pow}(A)\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Un-Pow-subset*: $\text{Pow}(A) \text{ Un } \text{Pow}(B) \subseteq \text{Pow}(A \text{ Un } B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UN-Pow-subset*: $(\bigcup x \in A. \text{Pow}(B(x))) \subseteq \text{Pow}(\bigcup x \in A. B(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subset-Pow-Union*: $A \subseteq \text{Pow}(\text{Union}(A))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Union-Pow-eq* [simp]: $\text{Union}(\text{Pow}(A)) = A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Union-Pow-iff*: $\text{Union}(A) \in \text{Pow}(B) \iff A \in \text{Pow}(\text{Pow}(B))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Pow-Int-eq* [simp]: $\text{Pow}(A \text{ Int } B) = \text{Pow}(A) \text{ Int } \text{Pow}(B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Pow-INT-eq*: $A \neq 0 \implies \text{Pow}(\bigcap_{x \in A} B(x)) = (\bigcap_{x \in A} \text{Pow}(B(x)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.12 RepFun

lemma *RepFun-subset*: $[\![\forall x. x \in A \implies f(x) \in B]\!] \implies \{f(x). x \in A\} \subseteq B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *RepFun-eq-0-iff* [simp]: $\{f(x). x \in A\} = 0 \iff A = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *RepFun-constant* [simp]: $\{c. x \in A\} = (\text{if } A = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \{c\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.13 Collect

lemma *Collect-subset*: $\text{Collect}(A, P) \subseteq A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Collect-Un*: $\text{Collect}(A \text{ Un } B, P) = \text{Collect}(A, P) \text{ Un } \text{Collect}(B, P)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Collect-Int*: $\text{Collect}(A \text{ Int } B, P) = \text{Collect}(A, P) \text{ Int } \text{Collect}(B, P)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Collect-Diff*: $\text{Collect}(A - B, P) = \text{Collect}(A, P) - \text{Collect}(B, P)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Collect-cons*: $\{x \in \text{cons}(a, B). P(x)\} =$
 $(\text{if } P(a) \text{ then } \text{cons}(a, \{x \in B. P(x)\}) \text{ else } \{x \in B. P(x)\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Int-Collect-self-eq*: $A \text{ Int } \text{Collect}(A, P) = \text{Collect}(A, P)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Collect-Collect-eq* [simp]:
 $\text{Collect}(\text{Collect}(A, P), Q) = \text{Collect}(A, \%x. P(x) \ \& \ Q(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Collect-Int-Collect-eq*:

$Collect(A, P) \text{ Int } Collect(A, Q) = Collect(A, \%x. P(x) \ \& \ Q(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Collect-Union-eq [simp]*:

$Collect(\bigcup x \in A. B(x), P) = (\bigcup x \in A. Collect(B(x), P))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Collect-Int-left*: $\{x \in A. P(x)\} \text{ Int } B = \{x \in A \text{ Int } B. P(x)\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Collect-Int-right*: $A \text{ Int } \{x \in B. P(x)\} = \{x \in A \text{ Int } B. P(x)\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Collect-disj-eq*: $\{x \in A. P(x) \mid Q(x)\} = Collect(A, P) \text{ Un } Collect(A, Q)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Collect-conj-eq*: $\{x \in A. P(x) \ \& \ Q(x)\} = Collect(A, P) \text{ Int } Collect(A, Q)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *subset-SIs = subset-refl cons-subsetI subset-consI*

Union-least UN-least Un-least

Inter-greatest Int-greatest RepFun-subset

Un-upper1 Un-upper2 Int-lower1 Int-lower2

$\langle ML \rangle$

end

5 Least and Greatest Fixed Points; the Knaster-Tarski Theorem

theory *Fixedpt* **imports** *equalities* **begin**

definition

$bnd\text{-}mono :: [i, i \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $bnd\text{-}mono(D, h) == h(D) \leq D \ \& \ (ALL \ W \ X. W \leq X \ \longrightarrow \ X \leq D \ \longrightarrow \ h(W) \leq h(X))$

definition

$lfp :: [i, i \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $lfp(D, h) == Inter(\{X: Pow(D). h(X) \leq X\})$

definition

$gfp \quad :: [i, i => i] => i \text{ where}$
 $gfp(D, h) == Union(\{X: Pow(D). X \leq h(X)\})$

The theorem is proved in the lattice of subsets of D , namely $Pow(D)$, with $Inter$ as the greatest lower bound.

5.1 Monotone Operators

lemma *bnd-monoI*:

$[[h(D) \leq D;$
 $!! W X. [[W \leq D; X \leq D; W \leq X]] ==> h(W) \leq h(X)$
 $]] ==> bnd-mono(D, h)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bnd-monoD1*: $bnd-mono(D, h) ==> h(D) \leq D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bnd-monoD2*: $[[bnd-mono(D, h); W \leq X; X \leq D]] ==> h(W) \leq h(X)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bnd-mono-subset*:

$[[bnd-mono(D, h); X \leq D]] ==> h(X) \leq D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bnd-mono-Un*:

$[[bnd-mono(D, h); A \leq D; B \leq D]] ==> h(A) \cup h(B) \leq h(A \cup B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bnd-mono-UN*:

$[[bnd-mono(D, h); \forall i \in I. A(i) \leq D]]$
 $==> (\bigcup i \in I. h(A(i))) \leq h((\bigcup i \in I. A(i)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bnd-mono-Int*:

$[[bnd-mono(D, h); A \leq D; B \leq D]] ==> h(A \cap B) \leq h(A) \cap h(B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.2 Proof of Knaster-Tarski Theorem using *lfp*

lemma *lfp-lowerbound*:

$[[h(A) \leq A; A \leq D]] ==> lfp(D, h) \leq A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lfp-subset*: $lfp(D, h) \leq D$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *def-lfp-subset*: $A == \text{lfp}(D, h) ==> A \leq D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lfp-greatest*:
 $[[h(D) \leq D; !!X. [[h(X) \leq X; X \leq D]] ==> A \leq X]] ==> A \leq \text{lfp}(D, h)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lfp-lemma1*:
 $[[\text{bnd-mono}(D, h); h(A) \leq A; A \leq D]] ==> h(\text{lfp}(D, h)) \leq A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lfp-lemma2*: $\text{bnd-mono}(D, h) ==> h(\text{lfp}(D, h)) \leq \text{lfp}(D, h)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lfp-lemma3*:
 $\text{bnd-mono}(D, h) ==> \text{lfp}(D, h) \leq h(\text{lfp}(D, h))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lfp-unfold*: $\text{bnd-mono}(D, h) ==> \text{lfp}(D, h) = h(\text{lfp}(D, h))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *def-lfp-unfold*:
 $[[A == \text{lfp}(D, h); \text{bnd-mono}(D, h)]] ==> A = h(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.3 General Induction Rule for Least Fixedpoints

lemma *Collect-is-pre-fixedpt*:
 $[[\text{bnd-mono}(D, h); !!x. x : h(\text{Collect}(\text{lfp}(D, h), P)) ==> P(x)]]$
 $==> h(\text{Collect}(\text{lfp}(D, h), P)) \leq \text{Collect}(\text{lfp}(D, h), P)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *induct*:
 $[[\text{bnd-mono}(D, h); a : \text{lfp}(D, h);$
 $!!x. x : h(\text{Collect}(\text{lfp}(D, h), P)) ==> P(x)$
 $]] ==> P(a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *def-induct*:
 $[[A == \text{lfp}(D, h); \text{bnd-mono}(D, h); a:A;$
 $!!x. x : h(\text{Collect}(A, P)) ==> P(x)$
 $]] ==> P(a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lfp-Int-lowerbound*:

$$[\mid h(D \text{ Int } A) \leq A; \text{ bnd-mono}(D, h) \mid] \implies \text{lfp}(D, h) \leq A$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lfp-mono*:
assumes *hmono*: $\text{bnd-mono}(D, h)$
and *imon*: $\text{bnd-mono}(E, i)$
and *subhi*: $\forall X. X \leq D \implies h(X) \leq i(X)$
shows $\text{lfp}(D, h) \leq \text{lfp}(E, i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lfp-mono2*:

$$[\mid i(D) \leq D; \forall X. X \leq D \implies h(X) \leq i(X) \mid] \implies \text{lfp}(D, h) \leq \text{lfp}(D, i)$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lfp-cong*:

$$[\mid D = D'; \forall X. X \leq D' \implies h(X) = h'(X) \mid] \implies \text{lfp}(D, h) = \text{lfp}(D', h')$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.4 Proof of Knaster-Tarski Theorem using *gfp*

lemma *gfp-upperbound*: $[\mid A \leq h(A); A \leq D \mid] \implies A \leq \text{gfp}(D, h)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gfp-subset*: $\text{gfp}(D, h) \leq D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *def-gfp-subset*: $A = \text{gfp}(D, h) \implies A \leq D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gfp-least*:

$$[\mid \text{bnd-mono}(D, h); \forall X. [\mid X \leq h(X); X \leq D \mid] \implies X \leq A \mid] \implies$$

$$\text{gfp}(D, h) \leq A$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gfp-lemma1*:

$$[\mid \text{bnd-mono}(D, h); A \leq h(A); A \leq D \mid] \implies A \leq h(\text{gfp}(D, h))$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gfp-lemma2*: $\text{bnd-mono}(D, h) \implies \text{gfp}(D, h) \leq h(\text{gfp}(D, h))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gfp-lemma3*:

$$\text{bnd-mono}(D, h) \implies h(\text{gfp}(D, h)) \leq \text{gfp}(D, h)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gfp-unfold*: $bnd\text{-}mono(D, h) \implies gfp(D, h) = h(gfp(D, h))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *def-gfp-unfold*:
 $[| A == gfp(D, h); \quad bnd\text{-}mono(D, h) \quad |] \implies A = h(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

5.5 Coinduction Rules for Greatest Fixed Points

lemma *weak-coinduct*: $[| a : X; \quad X \leq h(X); \quad X \leq D \quad |] \implies a : gfp(D, h)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *coinduct-lemma*:
 $[| X \leq h(X \text{ Un } gfp(D, h)); \quad X \leq D; \quad bnd\text{-}mono(D, h) \quad |] \implies$
 $X \text{ Un } gfp(D, h) \leq h(X \text{ Un } gfp(D, h))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *coinduct*:
 $[| bnd\text{-}mono(D, h); \quad a : X; \quad X \leq h(X \text{ Un } gfp(D, h)); \quad X \leq D \quad |]$
 $\implies a : gfp(D, h)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *def-coinduct*:
 $[| A == gfp(D, h); \quad bnd\text{-}mono(D, h); \quad a : X; \quad X \leq h(X \text{ Un } A); \quad X \leq D \quad |]$
 \implies
 $a : A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *def-Collect-coinduct*:
 $[| A == gfp(D, \%w. \text{Collect}(D, P(w))); \quad bnd\text{-}mono(D, \%w. \text{Collect}(D, P(w)));$
 $a : X; \quad X \leq D; \quad !!z. z : X \implies P(X \text{ Un } A, z) \quad |] \implies$
 $a : A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gfp-mono*:
 $[| bnd\text{-}mono(D, h); \quad D \leq E;$
 $!!X. X \leq D \implies h(X) \leq i(X) \quad |] \implies gfp(D, h) \leq gfp(E, i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

6 Booleans in Zermelo-Fraenkel Set Theory

theory *Bool* **imports** *pair* **begin**

abbreviation

one (*1*) **where**
 $1 == succ(0)$

abbreviation

two (*2*) **where**
 $2 == succ(1)$

2 is equal to bool, but is used as a number rather than a type.

definition $bool == \{0, 1\}$

definition $cond(b, c, d) == if(b=1, c, d)$

definition $not(b) == cond(b, 0, 1)$

definition

and $:: [i, i] ==> i$ (**infixl** *and* 70) **where**
 $a \text{ and } b == cond(a, b, 0)$

definition

or $:: [i, i] ==> i$ (**infixl** *or* 65) **where**
 $a \text{ or } b == cond(a, 1, b)$

definition

xor $:: [i, i] ==> i$ (**infixl** *xor* 65) **where**
 $a \text{ xor } b == cond(a, not(b), b)$

lemmas *bool-defs* = *bool-def cond-def*

lemma *singleton-0*: $\{0\} = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bool-1I* [*simp, TC*]: $1 : bool$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bool-0I* [*simp, TC*]: $0 : bool$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *one-not-0*: $1 \sim 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *one-neq-0* = *one-not-0* [*THEN notE, standard*]

lemma *boolE*:

$\llbracket c : \text{bool}; \ c=1 \implies P; \ c=0 \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cond-1* [*simp*]: $\text{cond}(1, c, d) = c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cond-0* [*simp*]: $\text{cond}(0, c, d) = d$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cond-type* [*TC*]: $\llbracket b : \text{bool}; \ c : A(1); \ d : A(0) \rrbracket \implies \text{cond}(b, c, d) : A(b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cond-simple-type*: $\llbracket b : \text{bool}; \ c : A; \ d : A \rrbracket \implies \text{cond}(b, c, d) : A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *def-cond-1*: $\llbracket !b. \ j(b) == \text{cond}(b, c, d) \rrbracket \implies j(1) = c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *def-cond-0*: $\llbracket !b. \ j(b) == \text{cond}(b, c, d) \rrbracket \implies j(0) = d$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *not-1* = *not-def* [*THEN def-cond-1, standard, simp*]

lemmas *not-0* = *not-def* [*THEN def-cond-0, standard, simp*]

lemmas *and-1* = *and-def* [*THEN def-cond-1, standard, simp*]

lemmas *and-0* = *and-def* [*THEN def-cond-0, standard, simp*]

lemmas *or-1* = *or-def* [*THEN def-cond-1, standard, simp*]

lemmas *or-0* = *or-def* [*THEN def-cond-0, standard, simp*]

lemmas *xor-1* = *xor-def* [*THEN def-cond-1, standard, simp*]

lemmas *xor-0* = *xor-def* [*THEN def-cond-0, standard, simp*]

lemma *not-type* [*TC*]: $a : \text{bool} \implies \text{not}(a) : \text{bool}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *and-type* [*TC*]: $\llbracket a : \text{bool}; \ b : \text{bool} \rrbracket \implies a \text{ and } b : \text{bool}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *or-type* [*TC*]: $\llbracket a : \text{bool}; \ b : \text{bool} \rrbracket \implies a \text{ or } b : \text{bool}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *xor-type* [TC]: $[| a:bool; b:bool |] ==> a \text{ xor } b : bool$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *bool-typechecks* = *bool-1I bool-0I cond-type not-type and-type*
or-type xor-type

6.1 Laws About 'not'

lemma *not-not* [simp]: $a:bool ==> not(not(a)) = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-and* [simp]: $a:bool ==> not(a \text{ and } b) = not(a) \text{ or } not(b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-or* [simp]: $a:bool ==> not(a \text{ or } b) = not(a) \text{ and } not(b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

6.2 Laws About 'and'

lemma *and-absorb* [simp]: $a: bool ==> a \text{ and } a = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *and-commute*: $[| a: bool; b:bool |] ==> a \text{ and } b = b \text{ and } a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *and-assoc*: $a: bool ==> (a \text{ and } b) \text{ and } c = a \text{ and } (b \text{ and } c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *and-or-distrib*: $[| a: bool; b:bool; c:bool |] ==>$
 $(a \text{ or } b) \text{ and } c = (a \text{ and } c) \text{ or } (b \text{ and } c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

6.3 Laws About 'or'

lemma *or-absorb* [simp]: $a: bool ==> a \text{ or } a = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *or-commute*: $[| a: bool; b:bool |] ==> a \text{ or } b = b \text{ or } a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *or-assoc*: $a: bool ==> (a \text{ or } b) \text{ or } c = a \text{ or } (b \text{ or } c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *or-and-distrib*: $[| a: bool; b: bool; c: bool |] ==>$
 $(a \text{ and } b) \text{ or } c = (a \text{ or } c) \text{ and } (b \text{ or } c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

```

    bool-of-o :: o=>i where
      bool-of-o(P) == (if P then 1 else 0)

lemma [simp]: bool-of-o(True) = 1
  <proof>

lemma [simp]: bool-of-o(False) = 0
  <proof>

lemma [simp,TC]: bool-of-o(P) ∈ bool
  <proof>

lemma [simp]: (bool-of-o(P) = 1) <-> P
  <proof>

lemma [simp]: (bool-of-o(P) = 0) <-> ~P
  <proof>

  <ML>

end

```

7 Disjoint Sums

theory Sum **imports** Bool equalities **begin**

And the "Part" primitive for simultaneous recursive type definitions

global

```

constdefs
  sum      :: [i,i]=>i                      (infixr + 65)
  A+B == {0}*A Un {1}*B

  Inl      :: i=>i
  Inl(a) == <0,a>

  Inr      :: i=>i
  Inr(b) == <1,b>

  case     :: [i=>i, i=>i, i]=>i
  case(c,d) == (%<y,z>. cond(y, d(z), c(z)))

  Part     :: [i,i=>i] => i
  Part(A,h) == {x: A. EX z. x = h(z)}

local

```

7.1 Rules for the *Part* Primitive

lemma *Part-iff*:

$a : \text{Part}(A, h) \leftrightarrow a : A \ \& \ (\exists y. a = h(y))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Part-eqI* [*intro*]:

$[a : A; a = h(b)] \implies a : \text{Part}(A, h)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *PartI* = *refl* [*THEN* [2] *Part-eqI*]

lemma *PartE* [*elim!*]:

$[a : \text{Part}(A, h); !z. [a : A; a = h(z)] \implies P]$
 $[] \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Part-subset*: $\text{Part}(A, h) \leq A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

7.2 Rules for Disjoint Sums

lemmas *sum-defs* = *sum-def Inl-def Inr-def case-def*

lemma *Sigma-bool*: $\text{Sigma}(\text{bool}, C) = C(0) + C(1)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *InlI* [*intro!*, *simp*, *TC*]: $a : A \implies \text{Inl}(a) : A + B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *InrI* [*intro!*, *simp*, *TC*]: $b : B \implies \text{Inr}(b) : A + B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sumE* [*elim!*]:

$[u : A + B;$
 $!x. [x : A; u = \text{Inl}(x)] \implies P;$
 $!y. [y : B; u = \text{Inr}(y)] \implies P]$
 $[] \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Inl-iff* [*iff*]: $\text{Inl}(a) = \text{Inl}(b) \leftrightarrow a = b$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Inr-iff* [*iff*]: $\text{Inr}(a) = \text{Inr}(b) \leftrightarrow a = b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Inl-Inr-iff* [simp]: $Inl(a) = Inr(b) \leftrightarrow False$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Inr-Inl-iff* [simp]: $Inr(b) = Inl(a) \leftrightarrow False$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-empty* [simp]: $0 + 0 = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *Inl-inject* = *Inl-iff* [THEN *iffD1*, standard]
lemmas *Inr-inject* = *Inr-iff* [THEN *iffD1*, standard]
lemmas *Inl-neq-Inr* = *Inl-Inr-iff* [THEN *iffD1*, THEN *FalseE*, elim!]
lemmas *Inr-neq-Inl* = *Inr-Inl-iff* [THEN *iffD1*, THEN *FalseE*, elim!]

lemma *InlD*: $Inl(a): A + B \implies a: A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *InrD*: $Inr(b): A + B \implies b: B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-iff*: $u: A + B \leftrightarrow (EX x. x:A \ \& \ u = Inl(x)) \mid (EX y. y:B \ \& \ u = Inr(y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Inl-in-sum-iff* [simp]: $(Inl(x) \in A + B) \leftrightarrow (x \in A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Inr-in-sum-iff* [simp]: $(Inr(y) \in A + B) \leftrightarrow (y \in B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-subset-iff*: $A + B \leq C + D \leftrightarrow A \leq C \ \& \ B \leq D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-equal-iff*: $A + B = C + D \leftrightarrow A = C \ \& \ B = D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-eq-2-times*: $A + A = 2 * A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

7.3 The Eliminator: *case*

lemma *case-Inl* [simp]: $case(c, d, Inl(a)) = c(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *case-Inr* [simp]: $case(c, d, Inr(b)) = d(b)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *case-type* [TC]:

[[$u: A+B$;
 $!!x. x: A ==> c(x): C(Inl(x))$;
 $!!y. y: B ==> d(y): C(Inr(y))$
 $]] ==> case(c,d,u) : C(u)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *expand-case*: $u: A+B ==>$

$R(case(c,d,u)) <->$
 $((ALL\ x:A. u = Inl(x) --> R(c(x))) \ \&$
 $(ALL\ y:B. u = Inr(y) --> R(d(y))))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *case-cong*:

[[$z: A+B$;
 $!!x. x:A ==> c(x)=c'(x)$;
 $!!y. y:B ==> d(y)=d'(y)$
 $]] ==> case(c,d,z) = case(c',d',z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *case-case*: $z: A+B ==>$

$case(c, d, case(\%x. Inl(c'(x)), \%y. Inr(d'(y)), z)) =$
 $case(\%x. c(c'(x)), \%y. d(d'(y)), z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

7.4 More Rules for $Part(A, h)$

lemma *Part-mono*: $A \leq B ==> Part(A,h) \leq Part(B,h)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Part-Collect*: $Part(Collect(A,P), h) = Collect(Part(A,h), P)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *Part-CollectE* =

Part-Collect [THEN equalityD1, THEN subsetD, THEN CollectE, standard]

lemma *Part-Inl*: $Part(A+B, Inl) = \{Inl(x). x: A\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Part-Inr*: $Part(A+B, Inr) = \{Inr(y). y: B\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *PartD1*: $a : Part(A,h) ==> a : A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Part-id*: $Part(A, \%x. x) = A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Part-Inr2*: $Part(A+B, \%x. Inr(h(x))) = \{Inr(y). y: Part(B,h)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Part-sum-equality*: $C \leq A+B \implies Part(C,Inl) \cup Part(C,Inr) = C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

8 Functions, Function Spaces, Lambda-Abstraction

theory *func* **imports** *equalities Sum* **begin**

8.1 The Pi Operator: Dependent Function Space

lemma *subset-Sigma-imp-relation*: $r \leq Sigma(A,B) \implies relation(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *relation-converse-converse* [*simp*]:
 $relation(r) \implies converse(converse(r)) = r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *relation-restrict* [*simp*]: $relation(restrict(r,A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pi-iff*:
 $f: Pi(A,B) \iff function(f) \ \& \ f \leq Sigma(A,B) \ \& \ A \leq domain(f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pi-iff-old*:
 $f: Pi(A,B) \iff f \leq Sigma(A,B) \ \& \ (ALL \ x:A. EX! \ y. \langle x,y \rangle : f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fun-is-function*: $f: Pi(A,B) \implies function(f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *function-imp-Pi*:
 $[|function(f); relation(f)|] \implies f \in domain(f) \rightarrow range(f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *functionI*:
 $[|!!x \ y \ y'. [| \langle x,y \rangle : r; \langle x,y' \rangle : r |] \implies y=y' |] \implies function(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fun-is-rel*: $f: Pi(A,B) \implies f \leq Sigma(A,B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pi-cong*:

$\llbracket A=A'; \quad !!x. x:A' \implies B(x)=B'(x) \rrbracket \implies Pi(A,B) = Pi(A',B')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fun-weaken-type*: $\llbracket f: A \multimap B; \quad B \leq D \rrbracket \implies f: A \multimap D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.2 Function Application

lemma *apply-equality2*: $\llbracket \langle a,b \rangle: f; \quad \langle a,c \rangle: f; \quad f: Pi(A,B) \rrbracket \implies b=c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *function-apply-equality*: $\llbracket \langle a,b \rangle: f; \quad function(f) \rrbracket \implies f'a = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *apply-equality*: $\llbracket \langle a,b \rangle: f; \quad f: Pi(A,B) \rrbracket \implies f'a = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *apply-0*: $a \sim: domain(f) \implies f'a = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pi-memberD*: $\llbracket f: Pi(A,B); \quad c: f \rrbracket \implies \exists x:A. \quad c = \langle x, f'x \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *function-apply-Pair*: $\llbracket function(f); \quad a : domain(f) \rrbracket \implies \langle a, f'a \rangle: f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *apply-Pair*: $\llbracket f: Pi(A,B); \quad a:A \rrbracket \implies \langle a, f'a \rangle: f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *apply-type* [TC]: $\llbracket f: Pi(A,B); \quad a:A \rrbracket \implies f'a : B(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *apply-funtype*: $\llbracket f: A \multimap B; \quad a:A \rrbracket \implies f'a : B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *apply-iff*: $f: Pi(A,B) \implies \langle a,b \rangle: f \iff a:A \ \& \ f'a = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pi-type*: $\llbracket f: Pi(A,C); \quad !!x. x:A \implies f'x : B(x) \rrbracket \implies f : Pi(A,B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pi-Collect-iff*:

$(f : Pi(A, \%x. \{y:B(x). P(x,y)\}))$
 $\langle - \rangle f : Pi(A,B) \ \& \ (ALL \ x: A. P(x, f'x))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pi-weaken-type*:

$[[f : Pi(A,B); \ !x. x:A ==> B(x) \leq C(x)]] ==> f : Pi(A,C)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *domain-type*: $[[<a,b> : f; f : Pi(A,B)]] ==> a : A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *range-type*: $[[<a,b> : f; f : Pi(A,B)]] ==> b : B(a)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pair-mem-PiD*: $[[<a,b> : f; f : Pi(A,B)]] ==> a:A \ \& \ b:B(a) \ \& \ f'a = b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

8.3 Lambda Abstraction

lemma *lamI*: $a:A ==> <a,b(a)> : (lam \ x:A. b(x))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lamE*:

$[[p: (lam \ x:A. b(x)); \ !x.[[x:A; p=<x,b(x)>]] ==> P$
 $]] ==> P$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lamD*: $[[<a,c> : (lam \ x:A. b(x))]] ==> c = b(a)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lam-type [TC]*:

$[[!x. x:A ==> b(x): B(x)]] ==> (lam \ x:A. b(x)) : Pi(A,B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lam-funtype*: $(lam \ x:A. b(x)) : A \rightarrow \{b(x). x:A\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *function-lam*: *function* $(lam \ x:A. b(x))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *relation-lam*: *relation* $(lam \ x:A. b(x))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *beta-if* [simp]: $(\text{lam } x:A. b(x)) \text{ ` } a = (\text{if } a : A \text{ then } b(a) \text{ else } 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *beta*: $a : A \implies (\text{lam } x:A. b(x)) \text{ ` } a = b(a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lam-empty* [simp]: $(\text{lam } x:0. b(x)) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domain-lam* [simp]: $\text{domain}(\text{Lambda}(A,b)) = A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lam-cong* [cong]:
 $[\![A=A'; \text{ !!}x. x:A' \implies b(x)=b'(x)]\!] \implies \text{Lambda}(A,b) = \text{Lambda}(A',b')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lam-theI*:
 $(\text{!!}x. x:A \implies EX! y. Q(x,y)) \implies EX f. ALL x:A. Q(x, f'x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lam-eqE*: $[\![(\text{lam } x:A. f(x)) = (\text{lam } x:A. g(x)); a:A]\!] \implies f(a)=g(a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Pi-empty1* [simp]: $\text{Pi}(0,A) = \{0\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *singleton-fun* [simp]: $\{<a,b>\} : \{a\} \multimap \{b\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Pi-empty2* [simp]: $(A \multimap 0) = (\text{if } A=0 \text{ then } \{0\} \text{ else } 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fun-space-empty-iff* [iff]: $(A \multimap X)=0 \longleftrightarrow X=0 \ \& \ (A \neq 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

8.4 Extensionality

lemma *fun-subset*:
 $[\![f : \text{Pi}(A,B); g : \text{Pi}(C,D); A \leq C; \text{ !!}x. x:A \implies f'x = g'x]\!] \implies f \leq g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fun-extension*:
 $[\![f : \text{Pi}(A,B); g : \text{Pi}(A,D);$

$$\llbracket !x. x:A \implies f'x = g'x \quad \rrbracket \implies f=g$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eta [simp]*: $f : Pi(A,B) \implies (lam\ x:A. f'x) = f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fun-extension-iff*:

$$\llbracket f:Pi(A,B); g:Pi(A,C) \rrbracket \implies (ALL\ a:A. f'a = g'a) \iff f=g$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fun-subset-eq*: $\llbracket f:Pi(A,B); g:Pi(A,C) \rrbracket \implies f \leq g \iff (f = g)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pi-lamE*:
assumes *major*: $f: Pi(A,B)$
and *minor*: $!!b. \llbracket ALL\ x:A. b(x):B(x); f = (lam\ x:A. b(x)) \rrbracket \implies P$
shows P
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.5 Images of Functions

lemma *image-lam*: $C \leq A \implies (lam\ x:A. b(x)) \text{ `` } C = \{b(x). x:C\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Repfun-function-if*:

$$function(f) \implies \{f'x. x:C\} = (if\ C \leq domain(f)\ then\ f''C\ else\ cons(0,f''C))$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-function*:

$$\llbracket function(f); C \leq domain(f) \rrbracket \implies f''C = \{f'x. x:C\}$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-fun*: $\llbracket f : Pi(A,B); C \leq A \rrbracket \implies f''C = \{f'x. x:C\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-eq-UN*:
assumes $f: f \in Pi(A,B)$ $C \subseteq A$ **shows** $f''C = (\bigcup_{x \in C. \{f'x\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pi-image-cons*:

$$\llbracket f: Pi(A,B); x: A \rrbracket \implies f \text{ `` } cons(x,y) = cons(f'x, f'y)$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.6 Properties of $\text{restrict}(f, A)$

lemma *restrict-subset*: $\text{restrict}(f, A) \leq f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *function-restrictI*:
 $\text{function}(f) \implies \text{function}(\text{restrict}(f, A))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restrict-type2*: $[\mid f: \text{Pi}(C, B); A \leq C \mid] \implies \text{restrict}(f, A) : \text{Pi}(A, B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restrict*: $\text{restrict}(f, A) \text{ ' } a = (\text{if } a : A \text{ then } f \text{ ' } a \text{ else } 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restrict-empty* [simp]: $\text{restrict}(f, 0) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restrict-iff*: $z \in \text{restrict}(r, A) \iff z \in r \ \& \ (\exists x \in A. \exists y. z = \langle x, y \rangle)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restrict-restrict* [simp]:
 $\text{restrict}(\text{restrict}(r, A), B) = \text{restrict}(r, A \text{ Int } B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domain-restrict* [simp]: $\text{domain}(\text{restrict}(f, C)) = \text{domain}(f) \text{ Int } C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restrict-idem*: $f \leq \text{Sigma}(A, B) \implies \text{restrict}(f, A) = f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domain-restrict-idem*:
 $[\mid \text{domain}(r) \leq A; \text{relation}(r) \mid] \implies \text{restrict}(r, A) = r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domain-restrict-lam* [simp]: $\text{domain}(\text{restrict}(\text{Lambda}(A, f), C)) = A \text{ Int } C$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restrict-if* [simp]: $\text{restrict}(f, A) \text{ ' } a = (\text{if } a : A \text{ then } f \text{ ' } a \text{ else } 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restrict-lam-eq*:
 $A \leq C \implies \text{restrict}(\text{lam } x:C. b(x), A) = (\text{lam } x:A. b(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fun-cons-restrict-eq*:
 $f : \text{cons}(a, b) \rightarrow B \implies f = \text{cons}(\langle a, f \text{ ' } a \rangle, \text{restrict}(f, b))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

8.7 Unions of Functions

lemma *function-Union*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[\text{ALL } x:S. \text{function}(x); \\ & \quad \text{ALL } x:S. \text{ALL } y:S. x \leq y \mid y \leq x \mid]] \\ & \implies \text{function}(\text{Union}(S)) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *fun-Union*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[\text{ALL } f:S. \text{EX } C \ D. f:C \multimap D; \\ & \quad \text{ALL } f:S. \text{ALL } y:S. f \leq y \mid y \leq f \mid]] \implies \\ & \quad \text{Union}(S) : \text{domain}(\text{Union}(S)) \multimap \text{range}(\text{Union}(S)) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *gen-relation-Union* [*rule-format*]:

$$\forall f \in F. \text{relation}(f) \implies \text{relation}(\text{Union}(F))$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *Un-rls* = *Un-subset-iff* *SUM-Un-distrib1* *prod-Un-distrib2*
subset-trans [*OF* - *Un-upper1*]
subset-trans [*OF* - *Un-upper2*]

lemma *fun-disjoint-Un*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[f: A \multimap B; \ g: C \multimap D; \ A \text{ Int } C = 0 \mid]] \\ & \implies (f \text{ Un } g) : (A \text{ Un } C) \multimap (B \text{ Un } D) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fun-disjoint-apply1*: $a \notin \text{domain}(g) \implies (f \text{ Un } g)'a = f'a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fun-disjoint-apply2*: $c \notin \text{domain}(f) \implies (f \text{ Un } g)'c = g'c$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

8.8 Domain and Range of a Function or Relation

lemma *domain-of-fun*: $f : Pi(A,B) \implies \text{domain}(f) = A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *apply-rangeI*: $[[f : Pi(A,B); \ a: A \mid]] \implies f'a : \text{range}(f)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *range-of-fun*: $f : Pi(A,B) \implies f : A \multimap \text{range}(f)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

8.9 Extensions of Functions

lemma *fun-extend*:

$\llbracket f: A \multimap B; \ c \sim: A \rrbracket \implies \text{cons}(\langle c, b \rangle, f) : \text{cons}(c, A) \multimap \text{cons}(b, B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fun-extend3*:

$\llbracket f: A \multimap B; \ c \sim: A; \ b: B \rrbracket \implies \text{cons}(\langle c, b \rangle, f) : \text{cons}(c, A) \multimap B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *extend-apply*:

$c \sim: \text{domain}(f) \implies \text{cons}(\langle c, b \rangle, f)'a = (\text{if } a=c \text{ then } b \text{ else } f'a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fun-extend-apply* [*simp*]:

$\llbracket f: A \multimap B; \ c \sim: A \rrbracket \implies \text{cons}(\langle c, b \rangle, f)'a = (\text{if } a=c \text{ then } b \text{ else } f'a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *singleton-apply* = *apply-equality* [*OF singletonI singleton-fun, simp*]

lemma *cons-fun-eq*:

$c \sim: A \implies \text{cons}(c, A) \multimap B = (\bigcup f \in A \multimap B. \bigcup b \in B. \{\text{cons}(\langle c, b \rangle, f)\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *succ-fun-eq*: $\text{succ}(n) \multimap B = (\bigcup f \in n \multimap B. \bigcup b \in B. \{\text{cons}(\langle n, b \rangle, f)\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

8.10 Function Updates

definition

update $:: [i, i, i] \implies i$ **where**
update(*f*, *a*, *b*) == *lam* *x*: *cons*(*a*, *domain*(*f*)). *if*(*x*=*a*, *b*, *f*'*x*)

nonterminals

updbinds updbind

syntax

-updbind $:: [i, i] \implies \text{updbind} \quad ((\text{?} \text{ := } / \text{ -}))$
 $:: \text{updbind} \implies \text{updbinds} \quad (-)$
-updbinds $:: [\text{updbind}, \text{updbinds}] \implies \text{updbinds} \quad (-, / \text{ -})$
-Update $:: [i, \text{updbinds}] \implies i \quad (-/'((-)') [900, 0] 900)$

translations

-Update (*f*, *-updbinds*(*b*, *bs*)) == *-Update* (*-Update*(*f*, *b*), *bs*)
f(*x*:=*y*) == *CONST* *update*(*f*, *x*, *y*)

lemma *update-apply* [*simp*]: $f(x:=y) \text{ ' } z = (\text{if } z=x \text{ then } y \text{ else } f^{\epsilon}z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *update-idem*: $[\mid f^{\epsilon}x = y; \ f: \text{Pi}(A,B); \ x: A \mid] \implies f(x:=y) = f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare *refl* [*THEN update-idem, simp*]

lemma *domain-update* [*simp*]: $\text{domain}(f(x:=y)) = \text{cons}(x, \text{domain}(f))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *update-type*: $[\mid f: \text{Pi}(A,B); \ x: A; \ y: B(x) \mid] \implies f(x:=y) : \text{Pi}(A, B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

8.11 Monotonicity Theorems

8.11.1 Replacement in its Various Forms

lemma *Replace-mono*: $A \leq B \implies \text{Replace}(A,P) \leq \text{Replace}(B,P)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *RepFun-mono*: $A \leq B \implies \{f(x). x:A\} \leq \{f(x). x:B\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Pow-mono*: $A \leq B \implies \text{Pow}(A) \leq \text{Pow}(B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Union-mono*: $A \leq B \implies \text{Union}(A) \leq \text{Union}(B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UN-mono*:
 $[\mid A \leq C; \ \forall x. x:A \implies B(x) \leq D(x) \mid] \implies (\bigcup_{x \in A} B(x)) \leq (\bigcup_{x \in C} D(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Inter-anti-mono*: $[\mid A \leq B; \ A \neq 0 \mid] \implies \text{Inter}(B) \leq \text{Inter}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cons-mono*: $C \leq D \implies \text{cons}(a,C) \leq \text{cons}(a,D)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Un-mono*: $[\mid A \leq C; \ B \leq D \mid] \implies A \text{ Un } B \leq C \text{ Un } D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Int-mono*: $[\mid A \leq C; \ B \leq D \mid] \implies A \text{ Int } B \leq C \text{ Int } D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Diff-mono*: $[| A \leq C; D \leq B |] \implies A - B \leq C - D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.11.2 Standard Products, Sums and Function Spaces

lemma *Sigma-mono* [*rule-format*]:
 $[| A \leq C; !!x. x:A \dashrightarrow B(x) \leq D(x) |] \implies Sigma(A,B) \leq Sigma(C,D)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-mono*: $[| A \leq C; B \leq D |] \implies A + B \leq C + D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pi-mono*: $B \leq C \implies A \rightarrow B \leq A \rightarrow C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lam-mono*: $A \leq B \implies Lambda(A,c) \leq Lambda(B,c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.11.3 Converse, Domain, Range, Field

lemma *converse-mono*: $r \leq s \implies converse(r) \leq converse(s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *domain-mono*: $r \leq s \implies domain(r) \leq domain(s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *domain-rel-subset* = *subset-trans* [*OF domain-mono domain-subset*]

lemma *range-mono*: $r \leq s \implies range(r) \leq range(s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *range-rel-subset* = *subset-trans* [*OF range-mono range-subset*]

lemma *field-mono*: $r \leq s \implies field(r) \leq field(s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *field-rel-subset*: $r \leq A * A \implies field(r) \leq A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

8.11.4 Images

lemma *image-pair-mono*:
 $[| !! x y. \langle x, y \rangle : r \implies \langle x, y \rangle : s; A \leq B |] \implies r `` A \leq s `` B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-pair-mono*:
 $[| !! x y. \langle x, y \rangle : r \implies \langle x, y \rangle : s; A \leq B |] \implies r - `` A \leq s - `` B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-mono*: $[| r \leq s; A \leq B |] \implies r''A \leq s''B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *vimage-mono*: $[| r \leq s; A \leq B |] \implies r-''A \leq s-''B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Collect-mono*:
 $[| A \leq B; !!x. x:A \implies P(x) \dashv\dashv Q(x) |] \implies \text{Collect}(A,P) \leq \text{Collect}(B,Q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *basic-monos* = *subset-refl imp-refl disj-mono conj-mono ex-mono*
Collect-mono Part-mono in-mono

end

9 Quine-Inspired Ordered Pairs and Disjoint Sums

theory *QPair* **imports** *Sum func* **begin**

For non-well-founded data structures in ZF. Does not precisely follow Quine's construction. Thanks to Thomas Forster for suggesting this approach!

W. V. Quine, On Ordered Pairs and Relations, in Selected Logic Papers, 1966.

definition
 $QPair \quad :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i \quad (\langle -; / - \rangle) \text{ where}$
 $\langle a; b \rangle == a + b$

definition
 $qfst :: i \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$
 $qfst(p) == \text{THE } a. \text{EX } b. p = \langle a; b \rangle$

definition
 $qsnd :: i \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$
 $qsnd(p) == \text{THE } b. \text{EX } a. p = \langle a; b \rangle$

definition
 $qsplit \quad :: [[i, i] \Rightarrow 'a, i] \Rightarrow 'a::\{\} \text{ where}$
 $qsplit(c,p) == c(qfst(p), qsnd(p))$

definition
 $qconverse :: i \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$
 $qconverse(r) == \{z. w:r, \text{EX } x y. w = \langle x; y \rangle \ \& \ z = \langle y; x \rangle\}$

definition

$QSigma \quad :: [i, i \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$
 $QSigma(A, B) \quad == \bigcup_{x \in A}. \bigcup_{y \in B(x)}. \{ \langle x; y \rangle \}$

syntax

$-QSUM \quad :: [idt, i, i] \Rightarrow i \quad ((\exists QSUM \text{ :-./ -}) 10)$

translations

$QSUM \ x:A. B \Rightarrow CONST \ QSigma(A, \%x. B)$

abbreviation

$qprod \text{ (infixr } \langle * \rangle 80) \text{ where}$
 $A \langle * \rangle B == QSigma(A, \%-. B)$

definition

$qsum \quad :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i \quad (\text{infixr } \langle + \rangle 65) \text{ where}$
 $A \langle + \rangle B \quad == (\{0\} \langle * \rangle A) \cup (\{1\} \langle * \rangle B)$

definition

$QInl \quad :: i \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$
 $QInl(a) \quad == \langle 0; a \rangle$

definition

$QInr \quad :: i \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$
 $QInr(b) \quad == \langle 1; b \rangle$

definition

$qcase \quad :: [i \Rightarrow i, i \Rightarrow i, i] \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$
 $qcase(c, d) \quad == qsplit(\%y \ z. cond(y, d(z), c(z)))$

9.1 Quine ordered pairing

lemma $QPair\text{-}empty \ [simp]: \langle 0; 0 \rangle = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $QPair\text{-}iff \ [simp]: \langle a; b \rangle = \langle c; d \rangle \Leftrightarrow a=c \ \& \ b=d$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $QPair\text{-}inject = QPair\text{-}iff \ [THEN \ iffD1, \ THEN \ conjE, \ standard, \ elim!]$

lemma $QPair\text{-}inject1: \langle a; b \rangle = \langle c; d \rangle \Rightarrow a=c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $QPair\text{-}inject2: \langle a; b \rangle = \langle c; d \rangle \Rightarrow b=d$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

9.1.1 QSigma: Disjoint union of a family of sets Generalizes Cartesian product

lemma $QSigmaI \ [intro!]: [\ a:A; \ b:B(a) \] \Rightarrow \langle a; b \rangle : QSigma(A, B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *QSigmaE* [elim!]:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket c : QSigma(A, B); \\ & \quad !!x\ y. \llbracket x:A; \ y:B(x); \ c=<x;y> \rrbracket ==> P \\ & \rrbracket ==> P \end{aligned}$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *QSigmaE2* [elim!]:

$$\llbracket <a;b> : QSigma(A, B); \llbracket a:A; \ b:B(a) \rrbracket ==> P \rrbracket ==> P$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *QSigmaD1*: $<a;b> : QSigma(A, B) ==> a : A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *QSigmaD2*: $<a;b> : QSigma(A, B) ==> b : B(a)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *QSigma-cong*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket A=A'; \quad !!x. \ x:A' ==> B(x)=B'(x) \rrbracket ==> \\ & \quad QSigma(A, B) = QSigma(A', B') \end{aligned}$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *QSigma-empty1* [simp]: $QSigma(0, B) = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *QSigma-empty2* [simp]: $A <*> 0 = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

9.1.2 Projections: qfst, qsnd

lemma *qfst-conv* [simp]: $qfst(<a;b>) = a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qsnd-conv* [simp]: $qsnd(<a;b>) = b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qfst-type* [TC]: $p:QSigma(A, B) ==> qfst(p) : A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qsnd-type* [TC]: $p:QSigma(A, B) ==> qsnd(p) : B(qfst(p))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *QPair-qfst-qsnd-eq*: $a : QSigma(A, B) ==> <qfst(a); qsnd(a)> = a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

9.1.3 Eliminator: qsplit

lemma *qsplit* [simp]: $qsplit(\%x\ y. \ c(x, y), <a;b>) == c(a, b)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qsplit-type* [elim!]:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mid p:QSigma(A,B); \\ & \quad !!x\ y. [\mid x:A; y:B(x) \mid] ==> c(x,y):C(<x;y>) \\ & \mid] ==> qsplit(\%x\ y. c(x,y), p) : C(p) \end{aligned}$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *expand-qsplit*:

$$u: A<*>B ==> R(qsplit(c,u)) <-> (ALL\ x:A. ALL\ y:B. u = <x;y> --> R(c(x,y)))$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

9.1.4 qsplit for predicates: result type o

lemma *qsplitI*: $R(a,b) ==> qsplit(R, <a;b>)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qsplitE*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mid qsplit(R,z); \ z:QSigma(A,B); \\ & \quad !!x\ y. [\mid z = <x;y>; \ R(x,y) \mid] ==> P \\ & \mid] ==> P \end{aligned}$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qsplitD*: $qsplit(R,<a;b>) ==> R(a,b)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

9.1.5 qconverse

lemma *qconverseI* [intro!]: $<a;b>:r ==> <b;a>:qconverse(r)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qconverseD* [elim!]: $<a;b> : qconverse(r) ==> <b;a> : r$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qconverseE* [elim!]:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mid yx : qconverse(r); \\ & \quad !!x\ y. [\mid yx=<y;x>; \ <x;y>:r \mid] ==> P \\ & \mid] ==> P \end{aligned}$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qconverse-qconverse*: $r<=QSigma(A,B) ==> qconverse(qconverse(r)) = r$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qconverse-type*: $r <= A <*> B ==> qconverse(r) <= B <*> A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qconverse-prod*: $qconverse(A <*> B) = B <*> A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qconverse-empty*: $qconverse(0) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

9.2 The Quine-inspired notion of disjoint sum

lemmas *qsum-defs* = *qsum-def* *QInl-def* *QInr-def* *qcase-def*

lemma *QInlI* [*intro!*]: $a : A \implies QInl(a) : A <+> B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *QInrI* [*intro!*]: $b : B \implies QInr(b) : A <+> B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qsumE* [*elim!*]:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mid u : A <+> B; \\ & \quad !!x. [\mid x:A; \quad u=QInl(x)] \implies P; \\ & \quad !!y. [\mid y:B; \quad u=QInr(y)] \implies P \\ &] \implies P \end{aligned}$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *QInl-iff* [*iff*]: $QInl(a)=QInl(b) <-> a=b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *QInr-iff* [*iff*]: $QInr(a)=QInr(b) <-> a=b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *QInl-QInr-iff* [*simp*]: $QInl(a)=QInr(b) <-> False$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *QInr-QInl-iff* [*simp*]: $QInr(b)=QInl(a) <-> False$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qsum-empty* [*simp*]: $0 <+> 0 = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *QInl-inject* = *QInl-iff* [*THEN iffD1, standard*]
lemmas *QInr-inject* = *QInr-iff* [*THEN iffD1, standard*]

lemmas $QInl\text{-}neq\text{-}QInr = QInl\text{-}QInr\text{-}iff$ [THEN $iffD1$, THEN $FalseE$, $elim!$]
lemmas $QInr\text{-}neq\text{-}QInl = QInr\text{-}QInl\text{-}iff$ [THEN $iffD1$, THEN $FalseE$, $elim!$]

lemma $QInlD$: $QInl(a): A <+> B ==> a: A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $QInrD$: $QInr(b): A <+> B ==> b: B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $qsum\text{-}iff$:
 $u: A <+> B <-> (EX\ x.\ x:A \ \&\ u=QInl(x)) \mid (EX\ y.\ y:B \ \&\ u=QInr(y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $qsum\text{-}subset\text{-}iff$: $A <+> B <= C <+> D <-> A <= C \ \&\ B <= D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $qsum\text{-}equal\text{-}iff$: $A <+> B = C <+> D <-> A=C \ \&\ B=D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

9.2.1 Eliminator – qcase

lemma $qcase\text{-}QInl$ [simp]: $qcase(c, d, QInl(a)) = c(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $qcase\text{-}QInr$ [simp]: $qcase(c, d, QInr(b)) = d(b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $qcase\text{-}type$:
 $\llbracket u: A <+> B;$
 $\quad !!x.\ x: A ==> c(x): C(QInl(x));$
 $\quad !!y.\ y: B ==> d(y): C(QInr(y))$
 $\rrbracket ==> qcase(c,d,u) : C(u)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Part\text{-}QInl$: $Part(A <+> B, QInl) = \{QInl(x). x: A\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Part\text{-}QInr$: $Part(A <+> B, QInr) = \{QInr(y). y: B\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Part\text{-}QInr2$: $Part(A <+> B, \%x.\ QInr(h(x))) = \{QInr(y). y: Part(B,h)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Part\text{-}qsum\text{-}equality$: $C <= A <+> B ==> Part(C, QInl) \ Un\ Part(C, QInr)$

$= C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

9.2.2 Monotonicity

lemma *QPair-mono*: $\llbracket a \leq c; b \leq d \rrbracket \implies \langle a; b \rangle \leq \langle c; d \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *QSigma-mono* [*rule-format*]:
 $\llbracket A \leq C; \text{ALL } x:A. B(x) \leq D(x) \rrbracket \implies QSigma(A, B) \leq QSigma(C, D)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *QInl-mono*: $a \leq b \implies QInl(a) \leq QInl(b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *QInr-mono*: $a \leq b \implies QInr(a) \leq QInr(b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qsum-mono*: $\llbracket A \leq C; B \leq D \rrbracket \implies A <+> B \leq C <+> D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

10 Inductive and Coinductive Definitions

theory *Inductive* **imports** *Fixedpt QPair*

uses

ind-syntax.ML
Tools/cartprod.ML
Tools/ind-cases.ML
Tools/inductive-package.ML
Tools/induct-tacs.ML
Tools/primrec-package.ML **begin**

$\langle ML \rangle$

end

11 Injections, Surjections, Bijections, Composition

theory *Perm* **imports** *func* **begin**

definition

comp $:: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$ (**infixr** *O 60*) **where**
 $r \ O \ s == \{xz : \text{domain}(s) * \text{range}(r) \}.$

$$EX\ x\ y\ z. \ xz = \langle x, z \rangle \ \& \ \langle x, y \rangle : s \ \& \ \langle y, z \rangle : r \}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} id &:: i => i \text{ \textbf{where}} \\ id(A) &== (lam\ x:A. x) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} inj &:: [i, i] => i \text{ \textbf{where}} \\ inj(A, B) &== \{ f: A \multimap B. \ ALL\ w:A. \ ALL\ x:A. \ f'w = f'x \multimap w = x \} \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} surj &:: [i, i] => i \text{ \textbf{where}} \\ surj(A, B) &== \{ f: A \multimap B \ . \ ALL\ y:B. \ EX\ x:A. \ f'x = y \} \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} bij &:: [i, i] => i \text{ \textbf{where}} \\ bij(A, B) &== inj(A, B) \ Int\ surj(A, B) \end{aligned}$$

11.1 Surjections

lemma *surj-is-fun*: $f: surj(A, B) \implies f: A \multimap B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fun-is-surj*: $f: Pi(A, B) \implies f: surj(A, range(f))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *surj-range*: $f: surj(A, B) \implies range(f) = B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *f-imp-surjective*:

$$\begin{aligned} &[[f: A \multimap B; \ !!y. y:B \implies d(y): A; \ !!y. y:B \implies f'd(y) = y]] \\ &\implies f: surj(A, B) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lam-surjective*:

$$\begin{aligned} &[[!!x. x:A \implies c(x): B; \\ &\quad !!y. y:B \implies d(y): A; \\ &\quad !!y. y:B \implies c(d(y)) = y \\ &]] \implies (lam\ x:A. c(x)) : surj(A, B) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cantor-surj*: $f \sim: surj(A, Pow(A))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

11.2 Injections

lemma *inj-is-fun*: $f: inj(A,B) ==> f: A \multimap B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inj-equality*:
[[$\langle a,b \rangle : f$; $\langle c,b \rangle : f$; $f: inj(A,B)$]] ==> $a=c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inj-apply-equality*: [[$f: inj(A,B)$; $f'a=f'b$; $a:A$; $b:A$]] ==> $a=b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *f-imp-injective*: [[$f: A \multimap B$; $ALL\ x:A. d(f'x)=x$]] ==> $f: inj(A,B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lam-injective*:
[[$!!x. x:A ==> c(x): B$;
 $!!x. x:A ==> d(c(x)) = x$]]
==> $(lam\ x:A. c(x)) : inj(A,B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

11.3 Bijections

lemma *bij-is-inj*: $f: bij(A,B) ==> f: inj(A,B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bij-is-surj*: $f: bij(A,B) ==> f: surj(A,B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *bij-is-fun* = *bij-is-inj* [THEN *inj-is-fun*, standard]

lemma *lam-bijective*:
[[$!!x. x:A ==> c(x): B$;
 $!!y. y:B ==> d(y): A$;
 $!!x. x:A ==> d(c(x)) = x$;
 $!!y. y:B ==> c(d(y)) = y$]]
==> $(lam\ x:A. c(x)) : bij(A,B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *RepFun-bijective*: $(ALL\ y : x. EX! y'. f(y') = f(y))$
==> $(lam\ z:\{f(y). y:x\}. THE\ y. f(y) = z) : bij(\{f(y). y:x\}, x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

11.4 Identity Function

lemma *idI* [*intro!*]: $a:A \implies \langle a,a \rangle : id(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *idE* [*elim!*]: $[| p: id(A); !!x.[| x:A; p=\langle x,x \rangle |] \implies P |] \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *id-type*: $id(A) : A \multimap A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *id-conv* [*simp*]: $x:A \implies id(A)'x = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *id-mono*: $A \leq B \implies id(A) \leq id(B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *id-subset-inj*: $A \leq B \implies id(A): inj(A,B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *id-inj* = *subset-refl* [*THEN id-subset-inj, standard*]

lemma *id-surj*: $id(A): surj(A,A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *id-bij*: $id(A): bij(A,A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subset-iff-id*: $A \leq B \iff id(A) : A \multimap B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

id as the identity relation

lemma *id-iff* [*simp*]: $\langle x,y \rangle \in id(A) \iff x=y \ \& \ y \in A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

11.5 Converse of a Function

lemma *inj-converse-fun*: $f: inj(A,B) \implies converse(f) : range(f) \multimap A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The premises are equivalent to saying that *f* is injective...

lemma *left-inverse-lemma*:
 $[| f: A \multimap B; converse(f): C \multimap A; a: A |] \implies converse(f)'(f'a) = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *left-inverse* [*simp*]: $[| f: inj(A,B); a: A |] \implies converse(f)'(f'a) = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *left-inverse-eq*:
 $[| f \in inj(A,B); f'a = y; x \in A |] \implies converse(f)'y = x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *left-inverse-bij* = *bij-is-inj* [THEN *left-inverse*, *standard*]

lemma *right-inverse-lemma*:

$[[f: A \rightarrow B; \text{converse}(f): C \rightarrow A; \ b: C \] \implies f'(\text{converse}(f)'b) = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *right-inverse* [simp]:

$[[f: inj(A,B); \ b: \text{range}(f) \] \implies f'(\text{converse}(f)'b) = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *right-inverse-bij*: $[[f: \text{bij}(A,B); \ b: B \] \implies f'(\text{converse}(f)'b) = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

11.6 Converses of Injections, Surjections, Bijections

lemma *inj-converse-inj*: $f: inj(A,B) \implies \text{converse}(f): inj(\text{range}(f), A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inj-converse-surj*: $f: inj(A,B) \implies \text{converse}(f): \text{surj}(\text{range}(f), A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bij-converse-bij* [TC]: $f: \text{bij}(A,B) \implies \text{converse}(f): \text{bij}(B,A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

11.7 Composition of Two Relations

lemma *compI* [intro]: $[[\langle a,b \rangle : s; \ \langle b,c \rangle : r \] \implies \langle a,c \rangle : r \ O \ s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *compE* [elim!]:

$[[\ xz : r \ O \ s;$
 $!!y \ y \ z. \ [[\ xz = \langle x,z \rangle; \ \langle x,y \rangle : s; \ \langle y,z \rangle : r \] \implies P \]$
 $\implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *compEpair*:

$[[\ \langle a,c \rangle : r \ O \ s;$
 $!!y. \ [[\ \langle a,y \rangle : s; \ \langle y,c \rangle : r \] \implies P \]$
 $\implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *converse-comp*: $\text{converse}(R \ O \ S) = \text{converse}(S) \ O \ \text{converse}(R)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

11.8 Domain and Range – see Suppes, Section 3.1

lemma *range-comp*: $\text{range}(r \circ s) \leq \text{range}(r)$
<proof>

lemma *range-comp-eq*: $\text{domain}(r) \leq \text{range}(s) \implies \text{range}(r \circ s) = \text{range}(r)$
<proof>

lemma *domain-comp*: $\text{domain}(r \circ s) \leq \text{domain}(s)$
<proof>

lemma *domain-comp-eq*: $\text{range}(s) \leq \text{domain}(r) \implies \text{domain}(r \circ s) = \text{domain}(s)$
<proof>

lemma *image-comp*: $(r \circ s)^{''}A = r^{''}(s^{''}A)$
<proof>

11.9 Other Results

lemma *comp-mono*: $[\![\, r' \leq r; s' \leq s \,]\!] \implies (r' \circ s') \leq (r \circ s)$
<proof>

lemma *comp-rel*: $[\![\, s \leq A*B; r \leq B*C \,]\!] \implies (r \circ s) \leq A*C$
<proof>

lemma *comp-assoc*: $(r \circ s) \circ t = r \circ (s \circ t)$
<proof>

lemma *left-comp-id*: $r \leq A*B \implies \text{id}(B) \circ r = r$
<proof>

lemma *right-comp-id*: $r \leq A*B \implies r \circ \text{id}(A) = r$
<proof>

11.10 Composition Preserves Functions, Injections, and Surjections

lemma *comp-function*: $[\![\, \text{function}(g); \text{function}(f) \,]\!] \implies \text{function}(f \circ g)$
<proof>

lemma *comp-fun*: $[\![\, g: A \rightarrow B; f: B \rightarrow C \,]\!] \implies (f \circ g): A \rightarrow C$
<proof>

lemma *comp-fun-apply* [*simp*]:

$$[\mid g: A \multimap B; \ a:A \mid] \implies (f \circ g)'a = f'(g'a)$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-lam*:

$$[\mid !x. x:A \implies b(x): B \mid]$$

$$\implies (lam\ y:B. c(y)) \circ (lam\ x:A. b(x)) = (lam\ x:A. c(b(x)))$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-inj*:

$$[\mid g: inj(A,B); \ f: inj(B,C) \mid] \implies (f \circ g) : inj(A,C)$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-surj*:

$$[\mid g: surj(A,B); \ f: surj(B,C) \mid] \implies (f \circ g) : surj(A,C)$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-bij*:

$$[\mid g: bij(A,B); \ f: bij(B,C) \mid] \implies (f \circ g) : bij(A,C)$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

11.11 Dual Properties of *inj* and *surj*

Useful for proofs from D Pastre. Automatic theorem proving in set theory.
 Artificial Intelligence, 10:1–27, 1978.

lemma *comp-mem-injD1*:

$$[\mid (f \circ g): inj(A,C); \ g: A \multimap B; \ f: B \multimap C \mid] \implies g: inj(A,B)$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-mem-injD2*:

$$[\mid (f \circ g): inj(A,C); \ g: surj(A,B); \ f: B \multimap C \mid] \implies f: inj(B,C)$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-mem-surjD1*:

$$[\mid (f \circ g): surj(A,C); \ g: A \multimap B; \ f: B \multimap C \mid] \implies f: surj(B,C)$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-mem-surjD2*:

$$[\mid (f \circ g): surj(A,C); \ g: A \multimap B; \ f: inj(B,C) \mid] \implies g: surj(A,B)$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

11.11.1 Inverses of Composition

lemma *left-comp-inverse*: $f: inj(A,B) \implies converse(f) \circ f = id(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *right-comp-inverse*:

$f: \text{surj}(A, B) \implies f \circ \text{converse}(f) = \text{id}(B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

11.11.2 Proving that a Function is a Bijection

lemma *comp-eq-id-iff*:

$[\![f: A \multimap B; g: B \multimap A \!]\!] \implies f \circ g = \text{id}(B) \iff (\text{ALL } y: B. f'(g'y) = y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fg-imp-bijective*:

$[\![f: A \multimap B; g: B \multimap A; f \circ g = \text{id}(B); g \circ f = \text{id}(A) \!]\!] \implies f : \text{bij}(A, B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nilpotent-imp-bijective*: $[\![f: A \multimap A; f \circ f = \text{id}(A) \!]\!] \implies f : \text{bij}(A, A)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *invertible-imp-bijective*:

$[\![\text{converse}(f): B \multimap A; f: A \multimap B \!]\!] \implies f : \text{bij}(A, B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

11.11.3 Unions of Functions

See similar theorems in `func.thy`

lemma *inj-disjoint-Un*:

$[\![f: \text{inj}(A, B); g: \text{inj}(C, D); B \text{ Int } D = 0 \!]\!] \implies (\text{lam } a: A \text{ Un } C. \text{ if } a:A \text{ then } f'a \text{ else } g'a) : \text{inj}(A \text{ Un } C, B \text{ Un } D)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *surj-disjoint-Un*:

$[\![f: \text{surj}(A, B); g: \text{surj}(C, D); A \text{ Int } C = 0 \!]\!] \implies (f \text{ Un } g) : \text{surj}(A \text{ Un } C, B \text{ Un } D)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bij-disjoint-Un*:

$[\![f: \text{bij}(A, B); g: \text{bij}(C, D); A \text{ Int } C = 0; B \text{ Int } D = 0 \!]\!] \implies (f \text{ Un } g) : \text{bij}(A \text{ Un } C, B \text{ Un } D)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

11.11.4 Restrictions as Surjections and Bijections

lemma *surj-image*:

$f: \text{Pi}(A, B) \implies f: \text{surj}(A, f''A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restrict-image [simp]*: $\text{restrict}(f, A) \text{ '' } B = f \text{ '' } (A \text{ Int } B)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restrict-inj*:

$\llbracket f: \text{inj}(A,B); C \leq A \rrbracket \implies \text{restrict}(f,C): \text{inj}(C,B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restrict-surj*: $\llbracket f: \text{Pi}(A,B); C \leq A \rrbracket \implies \text{restrict}(f,C): \text{surj}(C, f''C)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *restrict-bij*:

$\llbracket f: \text{inj}(A,B); C \leq A \rrbracket \implies \text{restrict}(f,C): \text{bij}(C, f''C)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

11.11.5 Lemmas for Ramsey's Theorem

lemma *inj-weaken-type*: $\llbracket f: \text{inj}(A,B); B \leq D \rrbracket \implies f: \text{inj}(A,D)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inj-succ-restrict*:

$\llbracket f: \text{inj}(\text{succ}(m), A) \rrbracket \implies \text{restrict}(f,m) : \text{inj}(m, A - \{f'm\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inj-extend*:

$\llbracket f: \text{inj}(A,B); a \sim A; b \sim B \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{cons}(\langle a,b \rangle, f) : \text{inj}(\text{cons}(a,A), \text{cons}(b,B))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

12 Relations: Their General Properties and Transitive Closure

theory *Trancl* **imports** *Fixedpt Perm* **begin**

definition

refl $:: [i,i] => o$ **where**
 $\text{refl}(A,r) == (ALL x: A. \langle x,x \rangle : r)$

definition

irrefl $:: [i,i] => o$ **where**
 $\text{irrefl}(A,r) == ALL x: A. \langle x,x \rangle \sim: r$

definition

sym $:: i => o$ **where**
 $\text{sym}(r) == ALL x y. \langle x,y \rangle : r \implies \langle y,x \rangle : r$

definition

asym $:: i => o$ **where**

$asym(r) == ALL\ x\ y.\ \langle x,y \rangle : r \dashrightarrow \sim \langle y,x \rangle : r$

definition

$antisym :: i=>o$ **where**
 $antisym(r) == ALL\ x\ y.\ \langle x,y \rangle : r \dashrightarrow \langle y,x \rangle : r \dashrightarrow x=y$

definition

$trans :: i=>o$ **where**
 $trans(r) == ALL\ x\ y\ z.\ \langle x,y \rangle : r \dashrightarrow \langle y,z \rangle : r \dashrightarrow \langle x,z \rangle : r$

definition

$trans-on :: [i,i] => o$ ($trans[-]'(-')$) **where**
 $trans[A](r) == ALL\ x:A.\ ALL\ y:A.\ ALL\ z:A.\$
 $\langle x,y \rangle : r \dashrightarrow \langle y,z \rangle : r \dashrightarrow \langle x,z \rangle : r$

definition

$r^{*} :: i=>i$ ($(-^*) [100] 100$) **where**
 $r^* == lfp(field(r)*field(r), \%s. id(field(r))\ Un\ (r\ O\ s))$

definition

$r^{+} :: i=>i$ ($(-^+) [100] 100$) **where**
 $r^+ == r\ O\ r^*$

definition

$equiv :: [i,i] => o$ **where**
 $equiv(A,r) == r \leq A*A \ \& \ refl(A,r) \ \& \ sym(r) \ \& \ trans(r)$

12.1 General properties of relations

12.1.1 irreflexivity

lemma *irreflI*:

$[[!!x.\ x:A ==> \langle x,x \rangle \sim : r]] ==> irrefl(A,r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *irreflE*: $[[irrefl(A,r); x:A]] ==> \langle x,x \rangle \sim : r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

12.1.2 symmetry

lemma *symI*:

$[[!!x\ y.\ \langle x,y \rangle : r ==> \langle y,x \rangle : r]] ==> sym(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *symE*: $[[sym(r); \langle x,y \rangle : r]] ==> \langle y,x \rangle : r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

12.1.3 antisymmetry

lemma *antisymI*:

$\llbracket \text{!!}x\ y.\llbracket \langle x,y\rangle:r; \langle y,x\rangle:r \rrbracket \implies x=y \rrbracket \implies \text{antisym}(r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *antisymE*: $\llbracket \text{antisym}(r); \langle x,y\rangle:r; \langle y,x\rangle:r \rrbracket \implies x=y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

12.1.4 transitivity

lemma *transD*: $\llbracket \text{trans}(r); \langle a,b\rangle:r; \langle b,c\rangle:r \rrbracket \implies \langle a,c\rangle:r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trans-onD*:
 $\llbracket \text{trans}[A](r); \langle a,b\rangle:r; \langle b,c\rangle:r; a:A; b:A; c:A \rrbracket \implies \langle a,c\rangle:r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trans-imp-trans-on*: $\text{trans}(r) \implies \text{trans}[A](r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trans-on-imp-trans*: $\llbracket \text{trans}[A](r); r \leq A * A \rrbracket \implies \text{trans}(r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

12.2 Transitive closure of a relation

lemma *rtrancl-bnd-mono*:
 $\text{bnd-mono}(\text{field}(r) * \text{field}(r), \%s. \text{id}(\text{field}(r)) \cup (r \circ s))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancl-mono*: $r \leq s \implies r^* \leq s^*$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *rtrancl-unfold* =
 $\text{rtrancl-bnd-mono} \ [\text{THEN } \text{rtrancl-def} \ [\text{THEN } \text{def-lfp-unfold}, \text{standard}]]$

lemmas *rtrancl-type* = $\text{rtrancl-def} \ [\text{THEN } \text{def-lfp-subset}, \text{standard}]$

lemma *relation-rtrancl*: $\text{relation}(r^*)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancl-refl*: $\llbracket a: \text{field}(r) \rrbracket \implies \langle a,a \rangle : r^*$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rtrancl-into-rtrancl*: $\llbracket \langle a,b \rangle : r^*; \langle b,c \rangle : r \rrbracket \implies \langle a,c \rangle : r^*$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *r-into-rtrancl*: $\langle a, b \rangle : r \implies \langle a, b \rangle : r^*$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *r-subset-rtrancl*: $relation(r) \implies r \leq r^*$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancl-field*: $field(r^*) = field(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancl-full-induct* [*case-names initial step, consumes 1*]:

$$\begin{aligned} & [| \langle a, b \rangle : r^*; \\ & \quad !!x. x: field(r) \implies P(\langle x, x \rangle); \\ & \quad !!x\ y\ z. [| P(\langle x, y \rangle); \langle x, y \rangle : r^*; \langle y, z \rangle : r\ |] \implies P(\langle x, z \rangle)\ |] \\ & \implies P(\langle a, b \rangle) \end{aligned}$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancl-induct* [*case-names initial step, induct set: rtrancl*]:

$$\begin{aligned} & [| \langle a, b \rangle : r^*; \\ & \quad P(a); \\ & \quad !!y\ z. [| \langle a, y \rangle : r^*; \langle y, z \rangle : r; P(y)\ |] \implies P(z) \\ & \quad |] \implies P(b) \end{aligned}$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trans-rtrancl*: $trans(r^*)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *rtrancl-trans = trans-rtrancl* [*THEN transD, standard*]

lemma *rtranclE*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [| \langle a, b \rangle : r^*; (a=b) \implies P; \\ & \quad !!y. [| \langle a, y \rangle : r^*; \langle y, b \rangle : r\ |] \implies P\ |] \\ & \implies P \end{aligned}$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trans-trancl*: $trans(r^+)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *trans-on-trancl* = *trans-trancl* [*THEN trans-imp-trans-on*]

lemmas *trancl-trans* = *trans-trancl* [*THEN transD, standard*]

lemma *trancl-into-rtrancl*: $\langle a, b \rangle : r^+ \implies \langle a, b \rangle : r^*$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *r-into-trancl*: $\langle a, b \rangle : r \implies \langle a, b \rangle : r^+$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *r-subset-trancl*: $relation(r) \implies r \leq r^+$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancl-into-trancl1*: $[\langle a, b \rangle : r^*; \langle b, c \rangle : r] \implies \langle a, c \rangle : r^+$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancl-into-trancl2*:
 $[\langle a, b \rangle : r; \langle b, c \rangle : r^*] \implies \langle a, c \rangle : r^+$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trancl-induct* [*case-names initial step, induct set: trancl*]:
 $[\langle a, b \rangle : r^+;$
 $!!y. [\langle a, y \rangle : r] \implies P(y);$
 $!!y z. [\langle a, y \rangle : r^+; \langle y, z \rangle : r; P(y)] \implies P(z)$
 $] \implies P(b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tranclE*:
 $[\langle a, b \rangle : r^+;$
 $\langle a, b \rangle : r \implies P;$
 $!!y. [\langle a, y \rangle : r^+; \langle y, b \rangle : r] \implies P$
 $] \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trancl-type*: $r^+ \leq field(r) * field(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *relation-trancl*: $relation(r^+)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trancl-subset-times*: $r \subseteq A * A \implies r^+ \subseteq A * A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trancl-mono*: $r \leq s \implies r^+ \leq s^+$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trancl-eq-r*: $[[relation(r); trans(r)]] \implies r^+ = r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancl-idemp* [*simp*]: $(r^*)^* = r^*$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancl-subset*: $[[R \leq S; S \leq R^*]] \implies S^* = R^*$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancl-Un-rtrancl*:
 $[[relation(r); relation(s)]] \implies (r^* \cup s^*)^* = (r \cup s)^*$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancl-converseD*: $\langle x, y \rangle : converse(r)^* \implies \langle x, y \rangle : converse(r^*)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancl-converseI*: $\langle x, y \rangle : converse(r^*) \implies \langle x, y \rangle : converse(r)^*$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rtrancl-converse*: $converse(r)^* = converse(r^*)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trancl-converseD*: $\langle a, b \rangle : converse(r)^+ \implies \langle a, b \rangle : converse(r^+)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trancl-converseI*: $\langle x, y \rangle : converse(r^+) \implies \langle x, y \rangle : converse(r)^+$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trancl-converse*: $converse(r)^+ = converse(r^+)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *converse-trancl-induct* [*case-names initial step, consumes 1*]:
 $[[\langle a, b \rangle : r^+; !!y. \langle y, b \rangle : r \implies P(y)]]$

$$!!y\ z. [\langle y, z \rangle : r; \langle z, b \rangle : r^+; P(z)] \implies P(y)$$

$$\implies P(a)$$

$$\langle proof \rangle$$

end

13 Well-Founded Recursion

theory *WF* **imports** *Trancl* **begin**

definition

wf :: $i \Rightarrow o$ **where**

$$wf(r) == ALL\ Z.\ Z=0 \mid (EX\ x:Z.\ ALL\ y.\ \langle y, x \rangle : r \dashrightarrow \sim y:Z)$$

definition

wf-on :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow o$ (*wf*[-]'(-')) **where**

$$wf-on(A, r) == wf(r\ Int\ A * A)$$

definition

is-recfun :: $[i, i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**

$$is-recfun(r, a, H, f) == (f = (lam\ x:\ r - \{\{a\}\}. H(x, restrict(f, r - \{\{x\}\})))$$

definition

the-recfun :: $[i, i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$$the-recfun(r, a, H) == (THE\ f.\ is-recfun(r, a, H, f))$$

definition

wftrec :: $[i, i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$$wftrec(r, a, H) == H(a, the-recfun(r, a, H))$$

definition

wfrec :: $[i, i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$$wfrec(r, a, H) == wftrec(r^+, a, \%x\ f.\ H(x, restrict(f, r - \{\{x\}\})))$$

definition

wfrec-on :: $[i, i, i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$ (*wfrec*[-]'(-, -, -')) **where**

$$wfrec[A](r, a, H) == wfrec(r\ Int\ A * A, a, H)$$

13.1 Well-Founded Relations

13.1.1 Equivalences between *wf* and *wf-on*

lemma *wf-imp-wf-on*: $wf(r) \implies wf[A](r)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-on-imp-wf*: $[|wf[A](r); r \leq A * A|] \implies wf(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-on-field-imp-wf*: $wf[field(r)](r) \implies wf(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-iff-wf-on-field*: $wf(r) \iff wf[field(r)](r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-on-subset-A*: $[|wf[A](r); B \leq A|] \implies wf[B](r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-on-subset-r*: $[|wf[A](r); s \leq r|] \implies wf[A](s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-subset*: $[|wf(s); r \leq s|] \implies wf(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

13.1.2 Introduction Rules for *wf-on*

If every non-empty subset of A has an r -minimal element then we have $wf[A](r)$.

lemma *wf-onI*:
assumes *prem*: $!!Z u. [|Z \leq A; u:Z; ALL x:Z. EX y:Z. \langle y, x \rangle : r|] \implies False$
shows $wf[A](r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

If r allows well-founded induction over A then we have $wf[A](r)$. Premise is equivalent to $\bigwedge B. \forall x \in A. (\forall y. \langle y, x \rangle \in r \implies y \in B) \implies x \in B \implies A \subseteq B$

lemma *wf-onI2*:
assumes *prem*: $!!y B. [|ALL x:A. (ALL y:A. \langle y, x \rangle : r \implies y:B) \implies x:B; y:A|]$
 $\implies y:B$
shows $wf[A](r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

13.1.3 Well-founded Induction

Consider the least z in $domain(r)$ such that $P(z)$ does not hold...

lemma *wf-induct* [*induct set*: wf]:
 $[|wf(r);$
 $!!x. [|ALL y. \langle y, x \rangle : r \implies P(y)|] \implies P(x)|]$
 $\implies P(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *wf-induct-rule* = *wf-induct* [*rule-format*, *induct set*: wf]

The form of this rule is designed to match *wfI*

lemma *wf-induct2*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\text{wf}(r); \ a:A; \ \text{field}(r) \leq A; \\ & \quad !!x. [\ x: A; \ \text{ALL } y. \langle y, x \rangle : r \dashrightarrow P(y) \] \implies P(x) \] \\ & \implies P(a) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *field-Int-square*: $\text{field}(r \text{ Int } A * A) \leq A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-on-induct* [*consumes 2, induct set: wf-on*]:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\text{wf}[A](r); \ a:A; \\ & \quad !!x. [\ x: A; \ \text{ALL } y:A. \langle y, x \rangle : r \dashrightarrow P(y) \] \implies P(x) \\ & \quad] \implies P(a) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemmas *wf-on-induct-rule* =

wf-on-induct [*rule-format, consumes 2, induct set: wf-on*]

If r allows well-founded induction then we have $\text{wf}(r)$.

lemma *wfI*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\text{field}(r) \leq A; \\ & \quad !!y B. [\ \text{ALL } x:A. (\text{ALL } y:A. \langle y, x \rangle : r \dashrightarrow y:B) \dashrightarrow x:B; \ y:A \] \\ & \quad \implies y:B \] \\ & \implies \text{wf}(r) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

13.2 Basic Properties of Well-Founded Relations

lemma *wf-not-refl*: $\text{wf}(r) \implies \langle a, a \rangle \sim : r$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-not-sym* [*rule-format*]: $\text{wf}(r) \implies \text{ALL } x. \langle a, x \rangle : r \dashrightarrow \langle x, a \rangle \sim : r$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *wf-asym* = *wf-not-sym* [*THEN swap, standard*]

lemma *wf-on-not-refl*: $[\text{wf}[A](r); \ a: A \] \implies \langle a, a \rangle \sim : r$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-on-not-sym* [*rule-format*]:

$$[\text{wf}[A](r); \ a:A \] \implies \text{ALL } b:A. \langle a, b \rangle : r \dashrightarrow \langle b, a \rangle \sim : r$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-on-asym*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\text{wf}[A](r); \ \sim Z \implies \langle a, b \rangle : r; \\ & \quad \langle b, a \rangle \sim : r \implies Z; \ \sim Z \implies a : A; \ \sim Z \implies b : A \] \implies Z \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *wf-on-chain3*:

$$[[\text{wf}[A](r); \langle a, b \rangle : r; \langle b, c \rangle : r; \langle c, a \rangle : r; a:A; b:A; c:A]] \implies P$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

transitive closure of a WF relation is WF provided A is downward closed

lemma *wf-on-trancl*:

$$[[\text{wf}[A](r); r - \text{“} A \leq A \text{”}]] \implies \text{wf}[A](r^+)$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-trancl*: $\text{wf}(r) \implies \text{wf}(r^+)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$r - \text{“} \{a\}$ is the set of everything under a in r

lemmas *underI* = *vimage-singleton-iff* [THEN *iffD2*, *standard*]

lemmas *underD* = *vimage-singleton-iff* [THEN *iffD1*, *standard*]

13.3 The Predicate *is-recfun*

lemma *is-recfun-type*: $\text{is-recfun}(r, a, H, f) \implies f: r - \text{“} \{a\} \rightarrow \text{range}(f)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *is-recfun-imp-function* = *is-recfun-type* [THEN *fun-is-function*]

lemma *apply-recfun*:

$$[[\text{is-recfun}(r, a, H, f); \langle x, a \rangle : r]] \implies f'x = H(x, \text{restrict}(f, r - \text{“} \{x\}))$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-recfun-equal* [rule-format]:

$$[[\text{wf}(r); \text{trans}(r); \text{is-recfun}(r, a, H, f); \text{is-recfun}(r, b, H, g)]]$$

$$\implies \langle x, a \rangle : r \dashrightarrow \langle x, b \rangle : r \dashrightarrow f'x = g'x$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-recfun-cut*:

$$[[\text{wf}(r); \text{trans}(r);$$

$$\text{is-recfun}(r, a, H, f); \text{is-recfun}(r, b, H, g); \langle b, a \rangle : r]]$$

$$\implies \text{restrict}(f, r - \text{“} \{b\}) = g$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

13.4 Recursion: Main Existence Lemma

lemma *is-recfun-functional*:

$$[[\text{wf}(r); \text{trans}(r); \text{is-recfun}(r, a, H, f); \text{is-recfun}(r, a, H, g)]] \implies f = g$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *the-recfun-eq*:

$$[[\text{is-recfun}(r, a, H, f); \text{wf}(r); \text{trans}(r)]] \implies \text{the-recfun}(r, a, H) = f$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is-the-recfun*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[\text{is-recfun}(r, a, H, f); \text{wf}(r); \text{trans}(r)]] \\ & \implies \text{is-recfun}(r, a, H, \text{the-recfun}(r, a, H)) \end{aligned}$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *unfold-the-recfun*:

$$[[\text{wf}(r); \text{trans}(r)]] \implies \text{is-recfun}(r, a, H, \text{the-recfun}(r, a, H))$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

13.5 Unfolding $\text{wftrec}(r, a, H)$

lemma *the-recfun-cut*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[\text{wf}(r); \text{trans}(r); \langle b, a \rangle : r]] \\ & \implies \text{restrict}(\text{the-recfun}(r, a, H), r - \{\{b\}\}) = \text{the-recfun}(r, b, H) \end{aligned}$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wftrec*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[\text{wf}(r); \text{trans}(r)]] \implies \\ & \text{wftrec}(r, a, H) = H(a, \text{lam } x: r - \{\{a\}\}. \text{wftrec}(r, x, H)) \end{aligned}$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

13.5.1 Removal of the Premise $\text{trans}(r)$

lemma *wfrec*:

$$\text{wf}(r) \implies \text{wfrec}(r, a, H) = H(a, \text{lam } x: r - \{\{a\}\}. \text{wfrec}(r, x, H))$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *def-wfrec*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[!!x. h(x) == \text{wfrec}(r, x, H); \text{wf}(r)]] \implies \\ & h(a) = H(a, \text{lam } x: r - \{\{a\}\}. h(x)) \end{aligned}$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wfrec-type*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[\text{wf}(r); a: A; \text{field}(r) \leq A; \\ & !!x u. [[x: A; u: \text{Pi}(r - \{\{x\}\}, B)]] \implies H(x, u) : B(x) \\ &]] \implies \text{wfrec}(r, a, H) : B(a) \end{aligned}$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wfrec-on*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[\text{wf}[A](r); a: A]] \implies \\ & \text{wfrec}[A](r, a, H) = H(a, \text{lam } x: (r - \{\{a\}\}) \text{ Int } A. \text{wfrec}[A](r, x, H)) \end{aligned}$$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Minimal-element characterization of well-foundedness

lemma *wf-eq-minimal*:
 $wf(r) \leftrightarrow (ALL\ Q\ x.\ x:Q \rightarrow (EX\ z:Q.\ ALL\ y.\ \langle y,z \rangle:r \rightarrow y \sim:Q))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

14 Transitive Sets and Ordinals

theory *Ordinal* **imports** *WF Bool equalities* **begin**

definition

$Memrel \quad :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $Memrel(A) == \{z: A * A . EX\ x\ y.\ z = \langle x, y \rangle \ \&\ x: y\}$

definition

$Transset \quad :: i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $Transset(i) == ALL\ x:i.\ x \leq i$

definition

$Ord \quad :: i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $Ord(i) == Transset(i) \ \&\ (ALL\ x:i.\ Transset(x))$

definition

$lt \quad :: [i,i] \Rightarrow o$ (**infixl** $<$ 50) **where**
 $i < j == i:j \ \&\ Ord(j)$

definition

$Limit \quad :: i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $Limit(i) == Ord(i) \ \&\ 0 < i \ \&\ (ALL\ y.\ y < i \rightarrow succ(y) < i)$

abbreviation

le (**infixl** le 50) **where**
 $x\ le\ y == x < succ(y)$

notation (*xsymbols*)

le (**infixl** \leq 50)

notation (*HTML output*)

le (**infixl** \leq 50)

14.1 Rules for Transset

14.1.1 Three Neat Characterisations of Transset

lemma *Transset-iff-Pow*: $Transset(A) \leftrightarrow A \leq Pow(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Transset-iff-Union-succ*: $Transset(A) \leftrightarrow Union(succ(A)) = A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Transset-iff-Union-subset*: $Transset(A) <-> Union(A) <= A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

14.1.2 Consequences of Downwards Closure

lemma *Transset-doubleton-D*:
 $[[Transset(C); \{a,b\}: C] ==> a:C \ \& \ b: C]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Transset-Pair-D*:
 $[[Transset(C); <a,b>: C] ==> a:C \ \& \ b: C]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Transset-includes-domain*:
 $[[Transset(C); A*B <= C; b: B] ==> A <= C]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Transset-includes-range*:
 $[[Transset(C); A*B <= C; a: A] ==> B <= C]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

14.1.3 Closure Properties

lemma *Transset-0*: $Transset(0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Transset-Un*:
 $[[Transset(i); Transset(j)] ==> Transset(i \ Un \ j)]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Transset-Int*:
 $[[Transset(i); Transset(j)] ==> Transset(i \ Int \ j)]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Transset-succ*: $Transset(i) ==> Transset(succ(i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Transset-Pow*: $Transset(i) ==> Transset(Pow(i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Transset-Union*: $Transset(A) ==> Transset(Union(A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Transset-Union-family*:
 $[[!!i. i:A ==> Transset(i)] ==> Transset(Union(A))]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Transset-Inter-family*:

$\llbracket \text{!}i. i:A \implies \text{Transset}(i) \rrbracket \implies \text{Transset}(\text{Inter}(A))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Transset-UN*:

$(\text{!}x. x \in A \implies \text{Transset}(B(x))) \implies \text{Transset}(\bigcup_{x \in A} B(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Transset-INT*:

$(\text{!}x. x \in A \implies \text{Transset}(B(x))) \implies \text{Transset}(\bigcap_{x \in A} B(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

14.2 Lemmas for Ordinals

lemma *OrdI*:

$\llbracket \text{Transset}(i); \text{!}x. x:i \implies \text{Transset}(x) \rrbracket \implies \text{Ord}(i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-is-Transset*: $\text{Ord}(i) \implies \text{Transset}(i)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-contains-Transset*:

$\llbracket \text{Ord}(i); j:i \rrbracket \implies \text{Transset}(j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-in-Ord*: $\llbracket \text{Ord}(i); j:i \rrbracket \implies \text{Ord}(j)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-in-Ord'*: $\llbracket j:i; \text{Ord}(i) \rrbracket \implies \text{Ord}(j)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *Ord-succD* = *Ord-in-Ord* [*OF* - *succI1*]

lemma *Ord-subset-Ord*: $\llbracket \text{Ord}(i); \text{Transset}(j); j \leq i \rrbracket \implies \text{Ord}(j)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *OrdmemD*: $\llbracket j:i; \text{Ord}(i) \rrbracket \implies j \leq i$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-trans*: $\llbracket i:j; j:k; \text{Ord}(k) \rrbracket \implies i:k$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-succ-subsetI*: $\llbracket i:j; \text{Ord}(j) \rrbracket \implies \text{succ}(i) \leq j$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

14.3 The Construction of Ordinals: 0, succ, Union

lemma *Ord-0* [*iff*, *TC*]: $\text{Ord}(0)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Ord-succ* [TC]: $Ord(i) ==> Ord(succ(i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *Ord-1 = Ord-0* [THEN *Ord-succ*]

lemma *Ord-succ-iff* [iff]: $Ord(succ(i)) <-> Ord(i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Ord-Un* [intro,simp,TC]: $[| Ord(i); Ord(j) |] ==> Ord(i \ Un \ j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Ord-Int* [TC]: $[| Ord(i); Ord(j) |] ==> Ord(i \ Int \ j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ON-class*: $\sim (ALL \ i. i:X <-> Ord(i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

14.4 \mathbf{j} is 'less Than' for Ordinals

lemma *ltI*: $[| i:j; Ord(j) |] ==> i < j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ltE*:
 $[| i < j; [| i:j; Ord(i); Ord(j) |] ==> P |] ==> P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ltD*: $i < j ==> i:j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-lt0* [simp]: $\sim i < 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lt-Ord*: $j < i ==> Ord(j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lt-Ord2*: $j < i ==> Ord(i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *le-Ord2 = lt-Ord2* [THEN *Ord-succD*]

lemmas *lt0E = not-lt0* [THEN *notE*, *elim!*]

lemma *lt-trans*: $[| i < j; j < k |] ==> i < k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lt-not-sym*: $i < j \implies \sim (j < i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *lt-asy* = *lt-not-sym* [THEN swap]

lemma *lt-irrefl* [elim!]: $i < i \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lt-not-refl*: $\sim i < i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *le-iff*: $i \leq j \iff i < j \mid (i = j \ \& \ \text{Ord}(j))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *leI*: $i < j \implies i \leq j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *le-eqI*: $[i = j; \ \text{Ord}(j)] \implies i \leq j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *le-refl* = *refl* [THEN *le-eqI*]

lemma *le-refl-iff* [iff]: $i \leq i \iff \text{Ord}(i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *leCI*: $(\sim (i = j \ \& \ \text{Ord}(j)) \implies i < j) \implies i \leq j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *leE*:
 $[i \leq j; \ i < j \implies P; \ [i = j; \ \text{Ord}(j)] \implies P] \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *le-anti-sym*: $[i \leq j; \ j \leq i] \implies i = j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *le0-iff* [simp]: $i \leq 0 \iff i = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *le0D* = *le0-iff* [THEN *iffD1*, *dest!*]

14.5 Natural Deduction Rules for Memrel

lemma *Memrel-iff* [simp]: $\langle a, b \rangle : \text{Memrel}(A) \iff a : b \ \& \ a : A \ \& \ b : A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *MemrelI* [intro!]: $[| a: b; a: A; b: A |] ==> <a,b> : Memrel(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *MemrelE* [elim!]:
 $[| <a,b> : Memrel(A);$
 $[| a: A; b: A; a:b |] ==> P |]$
 $==> P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Memrel-type*: $Memrel(A) \leq A * A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Memrel-mono*: $A \leq B ==> Memrel(A) \leq Memrel(B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Memrel-0* [simp]: $Memrel(0) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Memrel-1* [simp]: $Memrel(1) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *relation-Memrel*: $relation(Memrel(A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf-Memrel*: $wf(Memrel(A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The premise $Ord(i)$ does not suffice.

lemma *trans-Memrel*:
 $Ord(i) ==> trans(Memrel(i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

However, the following premise is strong enough.

lemma *Transset-trans-Memrel*:
 $\forall j \in i. Transset(j) ==> trans(Memrel(i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Transset-Memrel-iff*:
 $Transset(A) ==> <a,b> : Memrel(A) \leftrightarrow a:b \ \& \ b:A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

14.6 Transfinite Induction

lemma *Transset-induct*:
 $[| i: k; Transset(k);$

$$\begin{aligned} & !!x. [x: k; \text{ ALL } y:x. P(y)] \implies P(x) [] \\ & \implies P(i) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemmas *Ord-induct* [consumes 2] = *Transset-induct* [OF - Ord-is-Transset]
lemmas *Ord-induct-rule* = *Ord-induct* [rule-format, consumes 2]

lemma *trans-induct* [consumes 1]:

$$\begin{aligned} & [Ord(i); \\ & \quad !!x. [Ord(x); \text{ ALL } y:x. P(y)] \implies P(x) [] \\ & \implies P(i) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemmas *trans-induct-rule* = *trans-induct* [rule-format, consumes 1]

14.6.1 Proving That \mathfrak{i} is a Linear Ordering on the Ordinals

lemma *Ord-linear* [rule-format]:

$$Ord(i) \implies (\text{ ALL } j. Ord(j) \dashv\vdash i:j \mid i=j \mid j:i)$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *Ord-linear-lt*:

$$[Ord(i); Ord(j); i < j \implies P; i = j \implies P; j < i \implies P] \implies P$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *Ord-linear2*:

$$[Ord(i); Ord(j); i < j \implies P; j \text{ le } i \implies P] \implies P$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *Ord-linear-le*:

$$[Ord(i); Ord(j); i \text{ le } j \implies P; j \text{ le } i \implies P] \implies P$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *le-imp-not-lt*: $j \text{ le } i \implies \sim i < j$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *not-lt-imp-le*: $[\sim i < j; Ord(i); Ord(j)] \implies j \text{ le } i$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

14.6.2 Some Rewrite Rules for \mathfrak{i} , le

lemma *Ord-mem-iff-lt*: $Ord(j) \implies i:j \leftrightarrow i < j$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *not-lt-iff-le*: $[Ord(i); Ord(j)] \implies \sim i < j \leftrightarrow j \text{ le } i$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *not-le-iff-lt*: $[| \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j) |] \implies \sim i \text{ le } j \iff j < i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-0-le*: $\text{Ord}(i) \implies 0 \text{ le } i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-0-lt*: $[| \text{Ord}(i); i \sim 0 |] \implies 0 < i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-0-lt-iff*: $\text{Ord}(i) \implies i \sim 0 \iff 0 < i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

14.7 Results about Less-Than or Equals

lemma *zero-le-succ-iff* [*iff*]: $0 \text{ le succ}(x) \iff \text{Ord}(x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subset-imp-le*: $[| j \leq i; \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j) |] \implies j \text{ le } i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *le-imp-subset*: $i \text{ le } j \implies i \leq j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *le-subset-iff*: $j \text{ le } i \iff j \leq i \ \& \ \text{Ord}(i) \ \& \ \text{Ord}(j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *le-succ-iff*: $i \text{ le succ}(j) \iff i \text{ le } j \mid i = \text{succ}(j) \ \& \ \text{Ord}(i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *all-lt-imp-le*: $[| \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j); \forall x. x < j \implies x < i |] \implies j \text{ le } i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

14.7.1 Transitivity Laws

lemma *lt-trans1*: $[| i \text{ le } j; j < k |] \implies i < k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lt-trans2*: $[| i < j; j \text{ le } k |] \implies i < k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *le-trans*: $[| i \text{ le } j; j \text{ le } k |] \implies i \text{ le } k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *succ-leI*: $i < j \implies \text{succ}(i) \text{ le } j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *succ-leE*: $\text{succ}(i) \text{ le } j \implies i < j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *succ-le-iff* [*iff*]: $\text{succ}(i) \text{ le } j \iff i < j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *succ-le-imp-le*: $\text{succ}(i) \text{ le } \text{succ}(j) \implies i \text{ le } j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lt-subset-trans*: $[i < j; j < k; \text{Ord}(i)] \implies i < k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lt-imp-0-lt*: $j < i \implies 0 < i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *succ-lt-iff*: $\text{succ}(i) < j \iff i < j \ \& \ \text{succ}(i) \neq j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-succ-mem-iff*: $\text{Ord}(j) \implies \text{succ}(i) \in \text{succ}(j) \iff i \in j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

14.7.2 Union and Intersection

lemma *Un-upper1-le*: $[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j)] \implies i \text{ le } i \text{ Un } j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Un-upper2-le*: $[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j)] \implies j \text{ le } i \text{ Un } j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Un-least-lt*: $[i < k; j < k] \implies i \text{ Un } j < k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Un-least-lt-iff*: $[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j)] \implies i \text{ Un } j < k \iff i < k \ \& \ j < k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Un-least-mem-iff*:
 $[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j); \text{Ord}(k)] \implies i \text{ Un } j : k \iff i : k \ \& \ j : k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Int-greatest-lt*: $[i < k; j < k] \implies i \text{ Int } j < k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-Un-if*:
 $[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j)] \implies i \cup j = (\text{if } j < i \text{ then } i \text{ else } j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *succ-Un-distrib*:

$\llbracket \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j) \rrbracket \implies \text{succ}(i \cup j) = \text{succ}(i) \cup \text{succ}(j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lt-Un-iff*:

$\llbracket \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j) \rrbracket \implies k < i \cup j \iff k < i \mid k < j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *le-Un-iff*:

$\llbracket \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j) \rrbracket \implies k \leq i \cup j \iff k \leq i \mid k \leq j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Un-upper1-lt*: $\llbracket k < i; \text{Ord}(j) \rrbracket \implies k < i \text{ Un } j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Un-upper2-lt*: $\llbracket k < j; \text{Ord}(i) \rrbracket \implies k < i \text{ Un } j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-Union-succ-eq*: $\text{Ord}(i) \implies \bigcup (\text{succ}(i)) = i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

14.8 Results about Limits

lemma *Ord-Union* [*intro,simp,TC*]: $\llbracket \! \! \! \forall i. i:A \implies \text{Ord}(i) \rrbracket \implies \text{Ord}(\text{Union}(A))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-UN* [*intro,simp,TC*]:

$\llbracket \! \! \! \forall x. x:A \implies \text{Ord}(B(x)) \rrbracket \implies \text{Ord}(\bigcup_{x \in A} B(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-Inter* [*intro,simp,TC*]:

$\llbracket \! \! \! \forall i. i:A \implies \text{Ord}(i) \rrbracket \implies \text{Ord}(\text{Inter}(A))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-INT* [*intro,simp,TC*]:

$\llbracket \! \! \! \forall x. x:A \implies \text{Ord}(B(x)) \rrbracket \implies \text{Ord}(\bigcap_{x \in A} B(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UN-least-le*:

$\llbracket \text{Ord}(i); \! \! \! \forall x. x:A \implies b(x) \text{ le } i \rrbracket \implies (\bigcup_{x \in A} b(x)) \text{ le } i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UN-succ-least-lt*:

$\llbracket j < i; \! \! \! \forall x. x:A \implies b(x) < j \rrbracket \implies (\bigcup_{x \in A} \text{succ}(b(x))) < i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UN-upper-lt*:

$\llbracket a \in A; i < b(a); \text{Ord}(\bigcup_{x \in A} b(x)) \rrbracket \implies i < (\bigcup_{x \in A} b(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UN-upper-le*:

$\llbracket a: A; i \text{ le } b(a); \text{Ord}(\bigcup_{x \in A} b(x)) \rrbracket \implies i \text{ le } (\bigcup_{x \in A} b(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lt-Union-iff*: $\forall i \in A. \text{Ord}(i) \implies (j < \bigcup(A)) \iff (\exists i \in A. j < i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Union-upper-le*:

$\llbracket j: J; i \leq j; \text{Ord}(\bigcup(J)) \rrbracket \implies i \leq \bigcup J$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *le-implies-UN-le-UN*:

$\llbracket !x. x: A \implies c(x) \text{ le } d(x) \rrbracket \implies (\bigcup_{x \in A} c(x)) \text{ le } (\bigcup_{x \in A} d(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-equality*: $\text{Ord}(i) \implies (\bigcup_{y \in i} \text{succ}(y)) = i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-Union-subset*: $\text{Ord}(i) \implies \text{Union}(i) \leq i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

14.9 Limit Ordinals – General Properties

lemma *Limit-Union-eq*: $\text{Limit}(i) \implies \text{Union}(i) = i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Limit-is-Ord*: $\text{Limit}(i) \implies \text{Ord}(i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Limit-has-0*: $\text{Limit}(i) \implies 0 < i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Limit-nonzero*: $\text{Limit}(i) \implies i \sim 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Limit-has-succ*: $\llbracket \text{Limit}(i); j < i \rrbracket \implies \text{succ}(j) < i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Limit-succ-lt-iff* [simp]: $\text{Limit}(i) \implies \text{succ}(j) < i \iff (j < i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zero-not-Limit* [iff]: $\sim \text{Limit}(0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Limit-has-1*: $\text{Limit}(i) \implies 1 < i$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *increasing-LimitI*: $[[\ 0 < l; \forall x \in l. \exists y \in l. x < y \]] \implies \text{Limit}(l)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *non-succ-LimitI*:
 $[[\ 0 < i; \text{ALL } y. \text{succ}(y) \sim i \]] \implies \text{Limit}(i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *succ-LimitE* [elim!]: $\text{Limit}(\text{succ}(i)) \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-succ-Limit* [simp]: $\sim \text{Limit}(\text{succ}(i))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Limit-le-succD*: $[[\ \text{Limit}(i); \ i \leq \text{succ}(j) \]] \implies i \leq j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

14.9.1 Traditional 3-Way Case Analysis on Ordinals

lemma *Ord-cases-disj*: $\text{Ord}(i) \implies i=0 \mid (\exists x. \text{Ord}(x) \ \& \ i=\text{succ}(x)) \mid \text{Limit}(i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-cases*:
 $[[\ \text{Ord}(i);$
 $\quad i=0 \quad \quad \quad \implies P;$
 $\quad !!j. [\ \text{Ord}(j); \ i=\text{succ}(j) \] \implies P;$
 $\quad \text{Limit}(i) \quad \quad \quad \implies P$
 $]] \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trans-induct3* [case-names 0 succ limit, consumes 1]:
 $[[\ \text{Ord}(i);$
 $\quad P(0);$
 $\quad !!x. [\ \text{Ord}(x); \ P(x) \] \implies P(\text{succ}(x));$
 $\quad !!x. [\ \text{Limit}(x); \ \text{ALL } y \in x. P(y) \] \implies P(x)$
 $]] \implies P(i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *trans-induct3-rule* = *trans-induct3* [rule-format, case-names 0 succ limit, consumes 1]

A set of ordinals is either empty, contains its own union, or its union is a limit ordinal.

lemma *Ord-set-cases*:
 $\forall i \in I. \text{Ord}(i) \implies I=0 \vee \bigcup(I) \in I \vee (\bigcup(I) \notin I \wedge \text{Limit}(\bigcup(I)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

If the union of a set of ordinals is a successor, then it is an element of that set.

lemma *Ord-Union-eq-succD*: $[\forall x \in X. \text{Ord}(x); \bigcup X = \text{succ}(j)] \implies \text{succ}(j) \in X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Limit-Union* [rule-format]: $[I \neq 0; \forall i \in I. \text{Limit}(i)] \implies \text{Limit}(\bigcup I)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

15 Special quantifiers

theory *OrdQuant* **imports** *Ordinal* **begin**

15.1 Quantifiers and union operator for ordinals

definition

$\text{oall} :: [i, i \Rightarrow o] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{oall}(A, P) == \text{ALL } x. x < A \longrightarrow P(x)$

definition

$\text{oex} :: [i, i \Rightarrow o] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{oex}(A, P) == \text{EX } x. x < A \ \& \ P(x)$

definition

$\text{OUnion} :: [i, i \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{OUnion}(i, B) == \{z: \bigcup x \in i. B(x). \text{Ord}(i)\}$

syntax

$\text{@oall} \quad :: [idt, i, o] \Rightarrow o \quad ((\exists \text{ALL } -<./ -) 10)$
 $\text{@oex} \quad :: [idt, i, o] \Rightarrow o \quad ((\exists \text{EX } -<./ -) 10)$
 $\text{@OUNION} \quad :: [idt, i, i] \Rightarrow i \quad ((\exists \text{UN } -<./ -) 10)$

translations

$\text{ALL } x < a. P == \text{CONST } \text{oall}(a, \%x. P)$
 $\text{EX } x < a. P == \text{CONST } \text{oex}(a, \%x. P)$
 $\text{UN } x < a. B == \text{CONST } \text{OUnion}(a, \%x. B)$

syntax (*xsymbols*)

$\text{@oall} \quad :: [idt, i, o] \Rightarrow o \quad ((\exists \forall -<./ -) 10)$
 $\text{@oex} \quad :: [idt, i, o] \Rightarrow o \quad ((\exists \exists -<./ -) 10)$
 $\text{@OUNION} \quad :: [idt, i, i] \Rightarrow i \quad ((\exists \bigcup -<./ -) 10)$

syntax (*HTML output*)

$\text{@oall} \quad :: [idt, i, o] \Rightarrow o \quad ((\exists \forall -<./ -) 10)$
 $\text{@oex} \quad :: [idt, i, o] \Rightarrow o \quad ((\exists \exists -<./ -) 10)$
 $\text{@OUNION} \quad :: [idt, i, i] \Rightarrow i \quad ((\exists \bigcup -<./ -) 10)$

15.1.1 simplification of the new quantifiers

lemma *[simp]*: $(ALL\ x < 0. P(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *[simp]*: $\sim(EX\ x < 0. P(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *[simp]*: $(ALL\ x < succ(i). P(x)) <-> (Ord(i) --> P(i) \ \& \ (ALL\ x < i. P(x)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *[simp]*: $(EX\ x < succ(i). P(x)) <-> (Ord(i) \ \& \ (P(i) \mid (EX\ x < i. P(x))))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

15.1.2 Union over ordinals

lemma *Ord-OUN [intro,simp]*:
 $[| \ !x. x < A ==> Ord(B(x)) \ |] ==> Ord(\bigcup x < A. B(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *OUN-upper-lt*:
 $[| \ a < A; \ i < b(a); \ Ord(\bigcup x < A. b(x)) \ |] ==> i < (\bigcup x < A. b(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *OUN-upper-le*:
 $[| \ a < A; \ i \leq b(a); \ Ord(\bigcup x < A. b(x)) \ |] ==> i \leq (\bigcup x < A. b(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Limit-OUN-eq*: $Limit(i) ==> (\bigcup x < i. x) = i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *OUN-least*:
 $(\ !x. x < A ==> B(x) \subseteq C) ==> (\bigcup x < A. B(x)) \subseteq C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *OUN-least-le*:
 $[| \ Ord(i); \ !x. x < A ==> b(x) \leq i \ |] ==> (\bigcup x < A. b(x)) \leq i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *le-implies-OUN-le-OUN*:
 $[| \ !x. x < A ==> c(x) \leq d(x) \ |] ==> (\bigcup x < A. c(x)) \leq (\bigcup x < A. d(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *OUN-UN-eq*:
 $(\ !x. x : A ==> Ord(B(x)))$
 $==> (\bigcup z < (\bigcup x \in A. B(x)). C(z)) = (\bigcup x \in A. \bigcup z < B(x). C(z))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *OUN-Union-eq*:

$$\begin{aligned} & (!x. x:X ==> \text{Ord}(x)) \\ & ==> (\bigcup z < \text{Union}(X). C(z)) = (\bigcup x \in X. \bigcup z < x. C(z)) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *atomize-oall* [*symmetric, rulify*]:

$$\begin{aligned} & (!x. x < A ==> P(x)) == \text{Trueprop } (\text{ALL } x < A. P(x)) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

15.1.3 universal quantifier for ordinals

lemma *oallI* [*intro!*]:

$$\begin{aligned} & [| !x. x < A ==> P(x) |] ==> \text{ALL } x < A. P(x) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *ospec*: [| *ALL* *x* < *A*. *P*(*x*); *x* < *A* |] ==> *P*(*x*)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *oallE*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [| \text{ALL } x < A. P(x); P(x) ==> Q; \sim x < A ==> Q |] ==> Q \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *rev-oallE* [*elim*]:

$$\begin{aligned} & [| \text{ALL } x < A. P(x); \sim x < A ==> Q; P(x) ==> Q |] ==> Q \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *oall-simp* [*simp*]: (*ALL* *x* < *a*. *True*) <-> *True*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *oall-cong* [*cong*]:

$$\begin{aligned} & [| a = a'; !x. x < a' ==> P(x) <-> P'(x) |] \\ & ==> \text{oall}(a, \%x. P(x)) <-> \text{oall}(a', \%x. P'(x)) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

15.1.4 existential quantifier for ordinals

lemma *oexI* [*intro*]:

$$\begin{aligned} & [| P(x); x < A |] ==> \text{EX } x < A. P(x) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *oexCI*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [| \text{ALL } x < A. \sim P(x) ==> P(a); a < A |] ==> \text{EX } x < A. P(x) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *oexE* [*elim!*]:

$\llbracket EX\ x < A. P(x); \ !x. \llbracket x < A; P(x) \rrbracket \implies Q \rrbracket \implies Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oex-cong* [*cong*]:

$\llbracket a = a'; \ !x. x < a' \implies P(x) <-> P'(x) \rrbracket$
 $\implies oex(a, \%x. P(x)) <-> oex(a', \%x. P'(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

15.1.5 Rules for Ordinal-Indexed Unions

lemma *OUN-I* [*intro*]: $\llbracket a < i; \ b : B(a) \rrbracket \implies b : (\bigcup z < i. B(z))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *OUN-E* [*elim!*]:

$\llbracket b : (\bigcup z < i. B(z)); \ !a. \llbracket b : B(a); \ a < i \rrbracket \implies R \rrbracket \implies R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *OUN-iff*: $b : (\bigcup x < i. B(x)) <-> (EX\ x < i. b : B(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *OUN-cong* [*cong*]:

$\llbracket i = j; \ !x. x < j \implies C(x) = D(x) \rrbracket \implies (\bigcup x < i. C(x)) = (\bigcup x < j. D(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lt-induct*:

$\llbracket i < k; \ !x. \llbracket x < k; \ ALL\ y < x. P(y) \rrbracket \implies P(x) \rrbracket \implies P(i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

15.2 Quantification over a class

definition

rall $:: [i => o, i => o] => o$ **where**
rall(*M*, *P*) == *ALL* *x*. *M*(*x*) \longrightarrow *P*(*x*)

definition

rex $:: [i => o, i => o] => o$ **where**
rex(*M*, *P*) == *EX* *x*. *M*(*x*) & *P*(*x*)

syntax

@*rall* $:: [pttrn, i => o, o] => o$ $((\exists ALL\ -[.] / -) 10)$
 @*rex* $:: [pttrn, i => o, o] => o$ $((\exists EX\ -[.] / -) 10)$

syntax (*xsymbols*)

@*rall* $:: [pttrn, i => o, o] => o$ $((\forall -[.] / -) 10)$
 @*rex* $:: [pttrn, i => o, o] => o$ $((\exists -[.] / -) 10)$

syntax (*HTML output*)

@*rall* $:: [pttrn, i => o, o] => o$ $((\forall -[.] / -) 10)$
 @*rex* $:: [pttrn, i => o, o] => o$ $((\exists -[.] / -) 10)$

translations

$ALL\ x[M].\ P \ ==\ CONST\ rall(M, \%x.\ P)$

$EX\ x[M].\ P \ ==\ CONST\ rex(M, \%x.\ P)$

15.2.1 Relativized universal quantifier

lemma *rallI* [*intro!*]: $[\ !x.\ M(x) \implies P(x) \] \implies ALL\ x[M].\ P(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rspec*: $[\ ALL\ x[M].\ P(x); M(x) \] \implies P(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rev-rallE* [*elim*]:
 $[\ ALL\ x[M].\ P(x); \sim M(x) \implies Q; P(x) \implies Q \] \implies Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rallE*: $[\ ALL\ x[M].\ P(x); P(x) \implies Q; \sim M(x) \implies Q \] \implies Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rall-triv* [*simp*]: $(ALL\ x[M].\ P) <-> ((EX\ x.\ M(x)) \dashv\vdash P)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rall-cong* [*cong*]:
 $(!x.\ M(x) \implies P(x) <-> P'(x)) \implies (ALL\ x[M].\ P(x)) <-> (ALL\ x[M].\ P'(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

15.2.2 Relativized existential quantifier

lemma *rexI* [*intro*]: $[\ P(x); M(x) \] \implies EX\ x[M].\ P(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rev-rexI*: $[\ M(x); P(x) \] \implies EX\ x[M].\ P(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rexCI*: $[\ ALL\ x[M].\ \sim P(x) \implies P(a); M(a) \] \implies EX\ x[M].\ P(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rexE* [*elim!*]: $[\ EX\ x[M].\ P(x); !x.\ [\ M(x); P(x) \] \implies Q \] \implies Q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rex-triv* [*simp*]: $(EX\ x[M].\ P) <-> ((EX\ x.\ M(x)) \ \&\ P)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rex-cong* [*cong*]:

$(!!x. M(x) ==> P(x) <-> P'(x)) ==> (EX\ x[M]. P(x)) <-> (EX\ x[M]. P'(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rall-is-ball* [*simp*]: $(\forall x[\%z. z \in A]. P(x)) <-> (\forall x \in A. P(x))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rex-is-bex* [*simp*]: $(\exists x[\%z. z \in A]. P(x)) <-> (\exists x \in A. P(x))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *atomize-rall*: $(!!x. M(x) ==> P(x)) == Trueprop\ (ALL\ x[M]. P(x))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

declare *atomize-rall* [*symmetric, rulify*]

lemma *rall-simps1*:

$(ALL\ x[M]. P(x) \ \&\ Q) <-> (ALL\ x[M]. P(x)) \ \&\ ((ALL\ x[M]. False) \mid Q)$
 $(ALL\ x[M]. P(x) \mid Q) <-> ((ALL\ x[M]. P(x)) \mid Q)$
 $(ALL\ x[M]. P(x) \dashrightarrow Q) <-> ((EX\ x[M]. P(x)) \dashrightarrow Q)$
 $(\sim(ALL\ x[M]. P(x))) <-> (EX\ x[M]. \sim P(x))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rall-simps2*:

$(ALL\ x[M]. P \ \&\ Q(x)) <-> ((ALL\ x[M]. False) \mid P) \ \&\ (ALL\ x[M]. Q(x))$
 $(ALL\ x[M]. P \mid Q(x)) <-> (P \mid (ALL\ x[M]. Q(x)))$
 $(ALL\ x[M]. P \dashrightarrow Q(x)) <-> (P \dashrightarrow (ALL\ x[M]. Q(x)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *rall-simps* [*simp*] = *rall-simps1 rall-simps2*

lemma *rall-conj-distrib*:

$(ALL\ x[M]. P(x) \ \&\ Q(x)) <-> ((ALL\ x[M]. P(x)) \ \&\ (ALL\ x[M]. Q(x)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rex-simps1*:

$(EX\ x[M]. P(x) \ \&\ Q) <-> ((EX\ x[M]. P(x)) \ \&\ Q)$
 $(EX\ x[M]. P(x) \mid Q) <-> (EX\ x[M]. P(x)) \mid ((EX\ x[M]. True) \ \&\ Q)$
 $(EX\ x[M]. P(x) \dashrightarrow Q) <-> ((ALL\ x[M]. P(x)) \dashrightarrow ((EX\ x[M]. True) \ \&\ Q))$
 $(\sim(EX\ x[M]. P(x))) <-> (ALL\ x[M]. \sim P(x))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rex-simps2*:

$(EX\ x[M]. P \ \&\ Q(x)) <-> (P \ \&\ (EX\ x[M]. Q(x)))$
 $(EX\ x[M]. P \mid Q(x)) <-> ((EX\ x[M]. True) \ \&\ P) \mid (EX\ x[M]. Q(x))$
 $(EX\ x[M]. P \dashrightarrow Q(x)) <-> (((ALL\ x[M]. False) \mid P) \dashrightarrow (EX\ x[M]. Q(x)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *rex-simps* [simp] = *rex-simps1 rex-simps2*

lemma *rex-disj-distrib*:

$(EX\ x[M].\ P(x) \mid Q(x)) <-> ((EX\ x[M].\ P(x)) \mid (EX\ x[M].\ Q(x)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

15.2.3 One-point rule for bounded quantifiers

lemma *rex-triv-one-point1* [simp]: $(EX\ x[M].\ x=a) <-> (M(a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rex-triv-one-point2* [simp]: $(EX\ x[M].\ a=x) <-> (M(a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rex-one-point1* [simp]: $(EX\ x[M].\ x=a \ \&\ P(x)) <-> (M(a) \ \&\ P(a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rex-one-point2* [simp]: $(EX\ x[M].\ a=x \ \&\ P(x)) <-> (M(a) \ \&\ P(a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rall-one-point1* [simp]: $(ALL\ x[M].\ x=a \ \>> P(x)) <-> (M(a) \ \>> P(a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rall-one-point2* [simp]: $(ALL\ x[M].\ a=x \ \>> P(x)) <-> (M(a) \ \>> P(a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

15.2.4 Sets as Classes

definition

setclass :: $[i,i] \Rightarrow o$ $(\#\#- [40] 40)$ **where**
setclass(A) == $\%x.\ x : A$

lemma *setclass-iff* [simp]: $setclass(A,x) <-> x : A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rall-setclass-is-ball* [simp]: $(\forall x[\#\#A].\ P(x)) <-> (\forall x \in A.\ P(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rex-setclass-is-bex* [simp]: $(\exists x[\#\#A].\ P(x)) <-> (\exists x \in A.\ P(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

Setting up the one-point-rule simproc

$\langle ML \rangle$

end

16 The Natural numbers As a Least Fixed Point

theory *Nat* **imports** *OrdQuant Bool* **begin**

definition

nat :: *i* **where**
nat == *lfp*(*Inf*, %*X*. {*0*} Un {*succ*(*i*). *i*:*X*})

definition

quasinat :: *i* ==> *o* **where**
quasinat(*n*) == *n*=*0* | ($\exists m. n = \textit{succ}(m)$)

definition

nat-case :: [*i*, *i*=>*i*, *i*]=>*i* **where**
nat-case(*a*,*b*,*k*) == *THE* *y*. *k*=*0* & *y*=*a* | (*EX* *x*. *k*=*succ*(*x*) & *y*=*b*(*x*))

definition

nat-rec :: [*i*, *i*, [*i*,*i*]=>*i*]=>*i* **where**
nat-rec(*k*,*a*,*b*) ==
wfrec(*Memrel*(*nat*), *k*, %*n* *f*. *nat-case*(*a*, %*m*. *b*(*m*, *f*'*m*), *n*))

definition

Le :: *i* **where**
Le == {<*x*,*y*>:*nat***nat*. *x* *le* *y*}

definition

Lt :: *i* **where**
Lt == {<*x*, *y*>:*nat***nat*. *x* < *y*}

definition

Ge :: *i* **where**
Ge == {<*x*,*y*>:*nat***nat*. *y* *le* *x*}

definition

Gt :: *i* **where**
Gt == {<*x*,*y*>:*nat***nat*. *y* < *x*}

definition

greater-than :: *i*=>*i* **where**
greater-than(*n*) == {*i*:*nat*. *n* < *i*}

No need for a less-than operator: a natural number is its list of predecessors!

lemma *nat-bnd-mono*: *bnd-mono*(*Inf*, %*X*. {*0*} Un {*succ*(*i*). *i*:*X*})

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *nat-unfold = nat-bnd-mono [THEN nat-def [THEN def-lfp-unfold], standard]*

lemma *nat-0I [iff, TC]: 0 : nat*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-succI [intro!, TC]: n : nat ==> succ(n) : nat*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-1I [iff, TC]: 1 : nat*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-2I [iff, TC]: 2 : nat*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bool-subset-nat: bool <= nat*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *bool-into-nat = bool-subset-nat [THEN subsetD, standard]*

16.1 Injectivity Properties and Induction

lemma *nat-induct [case-names 0 succ, induct set: nat]:*
 $\llbracket n: \text{nat}; P(0); \forall x. \llbracket x: \text{nat}; P(x) \rrbracket \implies P(\text{succ}(x)) \rrbracket \implies P(n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *natE:*
 $\llbracket n: \text{nat}; n=0 \implies P; \forall x. \llbracket x: \text{nat}; n=\text{succ}(x) \rrbracket \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-into-Ord [simp]: n: nat ==> Ord(n)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *nat-0-le = nat-into-Ord [THEN Ord-0-le, standard]*

lemmas *nat-le-refl = nat-into-Ord [THEN le-refl, standard]*

lemma *Ord-nat [iff]: Ord(nat)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Limit-nat [iff]: Limit(nat)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *naturals-not-limit*: $a \in \text{nat} \implies \sim \text{Limit}(a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *succ-natD*: $\text{succ}(i): \text{nat} \implies i: \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-succ-iff* [*iff*]: $\text{succ}(n): \text{nat} <-> n: \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-le-Limit*: $\text{Limit}(i) \implies \text{nat} \text{ le } i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *succ-in-naturalD* = *Ord-trans* [*OF succI1 - nat-into-Ord*]

lemma *lt-nat-in-nat*: $[\mid m < n; \ n: \text{nat} \mid] \implies m: \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *le-in-nat*: $[\mid m \text{ le } n; \ n: \text{nat} \mid] \implies m: \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

16.2 Variations on Mathematical Induction

lemmas *complete-induct* = *Ord-induct* [*OF - Ord-nat, case-names less, consumes 1*]

lemmas *complete-induct-rule* =
complete-induct [*rule-format, case-names less, consumes 1*]

lemma *nat-induct-from-lemma* [*rule-format*]:
 $[\mid n: \text{nat}; \ m: \text{nat};$
 $\quad !!x. [\mid x: \text{nat}; \ m \text{ le } x; \ P(x) \mid] \implies P(\text{succ}(x)) \mid]$
 $\implies m \text{ le } n \dashv\dashv P(m) \dashv\dashv P(n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-induct-from*:
 $[\mid m \text{ le } n; \ m: \text{nat}; \ n: \text{nat};$
 $\quad P(m);$
 $\quad !!x. [\mid x: \text{nat}; \ m \text{ le } x; \ P(x) \mid] \implies P(\text{succ}(x)) \mid]$
 $\implies P(n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *diff-induct* [*case-names 0 0-succ succ-succ, consumes 2*]:
 $[\mid m: \text{nat}; \ n: \text{nat};$
 $\quad !!x. x: \text{nat} \implies P(x, 0);$

$$\begin{aligned} & !!y. y: \text{nat} ==> P(0, \text{succ}(y)); \\ & !!x y. [| x: \text{nat}; y: \text{nat}; P(x, y) |] ==> P(\text{succ}(x), \text{succ}(y)) [|] \\ & ==> P(m, n) \\ \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *succ-lt-induct-lemma* [rule-format]:

$$\begin{aligned} & m: \text{nat} ==> P(m, \text{succ}(m)) \dashrightarrow (ALL\ x: \text{nat}. P(m, x) \dashrightarrow P(m, \text{succ}(x))) \\ & \dashrightarrow \\ & (ALL\ n: \text{nat}. m < n \dashrightarrow P(m, n)) \\ \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *succ-lt-induct*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [| m < n; n: \text{nat}; \\ & P(m, \text{succ}(m)); \\ & !!x. [| x: \text{nat}; P(m, x) |] ==> P(m, \text{succ}(x)) |] \\ & ==> P(m, n) \\ \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

16.3 quasinat: to allow a case-split rule for *nat-case*

True if the argument is zero or any successor

lemma [iff]: *quasinat*(0)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [iff]: *quasinat*(*succ*(*x*))
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-imp-quasinat*: $n \in \text{nat} ==> \text{quasinat}(n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *non-nat-case*: $\sim \text{quasinat}(x) ==> \text{nat-case}(a, b, x) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-cases-disj*: $k=0 \mid (\exists y. k = \text{succ}(y)) \mid \sim \text{quasinat}(k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-cases*:

$$[| k=0 ==> P; !!y. k = \text{succ}(y) ==> P; \sim \text{quasinat}(k) ==> P |] ==> P$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-case-0* [simp]: $\text{nat-case}(a, b, 0) = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-case-succ* [simp]: $\text{nat-case}(a, b, \text{succ}(n)) = b(n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-case-type* [TC]:

$[| n: nat; a: C(0); !!m. m: nat ==> b(m): C(succ(m)) |]$
 $==> nat\text{-}case(a,b,n) : C(n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *split-nat-case*:

$P(nat\text{-}case(a,b,k)) <->$
 $((k=0 \text{ --> } P(a)) \ \& \ (\forall x. k=succ(x) \text{ --> } P(b(x))) \ \& \ (\sim quasinat(k) \text{ --> } P(0)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

16.4 Recursion on the Natural Numbers

lemma *nat-rec-0*: $nat\text{-}rec(0,a,b) = a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-rec-succ*: $m: nat ==> nat\text{-}rec(succ(m),a,b) = b(m, nat\text{-}rec(m,a,b))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Un-nat-type* [TC]: $[| i: nat; j: nat |] ==> i \text{ Un } j: nat$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Int-nat-type* [TC]: $[| i: nat; j: nat |] ==> i \text{ Int } j: nat$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-nonempty* [simp]: $nat \sim = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

A natural number is the set of its predecessors

lemma *nat-eq-Collect-lt*: $i \in nat ==> \{j \in nat. j < i\} = i$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Le-iff* [iff]: $<x,y> : Le <-> x \text{ le } y \ \& \ x : nat \ \& \ y : nat$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

17 Epsilon Induction and Recursion

theory *Epsilon* **imports** *Nat* **begin**

definition

eclose $:: i ==> i$ **where**

$$eclose(A) == \bigcup n \in nat. nat-rec(n, A, \%m r. Union(r))$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} transrec &:: [i, [i, i] => i] => i \text{ where} \\ transrec(a, H) &== wfrec(Memrel(eclose(\{a\})), a, H) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} rank &:: i => i \text{ where} \\ rank(a) &== transrec(a, \%x f. \bigcup y \in x. succ(f'y)) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} transrec2 &:: [i, i, [i, i] => i] => i \text{ where} \\ transrec2(k, a, b) &== \\ &transrec(k, \\ &\%i r. if(i=0, a, \\ &\quad if(EX j. i=succ(j), \\ &\quad \quad b(TH j. i=succ(j), r'(TH j. i=succ(j))), \\ &\quad \bigcup j < i. r'j))) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} recursor &:: [i, [i, i] => i, i] => i \text{ where} \\ recursor(a, b, k) &== transrec(k, \%n f. nat-case(a, \%m. b(m, f'm), n)) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} rec &:: [i, i, [i, i] => i] => i \text{ where} \\ rec(k, a, b) &== recursor(a, b, k) \end{aligned}$$

17.1 Basic Closure Properties

lemma *arg-subset-eclose*: $A \leq eclose(A)$
<proof>

lemmas *arg-into-eclose* = *arg-subset-eclose* [THEN subsetD, standard]

lemma *Transset-eclose*: $Transset(eclose(A))$
<proof>

lemmas *eclose-subset* =
Transset-eclose [unfolded Transset-def, THEN bspec, standard]

lemmas *ecloseD* = *eclose-subset* [THEN subsetD, standard]

lemmas *arg-in-eclose-sing* = *arg-subset-eclose* [THEN singleton-subsetD]
lemmas *arg-into-eclose-sing* = *arg-in-eclose-sing* [THEN ecloseD, standard]

lemmas *eclose-induct* =

Transset-induct [*OF* - *Transset-eclose*, *induct set: eclose*]

lemma *eps-induct*:

$\llbracket \text{!!}x. \text{ALL } y:x. P(y) \implies P(x) \rrbracket \implies P(a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

17.2 Leastness of *eclose*

lemma *eclose-least-lemma*:

$\llbracket \text{Transset}(X); A \leq X; n: \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{nat-rec}(n, A, \%m r. \text{Union}(r)) \leq X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eclose-least*:

$\llbracket \text{Transset}(X); A \leq X \rrbracket \implies \text{eclose}(A) \leq X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eclose-induct-down* [*consumes 1*]:

$\llbracket a: \text{eclose}(b);$
 $\text{!!}y. \llbracket y: b \rrbracket \implies P(y);$
 $\text{!!}y z. \llbracket y: \text{eclose}(b); P(y); z: y \rrbracket \implies P(z)$
 $\rrbracket \implies P(a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Transset-eclose-eq-arg*: $\text{Transset}(X) \implies \text{eclose}(X) = X$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

A transitive set either is empty or contains the empty set.

lemma *Transset-0-lemma* [*rule-format*]: $\text{Transset}(A) \implies x \in A \dashv\dashv \emptyset \in A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Transset-0-disj*: $\text{Transset}(A) \implies A = \emptyset \mid \emptyset \in A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

17.3 Epsilon Recursion

lemma *mem-eclose-trans*: $\llbracket A: \text{eclose}(B); B: \text{eclose}(C) \rrbracket \implies A: \text{eclose}(C)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mem-eclose-sing-trans*:

$\llbracket A: \text{eclose}(\{B\}); B: \text{eclose}(\{C\}) \rrbracket \implies A: \text{eclose}(\{C\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *under-Memrel*: $\llbracket \text{Transset}(i); j:i \rrbracket \implies \text{Memrel}(i) - \text{“}\{j\} = j$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lt-Memrel*: $j < i \implies \text{Memrel}(i) - \{j\} = j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *under-Memrel-eclose* = *Transset-eclose* [THEN *under-Memrel*, *standard*]

lemmas *wfrec-ssubst* = *wf-Memrel* [THEN *wfrec*, THEN *ssubst*]

lemma *wfrec-eclose-eq*:
 $[[k:\text{eclose}(\{j\}); j:\text{eclose}(\{i\})]] \implies$
 $\text{wfrec}(\text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{i\})), k, H) = \text{wfrec}(\text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{j\})), k, H)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wfrec-eclose-eq2*:
 $k: i \implies \text{wfrec}(\text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{i\})), k, H) = \text{wfrec}(\text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{k\})), k, H)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *transrec*: $\text{transrec}(a, H) = H(a, \text{lam } x:a. \text{transrec}(x, H))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *def-transrec*:
 $[[!x. f(x) = \text{transrec}(x, H)]] \implies f(a) = H(a, \text{lam } x:a. f(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *transrec-type*:
 $[[!x u. [x:\text{eclose}(\{a\}); u: \text{Pi}(x, B)]] \implies H(x, u) : B(x)]]$
 $\implies \text{transrec}(a, H) : B(a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eclose-sing-Ord*: $\text{Ord}(i) \implies \text{eclose}(\{i\}) \leq \text{succ}(i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *succ-subset-eclose-sing*: $\text{succ}(i) \leq \text{eclose}(\{i\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eclose-sing-Ord-eq*: $\text{Ord}(i) \implies \text{eclose}(\{i\}) = \text{succ}(i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-transrec-type*:
assumes *jini*: $j: i$
and *ordi*: $\text{Ord}(i)$
and *minor*: $!!x u. [x: i; u: \text{Pi}(x, B)] \implies H(x, u) : B(x)$
shows $\text{transrec}(j, H) : B(j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

17.4 Rank

lemma *rank*: $\text{rank}(a) = (\bigcup y \in a. \text{succ}(\text{rank}(y)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Ord-rank* [*simp*]: $Ord(rank(a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rank-of-Ord*: $Ord(i) ==> rank(i) = i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rank-lt*: $a:b ==> rank(a) < rank(b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eclose-rank-lt*: $a:eclose(b) ==> rank(a) < rank(b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rank-mono*: $a \leq b ==> rank(a) \leq rank(b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rank-Pow*: $rank(Pow(a)) = succ(rank(a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rank-0* [*simp*]: $rank(0) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rank-succ* [*simp*]: $rank(succ(x)) = succ(rank(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rank-Union*: $rank(Union(A)) = (\bigcup x \in A. rank(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rank-eclose*: $rank(eclose(a)) = rank(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rank-pair1*: $rank(a) < rank(\langle a, b \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rank-pair2*: $rank(b) < rank(\langle a, b \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *the-equality-if*:
 $P(a) ==> (THE x. P(x)) = (if (EX!x. P(x)) then a else 0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rank-apply*: $[i : domain(f); function(f)] ==> rank(f'i) < rank(f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

17.5 Corollaries of Leastness

lemma *mem-eclose-subset*: $A:B \implies \text{eclose}(A) \leq \text{eclose}(B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eclose-mono*: $A \leq B \implies \text{eclose}(A) \leq \text{eclose}(B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eclose-idem*: $\text{eclose}(\text{eclose}(A)) = \text{eclose}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *transrec2-0* [simp]: $\text{transrec2}(0, a, b) = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *transrec2-succ* [simp]: $\text{transrec2}(\text{succ}(i), a, b) = b(i, \text{transrec2}(i, a, b))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *transrec2-Limit*:
 $\text{Limit}(i) \implies \text{transrec2}(i, a, b) = (\bigcup j < i. \text{transrec2}(j, a, b))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *def-transrec2*:
 $(!!x. f(x) == \text{transrec2}(x, a, b))$
 $\implies f(0) = a \ \&$
 $f(\text{succ}(i)) = b(i, f(i)) \ \&$
 $(\text{Limit}(K) \dashrightarrow f(K) = (\bigcup j < K. f(j)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *recursor-lemma* = *recursor-def* [THEN *def-transrec*, THEN *trans*]

lemma *recursor-0*: $\text{recursor}(a, b, 0) = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *recursor-succ*: $\text{recursor}(a, b, \text{succ}(m)) = b(m, \text{recursor}(a, b, m))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rec-0* [simp]: $\text{rec}(0, a, b) = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rec-succ* [*simp*]: $\text{rec}(\text{succ}(m), a, b) = b(m, \text{rec}(m, a, b))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rec-type*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mid n: \text{nat}; \\ & \quad a: C(0); \\ & \quad !!m\ z. [\mid m: \text{nat};\ z: C(m)] \implies b(m, z): C(\text{succ}(m))] \\ & \implies \text{rec}(n, a, b) : C(n) \end{aligned}$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

end

18 Partial and Total Orderings: Basic Definitions and Properties

theory *Order* **imports** *WF Perm* **begin**

definition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{part-ord} &:: [i, i] \Rightarrow o & \text{where} \\ \text{part-ord}(A, r) &== \text{irrefl}(A, r) \ \& \ \text{trans}[A](r) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{linear} &:: [i, i] \Rightarrow o & \text{where} \\ \text{linear}(A, r) &== (\text{ALL } x:A. \text{ ALL } y:A. \langle x, y \rangle : r \mid x = y \mid \langle y, x \rangle : r) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tot-ord} &:: [i, i] \Rightarrow o & \text{where} \\ \text{tot-ord}(A, r) &== \text{part-ord}(A, r) \ \& \ \text{linear}(A, r) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{well-ord} &:: [i, i] \Rightarrow o & \text{where} \\ \text{well-ord}(A, r) &== \text{tot-ord}(A, r) \ \& \ \text{wf}[A](r) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mono-map} &:: [i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i & \text{where} \\ \text{mono-map}(A, r, B, s) &== \\ & \{f: A \rightarrow B. \text{ ALL } x:A. \text{ ALL } y:A. \langle x, y \rangle : r \longrightarrow \langle f'x, f'y \rangle : s\} \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord-iso} &:: [i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i & \text{where} \\ \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s) &== \\ & \{f: \text{bij}(A, B). \text{ ALL } x:A. \text{ ALL } y:A. \langle x, y \rangle : r \longleftrightarrow \langle f'x, f'y \rangle : s\} \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\text{pred} :: [i, i, i] \Rightarrow i \quad \text{where}$$

$$\text{pred}(A, x, r) == \{y:A. <y, x>:r\}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ord-iso-map} &:: [i, i, i, i] ==> i \quad \text{where} \\ \text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s) &== \\ &\bigcup x \in A. \bigcup y \in B. \bigcup f \in \text{ord-iso}(\text{pred}(A, x, r), r, \text{pred}(B, y, s), s). \{<x, y>\} \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{first} &:: [i, i, i] ==> o \quad \text{where} \\ \text{first}(u, X, R) &== u:X \ \& \ (\text{ALL } v:X. v \sim u \implies <u, v> : R) \end{aligned}$$

notation (*xsymbols*)

$$\text{ord-iso} \ ((\langle -, - \rangle \cong / \langle -, - \rangle) \ 51)$$

18.1 Immediate Consequences of the Definitions

lemma *part-ord-Imp-asym*:

$$\text{part-ord}(A, r) ==> \text{asym}(r \text{ Int } A * A)$$

<proof>

lemma *linearE*:

$$\begin{aligned} &[[\text{linear}(A, r); \ x:A; \ y:A; \\ &\quad <x, y>:r ==> P; \ x=y ==> P; \ <y, x>:r ==> P \] \\ &\implies P \end{aligned}$$

<proof>

lemma *well-ordI*:

$$[[\text{wf}[A](r); \ \text{linear}(A, r) \] \implies \text{well-ord}(A, r)$$

<proof>

lemma *well-ord-is-wf*:

$$\text{well-ord}(A, r) ==> \text{wf}[A](r)$$

<proof>

lemma *well-ord-is-trans-on*:

$$\text{well-ord}(A, r) ==> \text{trans}[A](r)$$

<proof>

lemma *well-ord-is-linear*: $\text{well-ord}(A, r) ==> \text{linear}(A, r)$

<proof>

lemma *pred-iff*: $y : \text{pred}(A, x, r) \iff <y, x>:r \ \& \ y:A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $predI = conjI [THEN pred-iff [THEN iffD2]]$

lemma $predE$: $[[y: pred(A,x,r); [y:A; <y,x>:r] ==> P] ==> P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $pred-subset-under$: $pred(A,x,r) \leq r - \{x\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $pred-subset$: $pred(A,x,r) \leq A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $pred-pred-eq$:
 $pred(pred(A,x,r), y, r) = pred(A,x,r) \text{ Int } pred(A,y,r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $trans-pred-pred-eq$:
 $[[trans[A](r); <y,x>:r; x:A; y:A]$
 $==> pred(pred(A,x,r), y, r) = pred(A,y,r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

18.2 Restricting an Ordering's Domain

lemma $part-ord-subset$:
 $[[part-ord(A,r); B \leq A] ==> part-ord(B,r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $linear-subset$:
 $[[linear(A,r); B \leq A] ==> linear(B,r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $tot-ord-subset$:
 $[[tot-ord(A,r); B \leq A] ==> tot-ord(B,r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $well-ord-subset$:
 $[[well-ord(A,r); B \leq A] ==> well-ord(B,r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $irrefl-Int-iff$: $irrefl(A,r \text{ Int } A*A) \leftrightarrow irrefl(A,r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $trans-on-Int-iff$: $trans[A](r \text{ Int } A*A) \leftrightarrow trans[A](r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *part-ord-Int-iff*: $\text{part-ord}(A, r \text{ Int } A * A) \leftrightarrow \text{part-ord}(A, r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *linear-Int-iff*: $\text{linear}(A, r \text{ Int } A * A) \leftrightarrow \text{linear}(A, r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tot-ord-Int-iff*: $\text{tot-ord}(A, r \text{ Int } A * A) \leftrightarrow \text{tot-ord}(A, r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-on-Int-iff*: $\text{wf}[A](r \text{ Int } A * A) \leftrightarrow \text{wf}[A](r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-Int-iff*: $\text{well-ord}(A, r \text{ Int } A * A) \leftrightarrow \text{well-ord}(A, r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

18.3 Empty and Unit Domains

lemma *wf-on-any-0*: $\text{wf}[A](0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

18.3.1 Relations over the Empty Set

lemma *irrefl-0*: $\text{irrefl}(0, r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *trans-on-0*: $\text{trans}[0](r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *part-ord-0*: $\text{part-ord}(0, r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *linear-0*: $\text{linear}(0, r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tot-ord-0*: $\text{tot-ord}(0, r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-on-0*: $\text{wf}[0](r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-0*: $\text{well-ord}(0, r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

18.3.2 The Empty Relation Well-Orders the Unit Set

by Grabczewski

lemma *tot-ord-unit*: $\text{tot-ord}(\{a\}, 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-unit*: $\text{well-ord}(\{a\}, 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

18.4 Order-Isomorphisms

Suppes calls them "similarities"

lemma *mono-map-is-fun*: $f: \text{mono-map}(A, r, B, s) \implies f: A \rightarrow B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mono-map-is-inj*:
 $[[\text{linear}(A, r); \text{wf}[B](s); f: \text{mono-map}(A, r, B, s)]] \implies f: \text{inj}(A, B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-isoI*:
 $[[f: \text{bij}(A, B);$
 $!!x y. [[x:A; y:A]] \implies \langle x, y \rangle : r \leftrightarrow \langle f'x, f'y \rangle : s]]$
 $\implies f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-iso-is-mono-map*:
 $f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s) \implies f: \text{mono-map}(A, r, B, s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-iso-is-bij*:
 $f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s) \implies f: \text{bij}(A, B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-iso-apply*:
 $[[f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s); \langle x, y \rangle : r; x:A; y:A]] \implies \langle f'x, f'y \rangle : s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-iso-converse*:
 $[[f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s); \langle x, y \rangle : s; x:B; y:B]]$
 $\implies \langle \text{converse}(f) 'x, \text{converse}(f) 'y \rangle : r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-iso-refl*: $\text{id}(A): \text{ord-iso}(A, r, A, r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-iso-sym*: $f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s) \implies \text{converse}(f): \text{ord-iso}(B, s, A, r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mono-map-trans*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[g: \text{mono-map}(A, r, B, s); f: \text{mono-map}(B, s, C, t)]] \\ & \implies (f \circ g): \text{mono-map}(A, r, C, t) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *ord-iso-trans*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[g: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s); f: \text{ord-iso}(B, s, C, t)]] \\ & \implies (f \circ g): \text{ord-iso}(A, r, C, t) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *mono-ord-isoI*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[f: \text{mono-map}(A, r, B, s); g: \text{mono-map}(B, s, A, r); \\ & f \circ g = \text{id}(B); g \circ f = \text{id}(A)]] \implies f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *well-ord-mono-ord-isoI*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[\text{well-ord}(A, r); \text{well-ord}(B, s); \\ & f: \text{mono-map}(A, r, B, s); \text{converse}(f): \text{mono-map}(B, s, A, r)]] \\ & \implies f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *part-ord-ord-iso*:

$$[[\text{part-ord}(B, s); f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s)]] \implies \text{part-ord}(A, r)$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *linear-ord-iso*:

$$[[\text{linear}(B, s); f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s)]] \implies \text{linear}(A, r)$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *wf-on-ord-iso*:

$$[[\text{wf}[B](s); f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s)]] \implies \text{wf}[A](r)$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *well-ord-ord-iso*:

$$[[\text{well-ord}(B, s); f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s)]] \implies \text{well-ord}(A, r)$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

18.5 Main results of Kunen, Chapter 1 section 6

lemma *well-ord-iso-subset-lemma*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[\text{well-ord}(A, r); f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, A', r); A' \leq A; y: A]] \\ & \implies \sim \langle f'y, y \rangle: r \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *well-ord-iso-predE*:

$[[\text{well-ord}(A,r); f : \text{ord-iso}(A, r, \text{pred}(A,x,r), r); x:A]] ==> P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-iso-pred-eq*:

$[[\text{well-ord}(A,r); f : \text{ord-iso}(\text{pred}(A,a,r), r, \text{pred}(A,c,r), r);$
 $a:A; c:A]] ==> a=c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-iso-image-pred*:

$[[f : \text{ord-iso}(A,r,B,s); a:A]] ==> f \text{ `` } \text{pred}(A,a,r) = \text{pred}(B, f'a, s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-iso-restrict-image*:

$[[f : \text{ord-iso}(A,r,B,s); C \leq A]]$
 $==> \text{restrict}(f,C) : \text{ord-iso}(C, r, f''C, s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-iso-restrict-pred*:

$[[f : \text{ord-iso}(A,r,B,s); a:A]]$
 $==> \text{restrict}(f, \text{pred}(A,a,r)) : \text{ord-iso}(\text{pred}(A,a,r), r, \text{pred}(B, f'a, s), s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-iso-preserving*:

$[[\text{well-ord}(A,r); \text{well-ord}(B,s); <a,c>: r;$
 $f : \text{ord-iso}(\text{pred}(A,a,r), r, \text{pred}(B,b,s), s);$
 $g : \text{ord-iso}(\text{pred}(A,c,r), r, \text{pred}(B,d,s), s);$
 $a:A; c:A; b:B; d:B]] ==> <b,d>: s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-iso-unique-lemma*:

$[[\text{well-ord}(A,r);$
 $f: \text{ord-iso}(A,r, B,s); g: \text{ord-iso}(A,r, B,s); y: A]]$
 $==> \sim <g'y, f'y> : s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-iso-unique*: $[[\text{well-ord}(A,r);$

$f: \text{ord-iso}(A,r, B,s); g: \text{ord-iso}(A,r, B,s)]]$ $==> f = g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

18.6 Towards Kunen's Theorem 6.3: Linearity of the Similarity Relation

lemma *ord-iso-map-subset*: $\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s) \leq A * B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domain-ord-iso-map*: $\text{domain}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s)) \leq A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *range-ord-iso-map*: $\text{range}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s)) \leq B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *converse-ord-iso-map*:
 $\text{converse}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s)) = \text{ord-iso-map}(B, s, A, r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *function-ord-iso-map*:
 $\text{well-ord}(B, s) \implies \text{function}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-iso-map-fun*: $\text{well-ord}(B, s) \implies \text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s)$
 $: \text{domain}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s)) \rightarrow \text{range}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-iso-map-mono-map*:
 $[\text{well-ord}(A, r); \text{well-ord}(B, s)]$
 $\implies \text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s)$
 $: \text{mono-map}(\text{domain}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s)), r,$
 $\text{range}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s)), s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-iso-map-ord-iso*:
 $[\text{well-ord}(A, r); \text{well-ord}(B, s)] \implies \text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s)$
 $: \text{ord-iso}(\text{domain}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s)), r,$
 $\text{range}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s)), s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domain-ord-iso-map-subset*:
 $[\text{well-ord}(A, r); \text{well-ord}(B, s);$
 $a: A; a \sim: \text{domain}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s))]$
 $\implies \text{domain}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s)) \leq \text{pred}(A, a, r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *domain-ord-iso-map-cases*:
 $[\text{well-ord}(A, r); \text{well-ord}(B, s)]$
 $\implies \text{domain}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s)) = A \mid$
 $(\exists x: A. \text{domain}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A, r, B, s)) = \text{pred}(A, x, r))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *range-ord-iso-map-cases*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[\text{well-ord}(A,r); \text{well-ord}(B,s)]] \\ & \implies \text{range}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A,r,B,s)) = B \mid \\ & \quad (EX\ y:B. \text{range}(\text{ord-iso-map}(A,r,B,s)) = \text{pred}(B,y,s)) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Kunen's Theorem 6.3: Fundamental Theorem for Well-Ordered Sets

theorem *well-ord-trichotomy*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [[\text{well-ord}(A,r); \text{well-ord}(B,s)]] \\ & \implies \text{ord-iso-map}(A,r,B,s) : \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s) \mid \\ & \quad (EX\ x:A. \text{ord-iso-map}(A,r,B,s) : \text{ord-iso}(\text{pred}(A,x,r), r, B, s)) \mid \\ & \quad (EX\ y:B. \text{ord-iso-map}(A,r,B,s) : \text{ord-iso}(A, r, \text{pred}(B,y,s), s)) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

18.7 Miscellaneous Results by Krzysztof Grabczewski

lemma *irrefl-converse*: $\text{irrefl}(A,r) \implies \text{irrefl}(A,\text{converse}(r))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trans-on-converse*: $\text{trans}[A](r) \implies \text{trans}[A](\text{converse}(r))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *part-ord-converse*: $\text{part-ord}(A,r) \implies \text{part-ord}(A,\text{converse}(r))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *linear-converse*: $\text{linear}(A,r) \implies \text{linear}(A,\text{converse}(r))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tot-ord-converse*: $\text{tot-ord}(A,r) \implies \text{tot-ord}(A,\text{converse}(r))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *first-is-elem*: $\text{first}(b,B,r) \implies b:B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-imp-ex1-first*:

$$[[\text{well-ord}(A,r); B \leq A; B \sim 0]] \implies (EX! b. \text{first}(b,B,r))$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *the-first-in*:

$$[[\text{well-ord}(A,r); B \leq A; B \sim 0]] \implies (THE\ b. \text{first}(b,B,r)) : B$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

19 Combining Orderings: Foundations of Ordinal Arithmetic

theory *OrderArith* **imports** *Order Sum Ordinal* **begin**

definition

radd :: $[i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
radd(*A*, *r*, *B*, *s*) ==
 $\{z: (A+B) * (A+B).$
 $(EX\ x\ y. z = \langle Inl(x), Inr(y) \rangle) \mid$
 $(EX\ x'\ x. z = \langle Inl(x'), Inl(x) \rangle \ \&\ \langle x', x \rangle : r) \mid$
 $(EX\ y'\ y. z = \langle Inr(y'), Inr(y) \rangle \ \&\ \langle y', y \rangle : s)\}$

definition

rmult :: $[i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
rmult(*A*, *r*, *B*, *s*) ==
 $\{z: (A*B) * (A*B).$
 $EX\ x'\ y'\ x\ y. z = \langle \langle x', y' \rangle, \langle x, y \rangle \rangle \ \&$
 $(\langle x', x \rangle : r \mid (x' = x \ \&\ \langle y', y \rangle : s))\}$

definition

rvimage :: $[i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
rvimage(*A*, *f*, *r*) == $\{z: A*A. EX\ x\ y. z = \langle x, y \rangle \ \&\ \langle f'x, f'y \rangle : r\}$

definition

measure :: $[i, i \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
measure(*A*, *f*) == $\{\langle x, y \rangle : A*A. f(x) < f(y)\}$

19.1 Addition of Relations – Disjoint Sum

19.1.1 Rewrite rules. Can be used to obtain introduction rules

lemma *radd-Inl-Inr-iff* [*iff*]:

$\langle Inl(a), Inr(b) \rangle : radd(A, r, B, s) \iff a:A \ \&\ b:B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *radd-Inl-iff* [*iff*]:

$\langle Inl(a'), Inl(a) \rangle : radd(A, r, B, s) \iff a':A \ \&\ a:A \ \&\ \langle a', a \rangle : r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *radd-Inr-iff* [*iff*]:

$\langle Inr(b'), Inr(b) \rangle : radd(A, r, B, s) \iff b':B \ \&\ b:B \ \&\ \langle b', b \rangle : s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *radd-Inr-Inl-iff* [*simp*]:
 $\langle \text{Inr}(b), \text{Inl}(a) \rangle : \text{radd}(A, r, B, s) \leftrightarrow \text{False}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare *radd-Inr-Inl-iff* [*THEN iffD1, dest!*]

19.1.2 Elimination Rule

lemma *raddE*:
 $\llbracket \langle p', p \rangle : \text{radd}(A, r, B, s);$
 $\quad !!x\ y. \llbracket p' = \text{Inl}(x); x:A; p = \text{Inr}(y); y:B \rrbracket \implies Q;$
 $\quad !!x'\ x. \llbracket p' = \text{Inl}(x'); p = \text{Inl}(x); \langle x', x \rangle : r; x':A; x:A \rrbracket \implies Q;$
 $\quad !!y'\ y. \llbracket p' = \text{Inr}(y'); p = \text{Inr}(y); \langle y', y \rangle : s; y':B; y:B \rrbracket \implies Q$
 $\rrbracket \implies Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

19.1.3 Type checking

lemma *radd-type*: $\text{radd}(A, r, B, s) \leq (A+B) * (A+B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *field-radd* = *radd-type* [*THEN field-rel-subset*]

19.1.4 Linearity

lemma *linear-radd*:
 $\llbracket \text{linear}(A, r); \text{linear}(B, s) \rrbracket \implies \text{linear}(A+B, \text{radd}(A, r, B, s))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

19.1.5 Well-foundedness

lemma *wf-on-radd*: $\llbracket \text{wf}[A](r); \text{wf}[B](s) \rrbracket \implies \text{wf}[A+B](\text{radd}(A, r, B, s))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-radd*: $\llbracket \text{wf}(r); \text{wf}(s) \rrbracket \implies \text{wf}(\text{radd}(\text{field}(r), r, \text{field}(s), s))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-radd*:
 $\llbracket \text{well-ord}(A, r); \text{well-ord}(B, s) \rrbracket \implies \text{well-ord}(A+B, \text{radd}(A, r, B, s))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

19.1.6 An ord-iso congruence law

lemma *sum-bij*:
 $\llbracket f: \text{bij}(A, C); g: \text{bij}(B, D) \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\text{lam } z:A+B. \text{case}(\%x. \text{Inl}(f'x), \%y. \text{Inr}(g'y), z)) : \text{bij}(A+B, C+D)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sum-ord-iso-cong*:
 $\llbracket f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, A', r'); g: \text{ord-iso}(B, s, B', s') \rrbracket \implies$

$(\text{lam } z:A+B. \text{ case}(\%x. \text{Inl}(f'x), \%y. \text{Inr}(g'y), z))$
 $: \text{ord-iso}(A+B, \text{radd}(A,r,B,s), A'+B', \text{radd}(A',r',B',s'))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sum-disjoint-bij*: $A \text{ Int } B = 0 ==>$
 $(\text{lam } z:A+B. \text{ case}(\%x. x, \%y. y, z)) : \text{bij}(A+B, A \text{ Un } B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

19.1.7 Associativity

lemma *sum-assoc-bij*:
 $(\text{lam } z:(A+B)+C. \text{ case}(\text{case}(\text{Inl}, \%y. \text{Inr}(\text{Inl}(y))), \%y. \text{Inr}(\text{Inr}(y)), z))$
 $: \text{bij}((A+B)+C, A+(B+C))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sum-assoc-ord-iso*:
 $(\text{lam } z:(A+B)+C. \text{ case}(\text{case}(\text{Inl}, \%y. \text{Inr}(\text{Inl}(y))), \%y. \text{Inr}(\text{Inr}(y)), z))$
 $: \text{ord-iso}((A+B)+C, \text{radd}(A+B, \text{radd}(A,r,B,s), C, t),$
 $A+(B+C), \text{radd}(A, r, B+C, \text{radd}(B,s,C,t)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

19.2 Multiplication of Relations – Lexicographic Product

19.2.1 Rewrite rule. Can be used to obtain introduction rules

lemma *rmult-iff* [iff]:
 $<<a',b'>, <a,b>> : \text{rmult}(A,r,B,s) <->$
 $(<a',a>: r \ \& \ a':A \ \& \ a:A \ \& \ b':B \ \& \ b:B) \mid$
 $(<b',b>: s \ \& \ a'=a \ \& \ a:A \ \& \ b':B \ \& \ b:B)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rmultE*:
 $[[<a',b'>, <a,b>> : \text{rmult}(A,r,B,s);$
 $[[<a',a>: r; \ a':A; \ a:A; \ b':B; \ b:B]] ==> Q;$
 $[[<b',b>: s; \ a:A; \ a'=a; \ b':B; \ b:B]] ==> Q$
 $]] ==> Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

19.2.2 Type checking

lemma *rmult-type*: $\text{rmult}(A,r,B,s) <= (A*B) * (A*B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *field-rmult* = *rmult-type* [THEN *field-rel-subset*]

19.2.3 Linearity

lemma *linear-rmult*:

$\llbracket \text{linear}(A,r); \text{linear}(B,s) \rrbracket \implies \text{linear}(A*B, \text{rmult}(A,r,B,s))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

19.2.4 Well-foundedness

lemma *wf-on-rmult*: $\llbracket \text{wf}[A](r); \text{wf}[B](s) \rrbracket \implies \text{wf}[A*B](\text{rmult}(A,r,B,s))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wf-rmult*: $\llbracket \text{wf}(r); \text{wf}(s) \rrbracket \implies \text{wf}(\text{rmult}(\text{field}(r), r, \text{field}(s), s))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-rmult*:
 $\llbracket \text{well-ord}(A,r); \text{well-ord}(B,s) \rrbracket \implies \text{well-ord}(A*B, \text{rmult}(A,r,B,s))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

19.2.5 An *ord-iso* congruence law

lemma *prod-bij*:
 $\llbracket f: \text{bij}(A,C); g: \text{bij}(B,D) \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\text{lam } \langle x,y \rangle : A*B. \langle f'x, g'y \rangle) : \text{bij}(A*B, C*D)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod-ord-iso-cong*:
 $\llbracket f: \text{ord-iso}(A,r,A',r'); g: \text{ord-iso}(B,s,B',s') \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\text{lam } \langle x,y \rangle : A*B. \langle f'x, g'y \rangle$
 $\quad : \text{ord-iso}(A*B, \text{rmult}(A,r,B,s), A'*B', \text{rmult}(A',r',B',s'))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *singleton-prod-bij*: $(\text{lam } z:A. \langle x,z \rangle) : \text{bij}(A, \{x\}*A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *singleton-prod-ord-iso*:
 $\text{well-ord}(\{x\}, xr) \implies$
 $(\text{lam } z:A. \langle x,z \rangle) : \text{ord-iso}(A, r, \{x\}*A, \text{rmult}(\{x\}, xr, A, r))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod-sum-singleton-bij*:
 $a \sim : C \implies$
 $(\text{lam } x: C*B + D. \text{case}(\%x. x, \%y. \langle a,y \rangle, x))$
 $\quad : \text{bij}(C*B + D, C*B \text{ Un } \{a\}*D)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod-sum-singleton-ord-iso*:
 $\llbracket a:A; \text{well-ord}(A,r) \rrbracket \implies$
 $(\text{lam } x: \text{pred}(A,a,r)*B + \text{pred}(B,b,s). \text{case}(\%x. x, \%y. \langle a,y \rangle, x))$
 $\quad : \text{ord-iso}(\text{pred}(A,a,r)*B + \text{pred}(B,b,s),$
 $\quad \text{radd}(A*B, \text{rmult}(A,r,B,s), B, s),$

$\langle proof \rangle$ $pred(A, a, r) * B \text{ Un } \{a\} * pred(B, b, s), rmult(A, r, B, s))$

19.2.6 Distributive law

lemma *sum-prod-distrib-bij*:

$(lam \langle x, z \rangle : (A+B) * C. case(\%y. Inl(\langle y, z \rangle), \%y. Inr(\langle y, z \rangle), x))$
 $: bij((A+B) * C, (A * C) + (B * C))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-prod-distrib-ord-iso*:

$(lam \langle x, z \rangle : (A+B) * C. case(\%y. Inl(\langle y, z \rangle), \%y. Inr(\langle y, z \rangle), x))$
 $: ord-iso((A+B) * C, rmult(A+B, radd(A, r, B, s), C, t),$
 $(A * C) + (B * C), radd(A * C, rmult(A, r, C, t), B * C, rmult(B, s, C, t)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

19.2.7 Associativity

lemma *prod-assoc-bij*:

$(lam \langle \langle x, y \rangle, z \rangle : (A * B) * C. \langle x, \langle y, z \rangle \rangle) : bij((A * B) * C, A * (B * C))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prod-assoc-ord-iso*:

$(lam \langle \langle x, y \rangle, z \rangle : (A * B) * C. \langle x, \langle y, z \rangle \rangle)$
 $: ord-iso((A * B) * C, rmult(A * B, rmult(A, r, B, s), C, t),$
 $A * (B * C), rmult(A, r, B * C, rmult(B, s, C, t)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

19.3 Inverse Image of a Relation

19.3.1 Rewrite rule

lemma *rvimage-iff*: $\langle a, b \rangle : rvimage(A, f, r) \iff \langle f'a, f'b \rangle : r \ \& \ a:A \ \& \ b:A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

19.3.2 Type checking

lemma *rvimage-type*: $rvimage(A, f, r) \leq A * A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *field-rvimage = rvimage-type* [THEN *field-rel-subset*]

lemma *rvimage-converse*: $rvimage(A, f, converse(r)) = converse(rvimage(A, f, r))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

19.3.3 Partial Ordering Properties

lemma *irrefl-rvimage*:

$[f : inj(A, B); irrefl(B, r)] ==> irrefl(A, rvimage(A, f, r))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trans-on-rvimage*:

$\llbracket f: \text{inj}(A,B); \text{trans}[B](r) \rrbracket \implies \text{trans}[A](\text{rvimage}(A,f,r))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *part-ord-rvimage*:

$\llbracket f: \text{inj}(A,B); \text{part-ord}(B,r) \rrbracket \implies \text{part-ord}(A, \text{rvimage}(A,f,r))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

19.3.4 Linearity

lemma *linear-rvimage*:

$\llbracket f: \text{inj}(A,B); \text{linear}(B,r) \rrbracket \implies \text{linear}(A, \text{rvimage}(A,f,r))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tot-ord-rvimage*:

$\llbracket f: \text{inj}(A,B); \text{tot-ord}(B,r) \rrbracket \implies \text{tot-ord}(A, \text{rvimage}(A,f,r))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

19.3.5 Well-foundedness

lemma *wf-rvimage* [intro!]: $\text{wf}(r) \implies \text{wf}(\text{rvimage}(A,f,r))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

But note that the combination of *wf-imp-wf-on* and *wf-rvimage* gives $\text{wf}(r) \implies \text{wf}[C](\text{rvimage}(A, f, r))$

lemma *wf-on-rvimage*: $\llbracket f: A \multimap B; \text{wf}[B](r) \rrbracket \implies \text{wf}[A](\text{rvimage}(A,f,r))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-rvimage*:

$\llbracket f: \text{inj}(A,B); \text{well-ord}(B,r) \rrbracket \implies \text{well-ord}(A, \text{rvimage}(A,f,r))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-iso-rvimage*:

$f: \text{bij}(A,B) \implies f: \text{ord-iso}(A, \text{rvimage}(A,f,s), B, s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ord-iso-rvimage-eq*:

$f: \text{ord-iso}(A,r, B,s) \implies \text{rvimage}(A,f,s) = r \text{ Int } A * A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

19.4 Every well-founded relation is a subset of some inverse image of an ordinal

lemma *wf-rvimage-Ord*: $\text{Ord}(i) \implies \text{wf}(\text{rvimage}(A, f, \text{Memrel}(i)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

$wfrank :: [i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $wfrank(r,a) == wfrec(r, a, \%x f. \bigcup y \in r - \{\{x\}. succ(f'y))$

definition

$wftype :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $wftype(r) == \bigcup y \in range(r). succ(wfrank(r,y))$

lemma $wfrank$: $wf(r) \Rightarrow wfrank(r,a) = (\bigcup y \in r - \{\{a\}. succ(wfrank(r,y)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Ord\text{-}wfrank$: $wf(r) \Rightarrow Ord(wfrank(r,a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $wfrank\text{-}lt$: $[|wf(r); <a,b> \in r|] \Rightarrow wfrank(r,a) < wfrank(r,b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Ord\text{-}wftype$: $wf(r) \Rightarrow Ord(wftype(r))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $wftypeI$: $[|wf(r); x \in field(r)|] \Rightarrow wfrank(r,x) \in wftype(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $wf\text{-}imp\text{-}subset\text{-}rvimage$:

$[|wf(r); r \subseteq A * A|] \Rightarrow \exists i f. Ord(i) \ \& \ r \leq rvimage(A, f, Memrel(i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem $wf\text{-}iff\text{-}subset\text{-}rvimage$:

$relation(r) \Rightarrow wf(r) <-> (\exists i f A. Ord(i) \ \& \ r \leq rvimage(A, f, Memrel(i)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

19.5 Other Results

lemma $wf\text{-}times$: $A \ Int \ B = 0 \Rightarrow wf(A * B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Could also be used to prove $wf\text{-}radd$

lemma $wf\text{-}Un$:

$[| range(r) \ Int \ domain(s) = 0; wf(r); wf(s) |] \Rightarrow wf(r \ Un \ s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

19.5.1 The Empty Relation

lemma $wf0$: $wf(0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $linear0$: $linear(0,0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *well-ord0*: *well-ord*(0,0)
 <proof>

19.5.2 The "measure" relation is useful with wfrec

lemma *measure-eq-rvimage-Memrel*:
 $measure(A,f) = rvimage(A, Lambda(A,f), Memrel(Collect(RepFun(A,f), Ord)))$
 <proof>

lemma *wf-measure* [iff]: *wf*(*measure*(*A*,*f*))
 <proof>

lemma *measure-iff* [iff]: $\langle x,y \rangle : measure(A,f) <-> x:A \ \& \ y:A \ \& \ f(x) < f(y)$
 <proof>

lemma *linear-measure*:
assumes *Ord**f*: $!!x. x \in A ==> Ord(f(x))$
and *inj*: $!!x \ y. [x \in A; y \in A; f(x) = f(y)] ==> x=y$
shows *linear*(*A*, *measure*(*A*,*f*))
 <proof>

lemma *wf-on-measure*: *wf*[*B*](*measure*(*A*,*f*))
 <proof>

lemma *well-ord-measure*:
assumes *Ord**f*: $!!x. x \in A ==> Ord(f(x))$
and *inj*: $!!x \ y. [x \in A; y \in A; f(x) = f(y)] ==> x=y$
shows *well-ord*(*A*, *measure*(*A*,*f*))
 <proof>

lemma *measure-type*: *measure*(*A*,*f*) $\leq A * A$
 <proof>

19.5.3 Well-foundedness of Unions

lemma *wf-on-Union*:
assumes *wfA*: *wf*[*A*](*r*)
and *wfB*: $!!a. a \in A ==> wf[B(a)](s)$
and *ok*: $!!a \ u \ v. [\langle u,v \rangle \in s; v \in B(a); a \in A]$
 $==> (\exists a' \in A. \langle a',a \rangle \in r \ \& \ u \in B(a')) \mid u \in B(a)$
shows *wf*[$\bigcup a \in A. B(a)$](*s*)
 <proof>

19.5.4 Bijections involving Powersets

lemma *Pow-sum-bij*:
 $(\lambda Z \in Pow(A+B). \langle \{x \in A. Inl(x) \in Z\}, \{y \in B. Inr(y) \in Z\} \rangle)$
 $\in bij(Pow(A+B), Pow(A) * Pow(B))$
 <proof>

As a special case, we have $\text{bij}(\text{Pow}(A \times B), A \rightarrow \text{Pow}(B))$

lemma *Pow-Sigma-bij*:

$(\lambda r \in \text{Pow}(\text{Sigma}(A,B)). \lambda x \in A. r \text{ “ } \{x\})$
 $\in \text{bij}(\text{Pow}(\text{Sigma}(A,B)), \Pi x \in A. \text{Pow}(B(x)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

20 Order Types and Ordinal Arithmetic

theory *OrderType* **imports** *OrderArith OrdQuant Nat* **begin**

The order type of a well-ordering is the least ordinal isomorphic to it. Ordinal arithmetic is traditionally defined in terms of order types, as it is here. But a definition by transfinite recursion would be much simpler!

definition

ordermap $:: [i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
ordermap(A,r) $== \text{lam } x:A. \text{wfrec}[A](r, x, \%x f. f \text{ “ } \text{pred}(A,x,r))$

definition

ordertype $:: [i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
ordertype(A,r) $== \text{ordermap}(A,r) \text{ “ } A$

definition

Ord-alt $:: i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
Ord-alt(X) $== \text{well-ord}(X, \text{Memrel}(X)) \ \& \ (\text{ALL } u:X. u = \text{pred}(X, u, \text{Memrel}(X)))$

definition

ordify $:: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
ordify(x) $== \text{if } \text{Ord}(x) \text{ then } x \text{ else } 0$

definition

omult $:: [i,i] \Rightarrow i$ (**infixl** ** 70) **where**
 $i ** j == \text{ordertype}(j * i, \text{rmult}(j, \text{Memrel}(j), i, \text{Memrel}(i)))$

definition

raw-oadd $:: [i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
raw-oadd(i,j) $== \text{ordertype}(i+j, \text{radd}(i, \text{Memrel}(i), j, \text{Memrel}(j)))$

definition

oadd $:: [i,i] \Rightarrow i$ (**infixl** ++ 65) **where**
 $i ++ j == \text{raw-oadd}(\text{ordify}(i), \text{ordify}(j))$

definition

odiff :: $[i,i] \Rightarrow i$ (**infixl** -- 65) **where**
 $i \text{ -- } j == \text{ordertype}(i-j, \text{Memrel}(i))$

notation (*xsymbols*)

omult (**infixl** $\times \times$ 70)

notation (*HTML output*)

omult (**infixl** $\times \times$ 70)

20.1 Proofs needing the combination of Ordinal.thy and Order.thy

lemma *le-well-ord-Memrel*: $j \text{ le } i \Rightarrow \text{well-ord}(j, \text{Memrel}(i))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *well-ord-Memrel* = *le-reft* [THEN *le-well-ord-Memrel*]

lemma *lt-pred-Memrel*:

$j < i \Rightarrow \text{pred}(i, j, \text{Memrel}(i)) = j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pred-Memrel*:

$x:A \Rightarrow \text{pred}(A, x, \text{Memrel}(A)) = A \text{ Int } x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-iso-implies-eq-lemma*:

$[\mid j < i; f: \text{ord-iso}(i, \text{Memrel}(i), j, \text{Memrel}(j)) \mid] \Rightarrow R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-iso-implies-eq*:

$[\mid \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j); f: \text{ord-iso}(i, \text{Memrel}(i), j, \text{Memrel}(j)) \mid] \Rightarrow i=j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

20.2 Ordermap and ordertype

lemma *ordermap-type*:

$\text{ordermap}(A, r) : A \rightarrow \text{ordertype}(A, r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

20.2.1 Unfolding of ordermap

lemma *ordermap-eq-image*:

$$[[\text{wf}[A](r); x:A]] \\
\implies \text{ordermap}(A,r) \text{ ' } x = \text{ordermap}(A,r) \text{ ' ' } \text{pred}(A,x,r) \\
\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *ordermap-pred-unfold*:

$$[[\text{wf}[A](r); x:A]] \\
\implies \text{ordermap}(A,r) \text{ ' } x = \{ \text{ordermap}(A,r) \text{ ' } y \mid y : \text{pred}(A,x,r) \} \\
\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemmas *ordermap-unfold* = *ordermap-pred-unfold* [*simplified pred-def*]

20.2.2 Showing that ordermap, ordertype yield ordinals

lemma *Ord-ordermap*:

$$[[\text{well-ord}(A,r); x:A]] \implies \text{Ord}(\text{ordermap}(A,r) \text{ ' } x) \\
\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *Ord-ordertype*:

$$\text{well-ord}(A,r) \implies \text{Ord}(\text{ordertype}(A,r)) \\
\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

20.2.3 ordermap preserves the orderings in both directions

lemma *ordermap-mono*:

$$[[<w,x>: r; \text{wf}[A](r); w: A; x: A]] \\
\implies \text{ordermap}(A,r) \text{ ' } w : \text{ordermap}(A,r) \text{ ' } x \\
\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *converse-ordermap-mono*:

$$[[\text{ordermap}(A,r) \text{ ' } w : \text{ordermap}(A,r) \text{ ' } x; \text{well-ord}(A,r); w: A; x: A]] \\
\implies <w,x>: r \\
\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemmas *ordermap-surj* =

ordermap-type [*THEN surj-image, unfolded ordertype-def* [*symmetric*]]

lemma *ordermap-bij*:

$$\text{well-ord}(A,r) \implies \text{ordermap}(A,r) : \text{bij}(A, \text{ordertype}(A,r)) \\
\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

20.2.4 Isomorphisms involving ordertype

lemma *ordertype-ord-iso*:

$$\text{well-ord}(A,r) \\
\implies \text{ordermap}(A,r) : \text{ord-iso}(A,r, \text{ordertype}(A,r), \text{Memrel}(\text{ordertype}(A,r))) \\
\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *ordertype-eq*:

$[[f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s); \text{well-ord}(B, s)]]$
 $\implies \text{ordertype}(A, r) = \text{ordertype}(B, s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ordertype-eq-imp-ord-iso*:

$[[\text{ordertype}(A, r) = \text{ordertype}(B, s); \text{well-ord}(A, r); \text{well-ord}(B, s)]]$
 $\implies \exists f. f: \text{ord-iso}(A, r, B, s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

20.2.5 Basic equalities for ordertype

lemma *le-ordertype-Memrel*: $j \text{ le } i \implies \text{ordertype}(j, \text{Memrel}(i)) = j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *ordertype-Memrel* = *le-refl* [THEN *le-ordertype-Memrel*]

lemma *ordertype-0* [simp]: $\text{ordertype}(0, r) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *bij-ordertype-vimage* = *ord-iso-rvimage* [THEN *ordertype-eq*]

20.2.6 A fundamental unfolding law for ordertype.

lemma *ordermap-pred-eq-ordermap*:

$[[\text{well-ord}(A, r); y: A; z: \text{pred}(A, y, r)]]$
 $\implies \text{ordermap}(\text{pred}(A, y, r), r) \text{ ` } z = \text{ordermap}(A, r) \text{ ` } z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ordertype-unfold*:

$\text{ordertype}(A, r) = \{ \text{ordermap}(A, r) \text{ ` } y \mid y : A \}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Theorems by Krzysztof Grabczewski; proofs simplified by lcp

lemma *ordertype-pred-subset*: $[[\text{well-ord}(A, r); x: A]] \implies$

$\text{ordertype}(\text{pred}(A, x, r), r) \leq \text{ordertype}(A, r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ordertype-pred-lt*:

$[[\text{well-ord}(A, r); x: A]]$
 $\implies \text{ordertype}(\text{pred}(A, x, r), r) < \text{ordertype}(A, r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ordertype-pred-unfold*:

$\text{well-ord}(A, r)$
 $\implies \text{ordertype}(A, r) = \{ \text{ordertype}(\text{pred}(A, x, r), r). x : A \}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

20.3 Alternative definition of ordinal

lemma *Ord-is-Ord-alt*: $\text{Ord}(i) \implies \text{Ord-alt}(i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-alt-is-Ord*:
 $\text{Ord-alt}(i) \implies \text{Ord}(i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

20.4 Ordinal Addition

20.4.1 Order Type calculations for radd

Addition with 0

lemma *bij-sum-0*: $(\text{lam } z:A+0. \text{ case } (\%x. x, \%y. y, z)) : \text{bij}(A+0, A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ordertype-sum-0-eq*:
 $\text{well-ord}(A, r) \implies \text{ordertype}(A+0, \text{radd}(A, r, 0, s)) = \text{ordertype}(A, r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bij-0-sum*: $(\text{lam } z:0+A. \text{ case } (\%x. x, \%y. y, z)) : \text{bij}(0+A, A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ordertype-0-sum-eq*:
 $\text{well-ord}(A, r) \implies \text{ordertype}(0+A, \text{radd}(0, s, A, r)) = \text{ordertype}(A, r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Initial segments of radd. Statements by Grabczewski

lemma *pred-Inl-bij*:
 $a:A \implies (\text{lam } x:\text{pred}(A, a, r). \text{Inl}(x))$
 $: \text{bij}(\text{pred}(A, a, r), \text{pred}(A+B, \text{Inl}(a), \text{radd}(A, r, B, s)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ordertype-pred-Inl-eq*:
 $[[a:A; \text{well-ord}(A, r)]]$
 $\implies \text{ordertype}(\text{pred}(A+B, \text{Inl}(a), \text{radd}(A, r, B, s)), \text{radd}(A, r, B, s)) =$
 $\text{ordertype}(\text{pred}(A, a, r), r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pred-Inr-bij*:
 $b:B \implies$
 $\text{id}(A+\text{pred}(B, b, s))$
 $: \text{bij}(A+\text{pred}(B, b, s), \text{pred}(A+B, \text{Inr}(b), \text{radd}(A, r, B, s)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ordertype-pred-Inr-eq*:
 $[[b:B; \text{well-ord}(A, r); \text{well-ord}(B, s)]]$

$$\implies \text{ordertype}(\text{pred}(A+B, \text{Inr}(b), \text{radd}(A, r, B, s)), \text{radd}(A, r, B, s)) =$$

$$\text{ordertype}(A+\text{pred}(B, b, s), \text{radd}(A, r, \text{pred}(B, b, s), s))$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

20.4.2 ordify: trivial coercion to an ordinal

lemma *Ord-ordify* [*iff*, *TC*]: $\text{Ord}(\text{ordify}(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ordify-idem* [*simp*]: $\text{ordify}(\text{ordify}(x)) = \text{ordify}(x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

20.4.3 Basic laws for ordinal addition

lemma *Ord-raw-oadd*: $[[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j)]] \implies \text{Ord}(\text{raw-oadd}(i, j))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-oadd* [*iff*, *TC*]: $\text{Ord}(i++j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Ordinal addition with zero

lemma *raw-oadd-0*: $\text{Ord}(i) \implies \text{raw-oadd}(i, 0) = i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *oadd-0* [*simp*]: $\text{Ord}(i) \implies i++0 = i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-oadd-0-left*: $\text{Ord}(i) \implies \text{raw-oadd}(0, i) = i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *oadd-0-left* [*simp*]: $\text{Ord}(i) \implies 0++i = i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *oadd-eq-if-raw-oadd*:

$$i++j = (\text{if } \text{Ord}(i) \text{ then } (\text{if } \text{Ord}(j) \text{ then } \text{raw-oadd}(i, j) \text{ else } i)$$

$$\text{else } (\text{if } \text{Ord}(j) \text{ then } j \text{ else } 0))$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *raw-oadd-eq-oadd*: $[[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j)]] \implies \text{raw-oadd}(i, j) = i++j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lt-oadd1*: $k < i \implies k < i++j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *oadd-le-self*: $Ord(i) \implies i \leq i++j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Various other results

lemma *id-ord-iso-Memrel*: $A \leq B \implies id(A) : ord\text{-}iso(A, Memrel(A), A, Memrel(B))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subset-ord-iso-Memrel*:
 $[| f : ord\text{-}iso(A, Memrel(B), C, r); A \leq B |] \implies f : ord\text{-}iso(A, Memrel(A), C, r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-ord-iso*:
 $[| f \in ord\text{-}iso(i, Memrel(i), Order.pred(A, a, r), r); a \in A; j < i;$
 $trans[A](r) |]$
 $\implies restrict(f, j) \in ord\text{-}iso(j, Memrel(j), Order.pred(A, f'j, r), r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-ord-iso2*:
 $[| f \in ord\text{-}iso(Order.pred(A, a, r), r, i, Memrel(i)); a \in A;$
 $j < i; trans[A](r) |]$
 $\implies converse(restrict(converse(f), j))$
 $\in ord\text{-}iso(Order.pred(A, converse(f)'j, r), r, j, Memrel(j))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ordertype-sum-Memrel*:
 $[| well\text{-}ord(A, r); k < j |]$
 $\implies ordertype(A+k, radd(A, r, k, Memrel(j))) =$
 $ordertype(A+k, radd(A, r, k, Memrel(k)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oadd-lt-mono2*: $k < j \implies i++k < i++j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oadd-lt-cancel2*: $[| i++j < i++k; Ord(j) |] \implies j < k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oadd-lt-iff2*: $Ord(j) \implies i++j < i++k \iff j < k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oadd-inject*: $[| i++j = i++k; Ord(j); Ord(k) |] \implies j = k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lt-oadd-disj*: $k < i++j \implies k < i \mid (EX l:j. k = i++l)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

20.4.4 Ordinal addition with successor – via associativity!

lemma *oadd-assoc*: $(i++j)++k = i++(j++k)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oadd-unfold*: $[[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j)]] \implies i++j = i \text{ Un } (\bigcup_{k \in j. \{i++k\}})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oadd-1*: $\text{Ord}(i) \implies i++1 = \text{succ}(i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oadd-succ* [*simp*]: $\text{Ord}(j) \implies i++\text{succ}(j) = \text{succ}(i++j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Ordinal addition with limit ordinals

lemma *oadd-UN*:
 $[[!!x. x:A \implies \text{Ord}(j(x)); a:A]]$
 $\implies i++(\bigcup_{x \in A. j(x)}) = (\bigcup_{x \in A. i++j(x)})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oadd-Limit*: $\text{Limit}(j) \implies i++j = (\bigcup_{k \in j. i++k})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oadd-eq-0-iff*: $[[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j)]] \implies (i++j) = 0 \iff i=0 \ \& \ j=0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oadd-eq-lt-iff*: $[[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j)]] \implies 0 < (i++j) \iff 0 < i \mid 0 < j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oadd-LimitI*: $[[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Limit}(j)]] \implies \text{Limit}(i++j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Order/monotonicity properties of ordinal addition

lemma *oadd-le-self2*: $\text{Ord}(i) \implies i \text{ le } j++i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oadd-le-mono1*: $k \text{ le } j \implies k++i \text{ le } j++i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oadd-lt-mono*: $[[i' \text{ le } i; j' < j]] \implies i'++j' < i++j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oadd-le-mono*: $[[i' \text{ le } i; j' \text{ le } j]] \implies i'++j' \text{ le } i++j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oadd-le-iff2*: $[[\text{Ord}(j); \text{Ord}(k)]] \implies i++j \text{ le } i++k \iff j \text{ le } k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oadd-lt-self*: $[[\text{Ord}(i); 0 < j]] \implies i < i++j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Every ordinal is exceeded by some limit ordinal.

lemma *Ord-imp-greater-Limit*: $Ord(i) \implies \exists k. i < k \ \& \ Limit(k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Ord2-imp-greater-Limit*: $[| Ord(i); Ord(j) |] \implies \exists k. i < k \ \& \ j < k \ \& \ Limit(k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

20.5 Ordinal Subtraction

The difference is $ordertype(j - i, Memrel(j))$. It's probably simpler to define the difference recursively!

lemma *bij-sum-Diff*:
 $A \leq B \implies (\lambda y:B. if(y:A, Inl(y), Inr(y))) : bij(B, A + (B - A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ordertype-sum-Diff*:
 $i \leq j \implies$
 $ordertype(i + (j - i), radd(i, Memrel(j), j - i, Memrel(j))) =$
 $ordertype(j, Memrel(j))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Ord-odiff* [*simp*, *TC*]:
 $[| Ord(i); Ord(j) |] \implies Ord(i -- j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *raw-oadd-ordertype-Diff*:
 $i \leq j$
 $\implies raw-oadd(i, j -- i) = ordertype(i + (j - i), radd(i, Memrel(j), j - i, Memrel(j)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *oadd-odiff-inverse*: $i \leq j \implies i ++ (j -- i) = j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *odiff-oadd-inverse*: $[| Ord(i); Ord(j) |] \implies (i ++ j) -- i = j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *odiff-lt-mono2*: $[| i < j; k \leq i |] \implies i -- k < j -- k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

20.6 Ordinal Multiplication

lemma *Ord-omult* [*simp*, *TC*]:
 $[| Ord(i); Ord(j) |] \implies Ord(i ** j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

20.6.1 A useful unfolding law

lemma *pred-Pair-eq*:

$$[[a:A; b:B]] ==> \text{pred}(A*B, <a,b>, \text{rmult}(A,r,B,s)) =$$

$$\text{pred}(A,a,r)*B \text{ Un } (\{a\} * \text{pred}(B,b,s))$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ordertype-pred-Pair-eq*:

$$[[a:A; b:B; \text{well-ord}(A,r); \text{well-ord}(B,s)]] ==>$$

$$\text{ordertype}(\text{pred}(A*B, <a,b>, \text{rmult}(A,r,B,s)), \text{rmult}(A,r,B,s)) =$$

$$\text{ordertype}(\text{pred}(A,a,r)*B + \text{pred}(B,b,s),$$

$$\text{radd}(A*B, \text{rmult}(A,r,B,s), B, s))$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ordertype-pred-Pair-lemma*:

$$[[i'<i; j'<j]] ==> \text{ordertype}(\text{pred}(i*j, <i',j'>, \text{rmult}(i,\text{Memrel}(i),j,\text{Memrel}(j))),$$

$$\text{rmult}(i,\text{Memrel}(i),j,\text{Memrel}(j))) =$$

$$\text{raw-oadd } (j**i', j')$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lt-omult*:

$$[[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j); k<j**i]] ==> \text{EX } j' i'. k = j**i' ++ j' \ \& \ j'<j \ \& \ i'<i$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *omult-oadd-lt*:

$$[[j'<j; i'<i]] ==> j**i' ++ j' < j**i$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *omult-unfold*:

$$[[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j)]] ==> j**i = (\bigcup j' \in j. \bigcup i' \in i. \{j**i' ++ j'\})$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

20.6.2 Basic laws for ordinal multiplication

Ordinal multiplication by zero

lemma *omult-0 [simp]*: $i**0 = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *omult-0-left [simp]*: $0**i = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Ordinal multiplication by 1

lemma *omult-1 [simp]*: $\text{Ord}(i) ==> i**1 = i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *omult-1-left [simp]*: $\text{Ord}(i) ==> 1**i = i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Distributive law for ordinal multiplication and addition

lemma *oadd-omult-distrib*:

$$[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j); \text{Ord}(k)] \implies i**(j++k) = (i**j)++(i**k)$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *omult-succ*: $[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j)] \implies i**\text{succ}(j) = (i**j)++i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Associative law

lemma *omult-assoc*:

$$[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j); \text{Ord}(k)] \implies (i**j)**k = i**(j**k)$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Ordinal multiplication with limit ordinals

lemma *omult-UN*:

$$[\text{Ord}(i); \text{!!}x. x:A \implies \text{Ord}(j(x))]$$

$$\implies i**(\bigcup_{x \in A} j(x)) = (\bigcup_{x \in A} i**j(x))$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *omult-Limit*: $[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Limit}(j)] \implies i**j = (\bigcup_{k \in j} i**k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

20.6.3 Ordering/monotonicity properties of ordinal multiplication

lemma *lt-omult1*: $[k < i; 0 < j] \implies k < i**j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *omult-le-self*: $[\text{Ord}(i); 0 < j] \implies i \text{ le } i**j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *omult-le-mono1*: $[k \text{ le } j; \text{Ord}(i)] \implies k**i \text{ le } j**i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *omult-lt-mono2*: $[k < j; 0 < i] \implies i**k < i**j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *omult-le-mono2*: $[k \text{ le } j; \text{Ord}(i)] \implies i**k \text{ le } i**j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *omult-le-mono*: $[i' \text{ le } i; j' \text{ le } j] \implies i'*j' \text{ le } i**j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *omult-lt-mono*: $[i' \text{ le } i; j' < j; 0 < i] \implies i'*j' < i**j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *omult-le-self2*: $[\text{Ord}(i); 0 < j] \implies i \text{ le } j**i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Further properties of ordinal multiplication

lemma *omult-inject*: $[i**j = i**k; 0 < i; \text{Ord}(j); \text{Ord}(k)] \implies j = k$

$\langle proof \rangle$

20.7 The Relation Lt

lemma *wf-Lt*: $wf(Lt)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *irrefl-Lt*: $irrefl(A, Lt)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *trans-Lt*: $trans[A](Lt)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *part-ord-Lt*: $part-ord(A, Lt)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *linear-Lt*: $linear(nat, Lt)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tot-ord-Lt*: $tot-ord(nat, Lt)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-Lt*: $well-ord(nat, Lt)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

21 Finite Powerset Operator and Finite Function Space

theory *Finite* **imports** *Inductive Epsilon Nat* **begin**

rep-datatype

elimination *natE*

induction *nat-induct*

case-eqns *nat-case-0 nat-case-succ*

recursor-eqns *recursor-0 recursor-succ*

consts

Fin $:: i => i$

FiniteFun $:: [i, i] => i \quad ((- \text{ -- } ||> / -) [61, 60] 60)$

inductive

domains *Fin*(A) \leq *Pow*(A)

intros

```

    emptyI: 0 : Fin(A)
    consI: [| a: A; b: Fin(A) |] ==> cons(a,b) : Fin(A)
type-intros empty-subsetI cons-subsetI PowI
type-elims PowD [THEN revcut-rl]

inductive
domains FiniteFun(A,B) <= Fin(A*B)
intros
    emptyI: 0 : A -||> B
    consI: [| a: A; b: B; h: A -||> B; a ~: domain(h) |]
            ==> cons(<a,b>,h) : A -||> B
type-intros Fin.intros

```

21.1 Finite Powerset Operator

lemma *Fin-mono*: $A \leq B \implies \text{Fin}(A) \leq \text{Fin}(B)$
 <proof>

lemmas *FinD* = *Fin.dom-subset* [THEN subsetD, THEN PowD, standard]

lemma *Fin-induct* [case-names 0 cons, induct set: Fin]:
 [| b: Fin(A);
 P(0);
 !!x y. [| x: A; y: Fin(A); x~:y; P(y) |] ==> P(cons(x,y))
 |] ==> P(b)
 <proof>

declare *Fin.intros* [simp]

lemma *Fin-0*: $\text{Fin}(0) = \{0\}$
 <proof>

lemma *Fin-UnI* [simp]: [| b: Fin(A); c: Fin(A) |] ==> $b \text{ Un } c : \text{Fin}(A)$
 <proof>

lemma *Fin-UnionI*: $C : \text{Fin}(\text{Fin}(A)) \implies \text{Union}(C) : \text{Fin}(A)$
 <proof>

lemma *Fin-subset-lemma* [rule-format]: $b : \text{Fin}(A) \implies \forall z. z \leq b \implies z : \text{Fin}(A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Fin-subset*: $[| c \leq b; b: Fin(A) |] \implies c: Fin(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Fin-IntI1* [*intro,simp*]: $b: Fin(A) \implies b \text{ Int } c : Fin(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Fin-IntI2* [*intro,simp*]: $c: Fin(A) \implies b \text{ Int } c : Fin(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Fin-0-induct-lemma* [*rule-format*]:
 $[| c: Fin(A); b: Fin(A); P(b);$
 $!!x y. [| x: A; y: Fin(A); x:y; P(y) |] \implies P(y-\{x\})$
 $|] \implies c \leq b \dashv\dashv P(b-c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Fin-0-induct*:
 $[| b: Fin(A);$
 $P(b);$
 $!!x y. [| x: A; y: Fin(A); x:y; P(y) |] \implies P(y-\{x\})$
 $|] \implies P(0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-fun-subset-Fin*: $n: nat \implies n \rightarrow A \leq Fin(nat * A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

21.2 Finite Function Space

lemma *FiniteFun-mono*:
 $[| A \leq C; B \leq D |] \implies A -||> B \leq C -||> D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *FiniteFun-mono1*: $A \leq B \implies A -||> A \leq B -||> B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *FiniteFun-is-fun*: $h: A -||> B \implies h: domain(h) \rightarrow B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *FiniteFun-domain-Fin*: $h: A -||> B \implies domain(h) : Fin(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *FiniteFun-apply-type* = *FiniteFun-is-fun* [*THEN apply-type, standard*]

lemma *FiniteFun-subset-lemma* [*rule-format*]:
 $b: A -||> B \implies ALL z. z \leq b \dashv\dashv z: A -||> B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *FiniteFun-subset*: $[\mid c \leq b; \quad b : A - \mid > B \mid] \implies c : A - \mid > B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fun-FiniteFunI* [rule-format]: $A : \text{Fin}(X) \implies \text{ALL } f. f : A \multimap B \multimap f : A - \mid > B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lam-FiniteFun*: $A : \text{Fin}(X) \implies (\text{lam } x:A. b(x)) : A - \mid > \{b(x). x:A\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *FiniteFun-Collect-iff*:
 $f : \text{FiniteFun}(A, \{y:B. P(y)\})$
 $\iff f : \text{FiniteFun}(A, B) \ \& \ (\text{ALL } x:\text{domain}(f). P(f'x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

21.3 The Contents of a Singleton Set

definition
 $\text{contents} :: i \Rightarrow i \quad \text{where}$
 $\text{contents}(X) == \text{THE } x. X = \{x\}$

lemma *contents-eq* [simp]: $\text{contents } (\{x\}) = x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

22 Cardinal Numbers Without the Axiom of Choice

theory *Cardinal* **imports** *OrderType Finite Nat Sum* **begin**

definition

$\text{Least} :: (i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow i \quad (\text{binder } \text{LEAST } 10) \quad \text{where}$
 $\text{Least}(P) == \text{THE } i. \text{Ord}(i) \ \& \ P(i) \ \& \ (\text{ALL } j. j < i \multimap \sim P(j))$

definition

$\text{eqpoll} :: [i, i] \Rightarrow o \quad (\text{infixl } \text{eqpoll } 50) \quad \text{where}$
 $A \text{ eqpoll } B == \text{EX } f. f : \text{bij}(A, B)$

definition

$\text{lepoll} :: [i, i] \Rightarrow o \quad (\text{infixl } \text{lepoll } 50) \quad \text{where}$
 $A \text{ lepoll } B == \text{EX } f. f : \text{inj}(A, B)$

definition

$\text{lesspoll} :: [i, i] \Rightarrow o \quad (\text{infixl } \text{lesspoll } 50) \quad \text{where}$
 $A \text{ lesspoll } B == A \text{ lepoll } B \ \& \ \sim(A \text{ eqpoll } B)$

definition

$cardinal :: i \Rightarrow i \quad (|-|) \text{ where}$
 $|A| == LEAST i. i \text{ eqpoll } A$

definition

$Finite :: i \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$
 $Finite(A) == EX n:nat. A \text{ eqpoll } n$

definition

$Card :: i \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$
 $Card(i) == (i = |i|)$

notation (*xsymbols*)

$eqpoll \quad (\text{infixl } \approx 50) \text{ and}$
 $lepoll \quad (\text{infixl } \lesssim 50) \text{ and}$
 $lesspoll \quad (\text{infixl } \prec 50) \text{ and}$
 $Least \quad (\text{binder } \mu 10)$

notation (*HTML output*)

$eqpoll \quad (\text{infixl } \approx 50) \text{ and}$
 $Least \quad (\text{binder } \mu 10)$

22.1 The Schroeder-Bernstein Theorem

See Davey and Priestly, page 106

lemma *decomp-bnd-mono*: $bnd\text{-}mono(X, \%W. X - g^{''}(Y - f^{''}W))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Banach-last-equation*:

$g: Y \rightarrow X$
 $\implies g^{''}(Y - f^{''}lfp(X, \%W. X - g^{''}(Y - f^{''}W))) =$
 $X - lfp(X, \%W. X - g^{''}(Y - f^{''}W))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *decomposition*:

$[| f: X \rightarrow Y; g: Y \rightarrow X |] \implies$
 $EX XA XB YA YB. (XA \text{ Int } XB = 0) \ \& \ (XA \text{ Un } XB = X) \ \&$
 $(YA \text{ Int } YB = 0) \ \& \ (YA \text{ Un } YB = Y) \ \&$
 $f^{''}XA = YA \ \& \ g^{''}YB = XB$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *schroeder-bernstein*:

$[| f: inj(X, Y); g: inj(Y, X) |] \implies EX h. h: bij(X, Y)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bij-imp-epoll*: $f: \text{bij}(A,B) \implies A \approx B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *epoll-refl* = *id-bij* [THEN *bij-imp-epoll*, *standard*, *simp*]

lemma *epoll-sym*: $X \approx Y \implies Y \approx X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *epoll-trans*:
 $[\![X \approx Y; Y \approx Z]\!] \implies X \approx Z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subset-imp-lepoll*: $X \leq Y \implies X \lesssim Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *lepoll-refl* = *subset-refl* [THEN *subset-imp-lepoll*, *standard*, *simp*]

lemmas *le-imp-lepoll* = *le-imp-subset* [THEN *subset-imp-lepoll*, *standard*]

lemma *epoll-imp-lepoll*: $X \approx Y \implies X \lesssim Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lepoll-trans*: $[\![X \lesssim Y; Y \lesssim Z]\!] \implies X \lesssim Z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *epollI*: $[\![X \lesssim Y; Y \lesssim X]\!] \implies X \approx Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *epollE*:
 $[\![X \approx Y; [\![X \lesssim Y; Y \lesssim X]\!] \implies P]\!] \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *epoll-iff*: $X \approx Y \iff X \lesssim Y \ \& \ Y \lesssim X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lepoll-0-is-0*: $A \lesssim 0 \implies A = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *empty-lepollI* = *empty-subsetI* [THEN *subset-imp-lepoll*, *standard*]

lemma *lepoll-0-iff*: $A \lesssim 0 \iff A = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Un-lepoll-Un*:

$\llbracket A \lesssim B; C \lesssim D; B \text{ Int } D = 0 \rrbracket \implies A \text{ Un } C \lesssim B \text{ Un } D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *eqpoll-0-is-0* = *eqpoll-imp-lepoll* [*THEN lepoll-0-is-0, standard*]

lemma *eqpoll-0-iff*: $A \approx 0 \iff A=0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eqpoll-disjoint-Un*:
 $\llbracket A \approx B; C \approx D; A \text{ Int } C = 0; B \text{ Int } D = 0 \rrbracket$
 $\implies A \text{ Un } C \approx B \text{ Un } D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

22.2 lesspoll: contributions by Krzysztof Grabczewski

lemma *lesspoll-not-refl*: $\sim (i \prec i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lesspoll-irrefl* [*elim!*]: $i \prec i \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lesspoll-imp-lepoll*: $A \prec B \implies A \lesssim B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lepoll-well-ord*: $\llbracket A \lesssim B; \text{well-ord}(B, r) \rrbracket \implies \text{EX } s. \text{well-ord}(A, s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lepoll-iff-leqpoll*: $A \lesssim B \iff A \prec B \mid A \approx B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inj-not-surj-succ*:
 $\llbracket f : \text{inj}(A, \text{succ}(m)); f \sim : \text{surj}(A, \text{succ}(m)) \rrbracket \implies \text{EX } f. f : \text{inj}(A, m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lesspoll-trans*:
 $\llbracket X \prec Y; Y \prec Z \rrbracket \implies X \prec Z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lesspoll-trans1*:
 $\llbracket X \lesssim Y; Y \prec Z \rrbracket \implies X \prec Z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lesspoll-trans2*:
 $\llbracket X \prec Y; Y \lesssim Z \rrbracket \implies X \prec Z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Least-equality*:

$\llbracket P(i); \text{Ord}(i); \forall x. x < i \implies \sim P(x) \rrbracket \implies (\text{LEAST } x. P(x)) = i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *LeastI*: $\llbracket P(i); \text{Ord}(i) \rrbracket \implies P(\text{LEAST } x. P(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Least-le*: $\llbracket P(i); \text{Ord}(i) \rrbracket \implies (\text{LEAST } x. P(x)) \text{ le } i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *less-LeastE*: $\llbracket P(i); i < (\text{LEAST } x. P(x)) \rrbracket \implies Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *LeastI2*:

$\llbracket P(i); \text{Ord}(i); \forall j. P(j) \implies Q(j) \rrbracket \implies Q(\text{LEAST } j. P(j))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Least-0*:

$\llbracket \sim (\text{EX } i. \text{Ord}(i) \ \& \ P(i)) \rrbracket \implies (\text{LEAST } x. P(x)) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-Least* [intro,simp,TC]: $\text{Ord}(\text{LEAST } x. P(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Least-cong*:

$(\forall y. P(y) \iff Q(y)) \implies (\text{LEAST } x. P(x)) = (\text{LEAST } x. Q(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cardinal-cong*: $X \approx Y \implies |X| = |Y|$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-cardinal-epoll*:

$\text{well-ord}(A, r) \implies |A| \approx A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *Ord-cardinal-epoll* = *well-ord-Memrel* [*THEN well-ord-cardinal-epoll*]

lemma *well-ord-cardinal-eqE*:

$[[\text{well-ord}(X,r); \text{well-ord}(Y,s); |X| = |Y|]] \implies X \approx Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-cardinal-epoll-iff*:

$[[\text{well-ord}(X,r); \text{well-ord}(Y,s)]] \implies |X| = |Y| \iff X \approx Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-cardinal-le*: $\text{Ord}(i) \implies |i| \text{ le } i$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Card-cardinal-eq*: $\text{Card}(K) \implies |K| = K$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *CardI*: $[[\text{Ord}(i); \forall j. j < i \implies \sim(j \approx i)]] \implies \text{Card}(i)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Card-is-Ord*: $\text{Card}(i) \implies \text{Ord}(i)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Card-cardinal-le*: $\text{Card}(K) \implies K \text{ le } |K|$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-cardinal* [*simp,intro!*]: $\text{Ord}(|A|)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Card-iff-initial*: $\text{Card}(K) \iff \text{Ord}(K) \ \& \ (\text{ALL } j. j < K \implies \sim j \approx K)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lt-Card-imp-lesspoll*: $[[\text{Card}(a); i < a]] \implies i \prec a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Card-0*: $\text{Card}(0)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Card-Un*: $[[\text{Card}(K); \text{Card}(L)]] \implies \text{Card}(K \text{ Un } L)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Card-cardinal*: $\text{Card}(|A|)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cardinal-eq-lemma*: $[|i| \leq j; j \leq i] \implies |j| = |i|$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cardinal-mono*: $i \leq j \implies |i| \leq |j|$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cardinal-lt-imp-lt*: $[|i| < |j|; \text{Ord}(i); \text{Ord}(j)] \implies i < j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Card-lt-imp-lt*: $[|i| < K; \text{Ord}(i); \text{Card}(K)] \implies i < K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Card-lt-iff*: $[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Card}(K)] \implies (|i| < K) \iff (i < K)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Card-le-iff*: $[\text{Ord}(i); \text{Card}(K)] \implies (K \leq |i|) \iff (K \leq i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-lepoll-imp-Card-le*:
 $[\text{well-ord}(B, r); A \lesssim B] \implies |A| \leq |B|$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lepoll-cardinal-le*: $[A \lesssim i; \text{Ord}(i)] \implies |A| \leq i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lepoll-Ord-imp-epoll*: $[A \lesssim i; \text{Ord}(i)] \implies |A| \approx A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lesspoll-imp-epoll*: $[A \prec i; \text{Ord}(i)] \implies |A| \approx A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cardinal-subset-Ord*: $[|A| \leq i; \text{Ord}(i)] \implies |A| \leq i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

22.3 The finite cardinals

lemma *cons-lepoll-consD*:
 $[\text{cons}(u, A) \lesssim \text{cons}(v, B); u \sim A; v \sim B] \implies A \lesssim B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cons-epoll-consD*: $[\text{cons}(u, A) \approx \text{cons}(v, B); u \sim A; v \sim B] \implies A \approx B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *succ-lepoll-succD*: $\text{succ}(m) \lesssim \text{succ}(n) \implies m \lesssim n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-lepoll-imp-le* [*rule-format*]:
 $m:\text{nat} \implies \text{ALL } n:\text{nat}. m \lesssim n \dashv\vdash m \text{ le } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-epoll-iff*: $[\mid m:\text{nat}; n:\text{nat} \mid] \implies m \approx n \dashv\vdash m = n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-into-Card*:
 $n:\text{nat} \implies \text{Card}(n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *cardinal-0* = *nat-0I* [*THEN nat-into-Card, THEN Card-cardinal-eq, iff*]
lemmas *cardinal-1* = *nat-1I* [*THEN nat-into-Card, THEN Card-cardinal-eq, iff*]

lemma *succ-lepoll-natE*: $[\mid \text{succ}(n) \lesssim n; n:\text{nat} \mid] \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *n-lesspoll-nat*: $n \in \text{nat} \implies n \prec \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-lepoll-imp-ex-epoll-n*:
 $[\mid n \in \text{nat}; \text{nat} \lesssim X \mid] \implies \exists Y. Y \subseteq X \ \& \ n \approx Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lepoll-imp-lesspoll-succ*:
 $[\mid A \lesssim m; m:\text{nat} \mid] \implies A \prec \text{succ}(m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lesspoll-succ-imp-lepoll*:
 $[\mid A \prec \text{succ}(m); m:\text{nat} \mid] \implies A \lesssim m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lesspoll-succ-iff*: $m:\text{nat} \implies A \prec \text{succ}(m) \dashv\vdash A \lesssim m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lepoll-succ-disj*: $[\mid A \lesssim \text{succ}(m); m:\text{nat} \mid] \implies A \lesssim m \mid A \approx \text{succ}(m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lesspoll-cardinal-lt*: $[\mid A \prec i; \text{Ord}(i) \mid] \implies |A| < i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

22.4 The first infinite cardinal: Omega, or nat

lemma *lt-not-lepoll*: $[| n < i; \ n : \text{nat} \ |] \implies \sim i \lesssim n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-nat-epoll-iff*: $[| \text{Ord}(i); \ n : \text{nat} \ |] \implies i \approx n \iff i = n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Card-nat*: $\text{Card}(\text{nat})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-le-cardinal*: $\text{nat} \leq i \implies \text{nat} \leq |i|$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

22.5 Towards Cardinal Arithmetic

lemma *cons-lepoll-cong*:
 $[| A \lesssim B; \ b \sim B \ |] \implies \text{cons}(a, A) \lesssim \text{cons}(b, B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cons-epoll-cong*:
 $[| A \approx B; \ a \sim A; \ b \sim B \ |] \implies \text{cons}(a, A) \approx \text{cons}(b, B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cons-lepoll-cons-iff*:
 $[| a \sim A; \ b \sim B \ |] \implies \text{cons}(a, A) \lesssim \text{cons}(b, B) \iff A \lesssim B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cons-epoll-cons-iff*:
 $[| a \sim A; \ b \sim B \ |] \implies \text{cons}(a, A) \approx \text{cons}(b, B) \iff A \approx B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *singleton-epoll-1*: $\{a\} \approx 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cardinal-singleton*: $|\{a\}| = 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-0-is-lepoll-1*: $A \sim 0 \implies 1 \lesssim A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *succ-epoll-cong*: $A \approx B \implies \text{succ}(A) \approx \text{succ}(B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sum-epoll-cong*: $[| A \approx C; \ B \approx D \ |] \implies A + B \approx C + D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod-epoll-cong*:

$\llbracket A \approx C; B \approx D \rrbracket \implies A*B \approx C*D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inj-disjoint-epoll*:

$\llbracket f: \text{inj}(A,B); A \text{ Int } B = 0 \rrbracket \implies A \text{ Un } (B - \text{range}(f)) \approx B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

22.6 Lemmas by Krzysztof Grabczewski

lemma *Diff-sing-lepoll*:

$\llbracket a:A; A \lesssim \text{succ}(n) \rrbracket \implies A - \{a\} \lesssim n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lepoll-Diff-sing*:

$\llbracket \text{succ}(n) \lesssim A \rrbracket \implies n \lesssim A - \{a\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Diff-sing-epoll*: $\llbracket a:A; A \approx \text{succ}(n) \rrbracket \implies A - \{a\} \approx n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lepoll-1-is-sing*: $\llbracket A \lesssim 1; a:A \rrbracket \implies A = \{a\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Un-lepoll-sum*: $A \text{ Un } B \lesssim A+B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-Un*:

$\llbracket \text{well-ord}(X,R); \text{well-ord}(Y,S) \rrbracket \implies \exists X.T. \text{well-ord}(X \text{ Un } Y, T)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *disj-Un-epoll-sum*: $A \text{ Int } B = 0 \implies A \text{ Un } B \approx A + B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

22.7 Finite and infinite sets

lemma *Finite-0* [simp]: $\text{Finite}(0)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lepoll-nat-imp-Finite*: $\llbracket A \lesssim n; n:\text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{Finite}(A)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lesspoll-nat-is-Finite*:

$A \prec \text{nat} \implies \text{Finite}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lepoll-Finite*:

$\llbracket Y \lesssim X; \text{Finite}(X) \rrbracket \implies \text{Finite}(Y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *subset-Finite* = *subset-imp-lepoll* [*THEN lepoll-Finite, standard*]

lemma *Finite-Int*: $\text{Finite}(A) \mid \text{Finite}(B) \implies \text{Finite}(A \text{ Int } B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *Finite-Diff* = *Diff-subset* [*THEN subset-Finite, standard*]

lemma *Finite-cons*: $\text{Finite}(x) \implies \text{Finite}(\text{cons}(y, x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Finite-succ*: $\text{Finite}(x) \implies \text{Finite}(\text{succ}(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Finite-cons-iff* [*iff*]: $\text{Finite}(\text{cons}(y, x)) <-> \text{Finite}(x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Finite-succ-iff* [*iff*]: $\text{Finite}(\text{succ}(x)) <-> \text{Finite}(x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-le-infinite-Ord*:
 $\llbracket \text{Ord}(i); \sim \text{Finite}(i) \rrbracket \implies \text{nat le } i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Finite-imp-well-ord*:
 $\text{Finite}(A) \implies \text{EX } r. \text{ well-ord}(A, r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *succ-lepoll-imp-not-empty*: $\text{succ}(x) \lesssim y \implies y \neq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eqpoll-succ-imp-not-empty*: $x \approx \text{succ}(n) \implies x \neq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Finite-Fin-lemma* [*rule-format*]:
 $n \in \text{nat} \implies \forall A. (A \approx n \ \& \ A \subseteq X) \dashrightarrow A \in \text{Fin}(X)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Finite-Fin*: $\llbracket \text{Finite}(A); A \subseteq X \rrbracket \implies A \in \text{Fin}(X)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eqpoll-imp-Finite-iff*: $A \approx B \implies \text{Finite}(A) <-> \text{Finite}(B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Fin-lemma* [*rule-format*]: $n: \text{nat} \implies \text{ALL } A. A \approx n \dashrightarrow A : \text{Fin}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Finite-into-Fin*: $Finite(A) ==> A : Fin(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Fin-into-Finite*: $A : Fin(U) ==> Finite(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Finite-Fin-iff*: $Finite(A) <-> A : Fin(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Finite-Un*: $[Finite(A); Finite(B)] ==> Finite(A \text{ Un } B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Finite-Un-iff [simp]*: $Finite(A \text{ Un } B) <-> (Finite(A) \& Finite(B))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The converse must hold too.

lemma *Finite-Union*: $[ALL y:X. Finite(y); Finite(X)] ==> Finite(Union(X))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Finite-induct [case-names 0 cons, induct set: Finite]*:
 $[Finite(A); P(0);$
 $!! x B. [Finite(B); x \sim: B; P(B)] ==> P(cons(x, B))]$
 $==> P(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Diff-sing-Finite*: $Finite(A - \{a\}) ==> Finite(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Diff-Finite [rule-format]*: $Finite(B) ==> Finite(A-B) --> Finite(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Finite-RepFun*: $Finite(A) ==> Finite(RepFun(A,f))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Finite-RepFun-iff-lemma [rule-format]*:
 $[Finite(x); !!x y. f(x)=f(y) ==> x=y]$
 $==> \forall A. x = RepFun(A,f) --> Finite(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

I don't know why, but if the premise is expressed using meta-connectives then the simplifier cannot prove it automatically in conditional rewriting.

lemma *Finite-RepFun-iff*:
 $(\forall x y. f(x)=f(y) --> x=y) ==> Finite(RepFun(A,f)) <-> Finite(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Finite-Pow*: $Finite(A) ==> Finite(Pow(A))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Finite-Pow-imp-Finite*: $Finite(Pow(A)) \implies Finite(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Finite-Pow-iff* [iff]: $Finite(Pow(A)) \iff Finite(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-wf-on-converse-Memrel*: $n:nat \implies wf[n](converse(Memrel(n)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-well-ord-converse-Memrel*: $n:nat \implies well_ord(n, converse(Memrel(n)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-converse*:
 [[$well_ord(A, r)$;
 $well_ord(ordertype(A, r), converse(Memrel(ordertype(A, r))))$]] $\implies well_ord(A, converse(r))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ordertype-eq-n*:
 [[$well_ord(A, r)$; $A \approx n$; $n:nat$]] $\implies ordertype(A, r) = n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Finite-well-ord-converse*:
 [[$Finite(A)$; $well_ord(A, r)$]] $\implies well_ord(A, converse(r))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-into-Finite*: $n:nat \implies Finite(n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-not-Finite*: $\sim Finite(nat)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

end

23 The Cumulative Hierarchy and a Small Universe for Recursive Types

theory *Univ* **imports** *Epsilon Cardinal* **begin**

definition

$Vfrom :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $Vfrom(A, i) == transrec(i, \%x f. A \ Un \ (\bigcup y \in x. Pow(f'y)))$

abbreviation

$Vset :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $Vset(x) == Vfrom(0, x)$

definition

$Vrec :: [i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $Vrec(a, H) == transrec(rank(a), \%x g. lam z: Vset(succ(x)).$
 $H(z, lam w: Vset(x). g'rank(w)'w)) \ 'a$

definition

$Vrecursor :: [[i, i] \Rightarrow i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $Vrecursor(H, a) == transrec(rank(a), \%x g. lam z: Vset(succ(x)).$
 $H(lam w: Vset(x). g'rank(w)'w, z)) \ 'a$

definition

$univ :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $univ(A) == Vfrom(A, nat)$

23.1 Immediate Consequences of the Definition of $Vfrom(A, i)$

NOT SUITABLE FOR REWRITING – RECURSIVE!

lemma $Vfrom$: $Vfrom(A, i) = A \ Un \ (\bigcup j \in i. Pow(Vfrom(A, j)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

23.1.1 Monotonicity

lemma $Vfrom$ -mono [rule-format]:
 $A \leq B \Rightarrow \forall j. i \leq j \rightarrow Vfrom(A, i) \leq Vfrom(B, j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $VfromI$: $[| a \in Vfrom(A, j); j < i |] \Rightarrow a \in Vfrom(A, i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

23.1.2 A fundamental equality: $Vfrom$ does not require ordinals!

lemma $Vfrom$ -rank-subset1: $Vfrom(A, x) \leq Vfrom(A, rank(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Vfrom$ -rank-subset2: $Vfrom(A, rank(x)) \leq Vfrom(A, x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Vfrom$ -rank-eq: $Vfrom(A, rank(x)) = Vfrom(A, x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

23.2 Basic Closure Properties

lemma *zero-in-Vfrom*: $y:x \implies 0 \in Vfrom(A,x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *i-subset-Vfrom*: $i \leq Vfrom(A,i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *A-subset-Vfrom*: $A \leq Vfrom(A,i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *A-into-Vfrom* = *A-subset-Vfrom* [THEN subsetD]

lemma *subset-mem-Vfrom*: $a \leq Vfrom(A,i) \implies a \in Vfrom(A,succ(i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

23.2.1 Finite sets and ordered pairs

lemma *singleton-in-Vfrom*: $a \in Vfrom(A,i) \implies \{a\} \in Vfrom(A,succ(i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *doubleton-in-Vfrom*:
 $[\mid a \in Vfrom(A,i); b \in Vfrom(A,i) \mid] \implies \{a,b\} \in Vfrom(A,succ(i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pair-in-Vfrom*:
 $[\mid a \in Vfrom(A,i); b \in Vfrom(A,i) \mid] \implies \langle a,b \rangle \in Vfrom(A,succ(succ(i)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succ-in-Vfrom*: $a \leq Vfrom(A,i) \implies succ(a) \in Vfrom(A,succ(succ(i)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

23.3 0, Successor and Limit Equations for Vfrom

lemma *Vfrom-0*: $Vfrom(A,0) = A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Vfrom-succ-lemma*: $Ord(i) \implies Vfrom(A,succ(i)) = A \cup Pow(Vfrom(A,i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Vfrom-succ*: $Vfrom(A,succ(i)) = A \cup Pow(Vfrom(A,i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Vfrom-Union*: $y:X \implies Vfrom(A,Union(X)) = (\bigcup_{y \in X} Vfrom(A,y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

23.4 Vfrom applied to Limit Ordinals

lemma *Limit-Vfrom-eq*:

$Limit(i) ==> Vfrom(A,i) = (\bigcup y \in i. Vfrom(A,y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Limit-VfromE*:

$[[a \in Vfrom(A,i); \sim R ==> Limit(i);$
 $!!x. [[x < i; a \in Vfrom(A,x)]] ==> R$
 $]] ==> R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *singleton-in-VLimit*:

$[[a \in Vfrom(A,i); Limit(i)]] ==> \{a\} \in Vfrom(A,i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *Vfrom-UnI1* =

$Un-upper1 [THEN subset-refl [THEN Vfrom-mono, THEN subsetD], standard]$

lemmas *Vfrom-UnI2* =

$Un-upper2 [THEN subset-refl [THEN Vfrom-mono, THEN subsetD], standard]$

Hard work is finding a single $j:i$ such that $a,bj=Vfrom(A,j)$

lemma *doubleton-in-VLimit*:

$[[a \in Vfrom(A,i); b \in Vfrom(A,i); Limit(i)]] ==> \{a,b\} \in Vfrom(A,i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pair-in-VLimit*:

$[[a \in Vfrom(A,i); b \in Vfrom(A,i); Limit(i)]] ==> \langle a,b \rangle \in Vfrom(A,i) \langle proof \rangle$

lemma *product-VLimit*: $Limit(i) ==> Vfrom(A,i) * Vfrom(A,i) \leq Vfrom(A,i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *Sigma-subset-VLimit* =

$subset-trans [OF Sigma-mono product-VLimit]$

lemmas *nat-subset-VLimit* =

$subset-trans [OF nat-le-Limit [THEN le-imp-subset] i-subset-Vfrom]$

lemma *nat-into-VLimit*: $[[n: nat; Limit(i)]] ==> n \in Vfrom(A,i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

23.4.1 Closure under Disjoint Union

lemmas *zero-in-VLimit* = $Limit-has-0 [THEN ltD, THEN zero-in-Vfrom, standard]$

lemma *one-in-VLimit*: $Limit(i) ==> 1 \in Vfrom(A,i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Inl-in-VLimit*:

$[[a \in Vfrom(A,i); Limit(i)]] ==> Inl(a) \in Vfrom(A,i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Inr-in-VLimit*:

$\llbracket b \in Vfrom(A,i); Limit(i) \rrbracket \implies Inr(b) \in Vfrom(A,i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-VLimit*: $Limit(i) \implies Vfrom(C,i) + Vfrom(C,i) \leq Vfrom(C,i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *sum-subset-VLimit* = *subset-trans* [OF *sum-mono sum-VLimit*]

23.5 Properties assuming *Transset*(A)

lemma *Transset-Vfrom*: $Transset(A) \implies Transset(Vfrom(A,i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Transset-Vfrom-succ*:

$Transset(A) \implies Vfrom(A, succ(i)) = Pow(Vfrom(A,i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Transset-Pair-subset*: $\llbracket \langle a,b \rangle \leq C; Transset(C) \rrbracket \implies a: C \ \& \ b: C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Transset-Pair-subset-VLimit*:

$\llbracket \langle a,b \rangle \leq Vfrom(A,i); Transset(A); Limit(i) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \langle a,b \rangle \in Vfrom(A,i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Union-in-Vfrom*:

$\llbracket X \in Vfrom(A,j); Transset(A) \rrbracket \implies Union(X) \in Vfrom(A, succ(j))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Union-in-VLimit*:

$\llbracket X \in Vfrom(A,i); Limit(i); Transset(A) \rrbracket \implies Union(X) \in Vfrom(A,i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

General theorem for membership in $Vfrom(A,i)$ when i is a limit ordinal

lemma *in-VLimit*:

$\llbracket a \in Vfrom(A,i); b \in Vfrom(A,i); Limit(i);$
 $!!x \ y \ j. \llbracket j < i; 1:j; x \in Vfrom(A,j); y \in Vfrom(A,j) \rrbracket$
 $\implies EX \ k. h(x,y) \in Vfrom(A,k) \ \& \ k < i \rrbracket$
 $\implies h(a,b) \in Vfrom(A,i) \langle proof \rangle$

23.5.1 Products

lemma *prod-in-Vfrom*:

$\llbracket a \in Vfrom(A,j); b \in Vfrom(A,j); Transset(A) \rrbracket$
 $\implies a*b \in Vfrom(A, succ(succ(succ(j))))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prod-in-VLimit*:

$[[a \in Vfrom(A,i); b \in Vfrom(A,i); Limit(i); Transset(A)]]$
 $\implies a*b \in Vfrom(A,i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

23.5.2 Disjoint Sums, or Quine Ordered Pairs

lemma *sum-in-Vfrom*:

$[[a \in Vfrom(A,j); b \in Vfrom(A,j); Transset(A); 1:j]]$
 $\implies a+b \in Vfrom(A, succ(succ(succ(j))))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-in-VLimit*:

$[[a \in Vfrom(A,i); b \in Vfrom(A,i); Limit(i); Transset(A)]]$
 $\implies a+b \in Vfrom(A,i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

23.5.3 Function Space!

lemma *fun-in-Vfrom*:

$[[a \in Vfrom(A,j); b \in Vfrom(A,j); Transset(A)]] \implies$
 $a \rightarrow b \in Vfrom(A, succ(succ(succ(succ(j)))))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fun-in-VLimit*:

$[[a \in Vfrom(A,i); b \in Vfrom(A,i); Limit(i); Transset(A)]]$
 $\implies a \rightarrow b \in Vfrom(A,i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pow-in-Vfrom*:

$[[a \in Vfrom(A,j); Transset(A)]] \implies Pow(a) \in Vfrom(A, succ(succ(j)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pow-in-VLimit*:

$[[a \in Vfrom(A,i); Limit(i); Transset(A)]] \implies Pow(a) \in Vfrom(A,i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

23.6 The Set $Vset(i)$

lemma *Vset*: $Vset(i) = (\bigcup j \in i. Pow(Vset(j)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *Vset-succ* = *Transset-0* [THEN *Transset-Vfrom-succ*, standard]

lemmas *Transset-Vset* = *Transset-0* [THEN *Transset-Vfrom*, standard]

23.6.1 Characterisation of the elements of $Vset(i)$

lemma *VsetD* [rule-format]: $Ord(i) \implies \forall b. b \in Vset(i) \dashv\vdash rank(b) < i$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *VsetI-lemma* [rule-format]:
 $Ord(i) ==> \forall b. rank(b) \in i \longrightarrow b \in Vset(i)$
 <proof>

lemma *VsetI*: $rank(x) < i ==> x \in Vset(i)$
 <proof>

Merely a lemma for the next result

lemma *Vset-Ord-rank-iff*: $Ord(i) ==> b \in Vset(i) <-> rank(b) < i$
 <proof>

lemma *Vset-rank-iff* [simp]: $b \in Vset(a) <-> rank(b) < rank(a)$
 <proof>

This is $rank(rank(a)) = rank(a)$

declare *Ord-rank* [THEN rank-of-Ord, simp]

lemma *rank-Vset*: $Ord(i) ==> rank(Vset(i)) = i$
 <proof>

lemma *Finite-Vset*: $i \in nat ==> Finite(Vset(i))$
 <proof>

23.6.2 Reasoning about Sets in Terms of Their Elements' Ranks

lemma *arg-subset-Vset-rank*: $a \leq Vset(rank(a))$
 <proof>

lemma *Int-Vset-subset*:
 $[| !!i. Ord(i) ==> a \text{ Int } Vset(i) \leq b |] ==> a \leq b$
 <proof>

23.6.3 Set Up an Environment for Simplification

lemma *rank-Inl*: $rank(a) < rank(Inl(a))$
 <proof>

lemma *rank-Inr*: $rank(a) < rank(Inr(a))$
 <proof>

lemmas *rank-rls* = rank-Inl rank-Inr rank-pair1 rank-pair2

23.6.4 Recursion over Vset Levels!

NOT SUITABLE FOR REWRITING: recursive!

lemma *Vrec*: $Vrec(a, H) = H(a, \text{lam } x: Vset(rank(a)). Vrec(x, H))$
 <proof>

This form avoids giant explosions in proofs. NOTE USE OF ==

lemma *def-Vrec*:

$$[\text{!}x. h(x) == Vrec(x, H)] ==>$$

$$h(a) = H(a, \text{lam } x: Vset(rank(a)). h(x))$$

$$\langle proof \rangle$$

NOT SUITABLE FOR REWRITING: recursive!

lemma *Vrecursor*:

$$Vrecursor(H, a) = H(\text{lam } x: Vset(rank(a)). Vrecursor(H, x), a)$$

$$\langle proof \rangle$$

This form avoids giant explosions in proofs. NOTE USE OF ==

lemma *def-Vrecursor*:

$$h == Vrecursor(H) ==> h(a) = H(\text{lam } x: Vset(rank(a)). h(x), a)$$

$$\langle proof \rangle$$

23.7 The Datatype Universe: $univ(A)$

lemma *univ-mono*: $A \leq B ==> univ(A) \leq univ(B)$

$$\langle proof \rangle$$

lemma *Transset-univ*: $Transset(A) ==> Transset(univ(A))$

$$\langle proof \rangle$$

23.7.1 The Set $univ(A)$ as a Limit

lemma *univ-eq-UN*: $univ(A) = (\bigcup i \in nat. Vfrom(A, i))$

$$\langle proof \rangle$$

lemma *subset-univ-eq-Int*: $c \leq univ(A) ==> c = (\bigcup i \in nat. c \text{ Int } Vfrom(A, i))$

$$\langle proof \rangle$$

lemma *univ-Int-Vfrom-subset*:

$$[a \leq univ(X);$$

$$\text{!}i. i : nat ==> a \text{ Int } Vfrom(X, i) \leq b]$$

$$==> a \leq b$$

$$\langle proof \rangle$$

lemma *univ-Int-Vfrom-eq*:

$$[a \leq univ(X); b \leq univ(X);$$

$$\text{!}i. i : nat ==> a \text{ Int } Vfrom(X, i) = b \text{ Int } Vfrom(X, i)$$

$$] ==> a = b$$

$$\langle proof \rangle$$

23.8 Closure Properties for $univ(A)$

lemma *zero-in-univ*: $0 \in univ(A)$

$$\langle proof \rangle$$

lemma *zero-subset-univ*: $\{0\} \leq univ(A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *A-subset-univ*: $A \leq \text{univ}(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *A-into-univ* = *A-subset-univ* [*THEN subsetD, standard*]

23.8.1 Closure under Unordered and Ordered Pairs

lemma *singleton-in-univ*: $a: \text{univ}(A) \implies \{a\} \in \text{univ}(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *doubleton-in-univ*:
 $[\mid a: \text{univ}(A); b: \text{univ}(A) \mid] \implies \{a, b\} \in \text{univ}(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pair-in-univ*:
 $[\mid a: \text{univ}(A); b: \text{univ}(A) \mid] \implies \langle a, b \rangle \in \text{univ}(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Union-in-univ*:
 $[\mid X: \text{univ}(A); \text{Transset}(A) \mid] \implies \text{Union}(X) \in \text{univ}(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *product-univ*: $\text{univ}(A) * \text{univ}(A) \leq \text{univ}(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

23.8.2 The Natural Numbers

lemma *nat-subset-univ*: $\text{nat} \leq \text{univ}(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\text{n:nat} \implies \text{n:univ}(A)$

lemmas *nat-into-univ* = *nat-subset-univ* [*THEN subsetD, standard*]

23.8.3 Instances for 1 and 2

lemma *one-in-univ*: $1 \in \text{univ}(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

unused!

lemma *two-in-univ*: $2 \in \text{univ}(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bool-subset-univ*: $\text{bool} \leq \text{univ}(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *bool-into-univ* = *bool-subset-univ* [*THEN subsetD, standard*]

23.8.4 Closure under Disjoint Union

lemma *Inl-in-univ*: $a: \text{univ}(A) \implies \text{Inl}(a) \in \text{univ}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Inr-in-univ*: $b: \text{univ}(A) \implies \text{Inr}(b) \in \text{univ}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sum-univ*: $\text{univ}(C) + \text{univ}(C) \leq \text{univ}(C)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *sum-subset-univ* = *subset-trans* [OF *sum-mono* *sum-univ*]

lemma *Sigma-subset-univ*:
 $[| A \subseteq \text{univ}(D); \bigwedge x. x \in A \implies B(x) \subseteq \text{univ}(D) |] \implies \text{Sigma}(A, B) \subseteq \text{univ}(D)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

23.9 Finite Branching Closure Properties

23.9.1 Closure under Finite Powerset

lemma *Fin-Vfrom-lemma*:
 $[| b: \text{Fin}(\text{Vfrom}(A, i)); \text{Limit}(i) |] \implies \exists j. b \leq \text{Vfrom}(A, j) \ \& \ j < i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Fin-VLimit*: $\text{Limit}(i) \implies \text{Fin}(\text{Vfrom}(A, i)) \leq \text{Vfrom}(A, i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *Fin-subset-VLimit* = *subset-trans* [OF *Fin-mono* *Fin-VLimit*]

lemma *Fin-univ*: $\text{Fin}(\text{univ}(A)) \leq \text{univ}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

23.9.2 Closure under Finite Powers: Functions from a Natural Number

lemma *nat-fun-VLimit*:
 $[| n: \text{nat}; \text{Limit}(i) |] \implies n \rightarrow \text{Vfrom}(A, i) \leq \text{Vfrom}(A, i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *nat-fun-subset-VLimit* = *subset-trans* [OF *Pi-mono* *nat-fun-VLimit*]

lemma *nat-fun-univ*: $n: \text{nat} \implies n \rightarrow \text{univ}(A) \leq \text{univ}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

23.9.3 Closure under Finite Function Space

General but seldom-used version; normally the domain is fixed

lemma *FiniteFun-VLimit1*:
 $\text{Limit}(i) \implies \text{Vfrom}(A, i) \multimap \text{Vfrom}(A, i) \leq \text{Vfrom}(A, i)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *FiniteFun-univ1*: $univ(A) -||> univ(A) <= univ(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Version for a fixed domain

lemma *FiniteFun-VLimit*:
 $[| W <= Vfrom(A,i); Limit(i) |] ==> W -||> Vfrom(A,i) <= Vfrom(A,i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *FiniteFun-univ*:
 $W <= univ(A) ==> W -||> univ(A) <= univ(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *FiniteFun-in-univ*:
 $[| f: W -||> univ(A); W <= univ(A) |] ==> f \in univ(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Remove $\mathfrak{j} =$ from the rule above

lemmas *FiniteFun-in-univ' = FiniteFun-in-univ [OF - subsetI]*

23.10 * For QUniv. Properties of Vfrom analogous to the "take-lemma" *

Intersecting $a*b$ with Vfrom...

This version says a, b exist one level down, in the smaller set $Vfrom(X,i)$

lemma *doubleton-in-Vfrom-D*:
 $[| \{a,b\} \in Vfrom(X,succ(i)); Transset(X) |]$
 $==> a \in Vfrom(X,i) \ \& \ b \in Vfrom(X,i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

This weaker version says a, b exist at the same level

lemmas *Vfrom-doubleton-D = Transset-Vfrom [THEN Transset-doubleton-D, standard]*

lemma *Pair-in-Vfrom-D*:
 $[| <a,b> \in Vfrom(X,succ(i)); Transset(X) |]$
 $==> a \in Vfrom(X,i) \ \& \ b \in Vfrom(X,i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *product-Int-Vfrom-subset*:
 $Transset(X) ==>$
 $(a*b) \text{ Int } Vfrom(X, succ(i)) <= (a \text{ Int } Vfrom(X,i)) * (b \text{ Int } Vfrom(X,i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

end

24 A Small Universe for Lazy Recursive Types

theory *QUniv* imports *Univ QPair* begin

rep-datatype
 elimination *sumE*
 induction *TrueI*
 case-eqns *case-Inl case-Inr*

rep-datatype
 elimination *qsumE*
 induction *TrueI*
 case-eqns *qcase-QInl qcase-QInr*

definition
 $quniv :: i \Rightarrow i$ where
 $quniv(A) == Pow(univ(eclose(A)))$

24.1 Properties involving Transset and Sum

lemma *Transset-includes-summands*:
 $[| Transset(C); A+B \leq C |] \Rightarrow A \leq C \ \& \ B \leq C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Transset-sum-Int-subset*:
 $Transset(C) \Rightarrow (A+B) \text{ Int } C \leq (A \text{ Int } C) + (B \text{ Int } C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

24.2 Introduction and Elimination Rules

lemma *qunivI*: $X \leq univ(eclose(A)) \Rightarrow X : quniv(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qunivD*: $X : quniv(A) \Rightarrow X \leq univ(eclose(A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *quniv-mono*: $A \leq B \Rightarrow quniv(A) \leq quniv(B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

24.3 Closure Properties

lemma *univ-eclose-subset-quniv*: $\text{univ}(\text{eclose}(A)) \leq \text{quniv}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *univ-subset-quniv*: $\text{univ}(A) \leq \text{quniv}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *univ-into-quniv* = *univ-subset-quniv* [THEN subsetD, standard]

lemma *Pow-univ-subset-quniv*: $\text{Pow}(\text{univ}(A)) \leq \text{quniv}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *univ-subset-into-quniv* =
PowI [THEN *Pow-univ-subset-quniv* [THEN subsetD], standard]

lemmas *zero-in-quniv* = *zero-in-univ* [THEN *univ-into-quniv*, standard]

lemmas *one-in-quniv* = *one-in-univ* [THEN *univ-into-quniv*, standard]

lemmas *two-in-quniv* = *two-in-univ* [THEN *univ-into-quniv*, standard]

lemmas *A-subset-quniv* = *subset-trans* [OF *A-subset-univ* *univ-subset-quniv*]

lemmas *A-into-quniv* = *A-subset-quniv* [THEN subsetD, standard]

lemma *QPair-subset-univ*:
 $[[a \leq \text{univ}(A); b \leq \text{univ}(A)] \implies \langle a; b \rangle \leq \text{univ}(A)]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

24.4 Quine Disjoint Sum

lemma *QInl-subset-univ*: $a \leq \text{univ}(A) \implies \text{QInl}(a) \leq \text{univ}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *naturals-subset-nat* =
Ord-nat [THEN *Ord-is-Transset*, unfolded *Transset-def*, THEN *bspec*, standard]

lemmas *naturals-subset-univ* =
subset-trans [OF *naturals-subset-nat* *nat-subset-univ*]

lemma *QInr-subset-univ*: $a \leq \text{univ}(A) \implies \text{QInr}(a) \leq \text{univ}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

24.5 Closure for Quine-Inspired Products and Sums

lemma *QPair-in-quniv*:
 $[[a: \text{quniv}(A); b: \text{quniv}(A)] \implies \langle a; b \rangle : \text{quniv}(A)]$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *QSigma-quniv*: $quniv(A) <*> quniv(A) \leq quniv(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *QSigma-subset-quniv* = *subset-trans* [*OF* *QSigma-mono* *QSigma-quniv*]

lemma *quniv-QPair-D*:
 $<a;b> : quniv(A) ==> a : quniv(A) \ \& \ b : quniv(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *quniv-QPair-E* = *quniv-QPair-D* [*THEN* *conjE*, *standard*]

lemma *quniv-QPair-iff*: $<a;b> : quniv(A) <-> a : quniv(A) \ \& \ b : quniv(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

24.6 Quine Disjoint Sum

lemma *QInl-in-quniv*: $a : quniv(A) ==> QInl(a) : quniv(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *QInr-in-quniv*: $b : quniv(A) ==> QInr(b) : quniv(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *qsum-quniv*: $quniv(C) <+> quniv(C) \leq quniv(C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *qsum-subset-quniv* = *subset-trans* [*OF* *qsum-mono* *qsum-quniv*]

24.7 The Natural Numbers

lemmas *nat-subset-quniv* = *subset-trans* [*OF* *nat-subset-univ* *univ-subset-quniv*]

lemmas *nat-into-quniv* = *nat-subset-quniv* [*THEN* *subsetD*, *standard*]

lemmas *bool-subset-quniv* = *subset-trans* [*OF* *bool-subset-univ* *univ-subset-quniv*]

lemmas *bool-into-quniv* = *bool-subset-quniv* [*THEN* *subsetD*, *standard*]

lemma *QPair-Int-Vfrom-succ-subset*:
 $Transset(X) ==>$
 $<a;b> Int \ Vfrom(X, succ(i)) \leq <a \ Int \ Vfrom(X, i); \ b \ Int \ Vfrom(X, i)>$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

24.8 "Take-Lemma" Rules

lemma *QPair-Int-Vfrom-subset*:

Transset(*X*) ==>
 $\langle a; b \rangle \text{ Int } V\text{from}(X, i) \leq \langle a \text{ Int } V\text{from}(X, i); b \text{ Int } V\text{from}(X, i) \rangle$
 <proof>

lemmas *QPair-Int-Vset-subset-trans* =

subset-trans [OF *Transset-0* [THEN *QPair-Int-Vfrom-subset*] *QPair-mono*]

lemma *QPair-Int-Vset-subset-UN*:

Ord(*i*) ==> $\langle a; b \rangle \text{ Int } V\text{set}(i) \leq (\bigcup_{j \in i}. \langle a \text{ Int } V\text{set}(j); b \text{ Int } V\text{set}(j) \rangle)$
 <proof>

end

25 Datatype and CoDatatype Definitions

theory *Datatype*

imports *Inductive Univ QUniv*

uses *Tools/datatype-package.ML*

begin

<ML>

end

26 Arithmetic Operators and Their Definitions

theory *Arith* **imports** *Univ* **begin**

Proofs about elementary arithmetic: addition, multiplication, etc.

definition

pred :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
pred(*y*) == *nat-case*(0, %*x*. *x*, *y*)

definition

natify :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
natify == *Vrecursor*(%*f* *a*. if *a* = *succ*(*pred*(*a*)) then *succ*(*f***pred*(*a*))
 else 0)

consts

raw-add :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$
raw-diff :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$
raw-mult :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$

primrec

$raw-add\ 0, n = n$
 $raw-add\ (succ(m), n) = succ(raw-add(m, n))$

primrec

$raw-diff-0: \quad raw-diff(m, 0) = m$
 $raw-diff-succ: \quad raw-diff(m, succ(n)) =$
 $\quad nat-case(0, \%x. x, raw-diff(m, n))$

primrec

$raw-mult(0, n) = 0$
 $raw-mult(succ(m), n) = raw-add\ (n, raw-mult(m, n))$

definition

$add :: [i, i] => i \quad (\text{infixl } \# + \ 65) \text{ where}$
 $m \# + n == raw-add\ (natify(m), natify(n))$

definition

$diff :: [i, i] => i \quad (\text{infixl } \# - \ 65) \text{ where}$
 $m \# - n == raw-diff\ (natify(m), natify(n))$

definition

$mult :: [i, i] => i \quad (\text{infixl } \# * \ 70) \text{ where}$
 $m \# * n == raw-mult\ (natify(m), natify(n))$

definition

$raw-div :: [i, i] => i \text{ where}$
 $raw-div\ (m, n) ==$
 $\quad transrec(m, \%j f. \text{ if } j < n \mid n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } succ(f'(j \# - n)))$

definition

$raw-mod :: [i, i] => i \text{ where}$
 $raw-mod\ (m, n) ==$
 $\quad transrec(m, \%j f. \text{ if } j < n \mid n = 0 \text{ then } j \text{ else } f'(j \# - n))$

definition

$div :: [i, i] => i \quad (\text{infixl } div \ 70) \text{ where}$
 $m \div n == raw-div\ (natify(m), natify(n))$

definition

$mod :: [i, i] => i \quad (\text{infixl } mod \ 70) \text{ where}$
 $m \mod n == raw-mod\ (natify(m), natify(n))$

notation (*xsymbols*)

$mult \ (\text{infixr } \# \times \ 70)$

notation (*HTML output*)

$mult \ (\text{infixr } \# \times \ 70)$

declare *rec-type* [simp]
 nat-0-le [simp]

lemma *zero-lt-lemma*: [| $0 < k$; $k \in \text{nat}$ |] ==> $\exists j \in \text{nat}. k = \text{succ}(j)$
 <proof>

lemmas *zero-lt-natE* = *zero-lt-lemma* [THEN *beE*, *standard*]

26.1 *natify*, the Coercion to *nat*

lemma *pred-succ-eq* [simp]: $\text{pred}(\text{succ}(y)) = y$
 <proof>

lemma *natify-succ*: $\text{natify}(\text{succ}(x)) = \text{succ}(\text{natify}(x))$
 <proof>

lemma *natify-0* [simp]: $\text{natify}(0) = 0$
 <proof>

lemma *natify-non-succ*: $\forall z. x \sim = \text{succ}(z) ==> \text{natify}(x) = 0$
 <proof>

lemma *natify-in-nat* [iff, TC]: $\text{natify}(x) \in \text{nat}$
 <proof>

lemma *natify-ident* [simp]: $n \in \text{nat} ==> \text{natify}(n) = n$
 <proof>

lemma *natify-eqE*: [| $\text{natify}(x) = y$; $x \in \text{nat}$ |] ==> $x = y$
 <proof>

lemma *natify-idem* [simp]: $\text{natify}(\text{natify}(x)) = \text{natify}(x)$
 <proof>

lemma *add-natify1* [simp]: $\text{natify}(m) \# + n = m \# + n$
 <proof>

lemma *add-natify2* [simp]: $m \# + \text{natify}(n) = m \# + n$
 <proof>

lemma *mult-natify1* [*simp*]: $\text{natify}(m) \#* n = m \#* n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-natify2* [*simp*]: $m \#* \text{natify}(n) = m \#* n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *diff-natify1* [*simp*]: $\text{natify}(m) \#- n = m \#- n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *diff-natify2* [*simp*]: $m \#- \text{natify}(n) = m \#- n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod-natify1* [*simp*]: $\text{natify}(m) \bmod n = m \bmod n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod-natify2* [*simp*]: $m \bmod \text{natify}(n) = m \bmod n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *div-natify1* [*simp*]: $\text{natify}(m) \text{ div } n = m \text{ div } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *div-natify2* [*simp*]: $m \text{ div } \text{natify}(n) = m \text{ div } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

26.2 Typing rules

lemma *raw-add-type*: $[| m \in \text{nat}; n \in \text{nat} |] \implies \text{raw-add } (m, n) \in \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-type* [*iff*, *TC*]: $m \#+ n \in \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-mult-type*: $[| m \in \text{nat}; n \in \text{nat} |] \implies \text{raw-mult } (m, n) \in \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-type* [*iff*, *TC*]: $m \#* n \in \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-diff-type*: $[m \in \text{nat}; n \in \text{nat}] \implies \text{raw-diff } (m, n) \in \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *diff-type* [*iff*, *TC*]: $m \# - n \in \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *diff-0-eq-0* [*simp*]: $0 \# - n = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *diff-succ-succ* [*simp*]: $\text{succ}(m) \# - \text{succ}(n) = m \# - n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare *raw-diff-succ* [*simp del*]

lemma *diff-0* [*simp*]: $m \# - 0 = \text{nativify}(m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *diff-le-self*: $m \in \text{nat} \implies (m \# - n) \text{ le } m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

26.3 Addition

lemma *add-0-nativify* [*simp*]: $0 \# + m = \text{nativify}(m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-succ* [*simp*]: $\text{succ}(m) \# + n = \text{succ}(m \# + n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-0*: $m \in \text{nat} \implies 0 \# + m = m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-assoc*: $(m \# + n) \# + k = m \# + (n \# + k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-0-right-nativify* [*simp*]: $m \# + 0 = \text{nativify}(m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-succ-right* [*simp*]: $m \# + \text{succ}(n) = \text{succ}(m \# + n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-0-right*: $m \in \text{nat} \implies m \# + 0 = m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-commute*: $m \# + n = n \# + m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add-left-commute*: $m \# + (n \# + k) = n \# + (m \# + k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *add-ac = add-assoc add-commute add-left-commute*

lemma *raw-add-left-cancel*:
 $[\mid \text{raw-add}(k, m) = \text{raw-add}(k, n); k \in \text{nat} \mid] \implies m = n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add-left-cancel-natify*: $k \# + m = k \# + n \implies \text{natify}(m) = \text{natify}(n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add-left-cancel*:
 $[\mid i = j; i \# + m = j \# + n; m \in \text{nat}; n \in \text{nat} \mid] \implies m = n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add-le-elim1-natify*: $k \# + m \text{ le } k \# + n \implies \text{natify}(m) \text{ le } \text{natify}(n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add-le-elim1*: $[\mid k \# + m \text{ le } k \# + n; m \in \text{nat}; n \in \text{nat} \mid] \implies m \text{ le } n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add-lt-elim1-natify*: $k \# + m < k \# + n \implies \text{natify}(m) < \text{natify}(n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add-lt-elim1*: $[\mid k \# + m < k \# + n; m \in \text{nat}; n \in \text{nat} \mid] \implies m < n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zero-less-add*: $[\mid n \in \text{nat}; m \in \text{nat} \mid] \implies 0 < m \# + n \iff (0 < m \mid 0 < n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

26.4 Monotonicity of Addition

lemma *add-lt-mono1*: $[\mid i < j; j \in \text{nat} \mid] \implies i \# + k < j \# + k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

strict, in second argument

lemma *add-lt-mono2*: $[\mid i < j; j \in \text{nat} \mid] \implies k \# + i < k \# + j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

A [clumsy] way of lifting \mathbf{i} monotonicity to \leq monotonicity

lemma *Ord-lt-mono-imp-le-mono*:

assumes *lt-mono*: $\forall i j. [\ i < j; j:k \] \implies f(i) < f(j)$

and ford: $\forall i. i:k \implies \text{Ord}(f(i))$

and leij: $i \leq j$

and jink: $j:k$

shows $f(i) \leq f(j)$

<proof>

\leq monotonicity, 1st argument

lemma *add-le-mono1*: $[\ i \leq j; j \in \text{nat} \] \implies i\# + k \leq j\# + k$

<proof>

\leq monotonicity, both arguments

lemma *add-le-mono*: $[\ i \leq j; k \leq l; j \in \text{nat}; l \in \text{nat} \] \implies i\# + k \leq j\# + l$

<proof>

Combinations of less-than and less-than-or-equals

lemma *add-lt-le-mono*: $[\ i < j; k \leq l; j \in \text{nat}; l \in \text{nat} \] \implies i\# + k < j\# + l$

<proof>

lemma *add-le-lt-mono*: $[\ i \leq j; k < l; j \in \text{nat}; l \in \text{nat} \] \implies i\# + k < j\# + l$

<proof>

Less-than: in other words, strict in both arguments

lemma *add-lt-mono*: $[\ i < j; k < l; j \in \text{nat}; l \in \text{nat} \] \implies i\# + k < j\# + l$

<proof>

lemma *diff-add-inverse*: $(n\# + m) \# - n = \text{nativify}(m)$

<proof>

lemma *diff-add-inverse2*: $(m\# + n) \# - n = \text{nativify}(m)$

<proof>

lemma *diff-cancel*: $(k\# + m) \# - (k\# + n) = m \# - n$

<proof>

lemma *diff-cancel2*: $(m\# + k) \# - (n\# + k) = m \# - n$

<proof>

lemma *diff-add-0*: $n \# - (n\# + m) = 0$

<proof>

lemma *pred-0* [*simp*]: $\text{pred}(0) = 0$

<proof>

lemma *eq-succ-imp-eq-m1*: $[[i = \text{succ}(j); i \in \text{nat}]] \implies j = i \#- 1 \ \& \ j \in \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pred-Un-distrib*:
 $[[i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}]] \implies \text{pred}(i \text{ Un } j) = \text{pred}(i) \text{ Un } \text{pred}(j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pred-type [TC,simp]*:
 $i \in \text{nat} \implies \text{pred}(i) \in \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-diff-pred*: $[[i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}]] \implies i \#- \text{succ}(j) = \text{pred}(i \#- j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *diff-succ-eq-pred*: $i \#- \text{succ}(j) = \text{pred}(i \#- j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-diff-Un-distrib*:
 $[[i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}; k \in \text{nat}]] \implies (i \text{ Un } j) \#- k = (i \#- k) \text{ Un } (j \#- k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *diff-Un-distrib*:
 $[[i \in \text{nat}; j \in \text{nat}]] \implies (i \text{ Un } j) \#- k = (i \#- k) \text{ Un } (j \#- k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

We actually prove $i \#- j \#- k = i \#- (j \#+ k)$

lemma *diff-diff-left [simplified]*:
 $\text{nativify}(i) \#- \text{nativify}(j) \#- k = \text{nativify}(i) \#- (\text{nativify}(j) \#+ k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eq-add-iff*: $(u \#+ m = u \#+ n) \iff (0 \#+ m = \text{nativify}(n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *less-add-iff*: $(u \#+ m < u \#+ n) \iff (0 \#+ m < \text{nativify}(n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *diff-add-eq*: $((u \#+ m) \#- (u \#+ n)) = ((0 \#+ m) \#- n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eq-cong2*: $u = u' \implies (t == u) == (t == u')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iff-cong2*: $u \iff u' \implies (t == u) == (t == u')$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

26.5 Multiplication

lemma *mult-0* [simp]: $0 \#* m = 0$
<proof>

lemma *mult-succ* [simp]: $\text{succ}(m) \#* n = n \#+ (m \#* n)$
<proof>

lemma *mult-0-right* [simp]: $m \#* 0 = 0$
<proof>

lemma *mult-succ-right* [simp]: $m \#* \text{succ}(n) = m \#+ (m \#* n)$
<proof>

lemma *mult-1-natify* [simp]: $1 \#* n = \text{natify}(n)$
<proof>

lemma *mult-1-right-natify* [simp]: $n \#* 1 = \text{natify}(n)$
<proof>

lemma *mult-1*: $n \in \text{nat} \implies 1 \#* n = n$
<proof>

lemma *mult-1-right*: $n \in \text{nat} \implies n \#* 1 = n$
<proof>

lemma *mult-commute*: $m \#* n = n \#* m$
<proof>

lemma *add-mult-distrib*: $(m \#+ n) \#* k = (m \#* k) \#+ (n \#* k)$
<proof>

lemma *add-mult-distrib-left*: $k \#* (m \#+ n) = (k \#* m) \#+ (k \#* n)$
<proof>

lemma *mult-assoc*: $(m \#* n) \#* k = m \#* (n \#* k)$
<proof>

lemma *mult-left-commute*: $m \#* (n \#* k) = n \#* (m \#* k)$
<proof>

lemmas *mult-ac = mult-assoc mult-commute mult-left-commute*

```

lemma lt-succ-eq-0-disj:
  [|  $m \in \text{nat}; n \in \text{nat}$  |]
  ==>  $(m < \text{succ}(n)) \leftrightarrow (m = 0 \mid (\exists j \in \text{nat}. m = \text{succ}(j) \ \& \ j < n))$ 
<proof>

lemma less-diff-conv [rule-format]:
  [|  $j \in \text{nat}; k \in \text{nat}$  |] ==>  $\forall i \in \text{nat}. (i < j \ \#- \ k) \leftrightarrow (i \ \#+ \ k < j)$ 
<proof>

lemmas nat-typechecks = rec-type nat-0I nat-1I nat-succI Ord-nat

end

```

27 Arithmetic with simplification

```

theory ArithSimp
imports Arith
uses  $\sim$ /src/Provers/Arith/cancel-numerals.ML
       $\sim$ /src/Provers/Arith/combine-numerals.ML
      arith-data.ML
begin

```

27.1 Difference

```

lemma diff-self-eq-0 [simp]:  $m \ \#- \ m = 0$ 
<proof>

lemma add-diff-inverse: [|  $n \leq m; m : \text{nat}$  |] ==>  $n \ \#+ \ (m \ \#- \ n) = m$ 
<proof>

lemma add-diff-inverse2: [|  $n \leq m; m : \text{nat}$  |] ==>  $(m \ \#- \ n) \ \#+ \ n = m$ 
<proof>

lemma diff-succ: [|  $n \leq m; m : \text{nat}$  |] ==>  $\text{succ}(m) \ \#- \ n = \text{succ}(m \ \#- \ n)$ 
<proof>

lemma zero-less-diff [simp]:
  [|  $m : \text{nat}; n : \text{nat}$  |] ==>  $0 < (n \ \#- \ m) \leftrightarrow m < n$ 
<proof>

```

lemma *diff-mult-distrib*: $(m \# - n) \# * k = (m \# * k) \# - (n \# * k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *diff-mult-distrib2*: $k \# * (m \# - n) = (k \# * m) \# - (k \# * n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

27.2 Remainder

lemma *div-termination*: $[| 0 < n; n \leq m; m : nat |] ==> m \# - n < m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *div-rls* =
nat-typechecks *Ord-transrec-type* *apply-funtype*
div-termination $[THEN\ ltD]$
nat-into-Ord *not-lt-iff-le* $[THEN\ iffD1]$

lemma *raw-mod-type*: $[| m : nat; n : nat |] ==> raw-mod\ (m, n) : nat$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mod-type* $[TC, iff]$: $m\ mod\ n : nat$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DIVISION-BY-ZERO-DIV*: $a\ div\ 0 = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DIVISION-BY-ZERO-MOD*: $a\ mod\ 0 = natify(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *raw-mod-less*: $m < n ==> raw-mod\ (m, n) = m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mod-less* $[simp]$: $[| m < n; n : nat |] ==> m\ mod\ n = m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *raw-mod-geq*:
 $[| 0 < n; n \leq m; m : nat |] ==> raw-mod\ (m, n) = raw-mod\ (m \# - n, n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mod-geq*: $[| n \leq m; m : nat |] ==> m\ mod\ n = (m \# - n)\ mod\ n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

27.3 Division

lemma *raw-div-type*: $[| m : nat; n : nat |] ==> raw-div\ (m, n) : nat$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *div-type* [TC,iff]: $m \text{ div } n : \text{nat}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-div-less*: $m < n \implies \text{raw-div } (m, n) = 0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *div-less* [simp]: $[| m < n; n : \text{nat} |] \implies m \text{ div } n = 0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-div-geq*: $[| 0 < n; n \leq m; m : \text{nat} |] \implies \text{raw-div}(m, n) = \text{succ}(\text{raw-div}(m \# -n, n))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *div-geq* [simp]:

$[| 0 < n; n \leq m; m : \text{nat} |] \implies m \text{ div } n = \text{succ}((m \# -n) \text{ div } n)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare *div-less* [simp] *div-geq* [simp]

lemma *mod-div-lemma*: $[| m : \text{nat}; n : \text{nat} |] \implies (m \text{ div } n) \# * n \# + m \text{ mod } n = m$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod-div-equality-natify*: $(m \text{ div } n) \# * n \# + m \text{ mod } n = \text{natify}(m)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod-div-equality*: $m : \text{nat} \implies (m \text{ div } n) \# * n \# + m \text{ mod } n = m$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

27.4 Further Facts about Remainder

(mainly for mutilated chess board)

lemma *mod-succ-lemma*:

$[| 0 < n; m : \text{nat}; n : \text{nat} |]$

$\implies \text{succ}(m) \text{ mod } n = (\text{if } \text{succ}(m \text{ mod } n) = n \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \text{succ}(m \text{ mod } n))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod-succ*:

$n : \text{nat} \implies \text{succ}(m) \text{ mod } n = (\text{if } \text{succ}(m \text{ mod } n) = n \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \text{succ}(m \text{ mod } n))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod-less-divisor*: $[| 0 < n; n : \text{nat} |] \implies m \text{ mod } n < n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod-1-eq* [simp]: $m \text{ mod } 1 = 0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod2-cases*: $b < 2 \implies k \bmod 2 = b \mid k \bmod 2 = (\text{if } b=1 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } 1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod2-succ-succ* [*simp*]: $\text{succ}(\text{succ}(m)) \bmod 2 = m \bmod 2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod2-add-more* [*simp*]: $(m \# + m \# + n) \bmod 2 = n \bmod 2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod2-add-self* [*simp*]: $(m \# + m) \bmod 2 = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

27.5 Additional theorems about \leq

lemma *add-le-self*: $m : \text{nat} \implies m \leq (m \# + n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-le-self2*: $m : \text{nat} \implies m \leq (n \# + m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-le-mono1*: $[i \leq j; j : \text{nat}] \implies (i \# * k) \leq (j \# * k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-le-mono*: $[i \leq j; k \leq l; j : \text{nat}; l : \text{nat}] \implies i \# * k \leq j \# * l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-lt-mono2*: $[i < j; 0 < k; j : \text{nat}; k : \text{nat}] \implies k \# * i < k \# * j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-lt-mono1*: $[i < j; 0 < k; j : \text{nat}; k : \text{nat}] \implies i \# * k < j \# * k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add-eq-0-iff* [*iff*]: $m \# + n = 0 \iff \text{natify}(m) = 0 \ \& \ \text{natify}(n) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zero-lt-mult-iff* [*iff*]: $0 < m \# * n \iff 0 < \text{natify}(m) \ \& \ 0 < \text{natify}(n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-eq-1-iff* [*iff*]: $m \# * n = 1 \iff \text{natify}(m) = 1 \ \& \ \text{natify}(n) = 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-is-zero*: $[m : \text{nat}; n : \text{nat}] \implies (m \# * n = 0) \iff (m = 0 \mid n = 0)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mult-is-zero-natify* [iff]:

$$(m \#* n = 0) <-> (natify(m) = 0 \mid natify(n) = 0)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

27.6 Cancellation Laws for Common Factors in Comparisons

lemma *mult-less-cancel-lemma*:

$$[\mid k: nat; m: nat; n: nat] ==> (m \#* k < n \#* k) <-> (0 < k \ \& \ m < n)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mult-less-cancel2* [simp]:

$$(m \#* k < n \#* k) <-> (0 < natify(k) \ \& \ natify(m) < natify(n))$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mult-less-cancel1* [simp]:

$$(k \#* m < k \#* n) <-> (0 < natify(k) \ \& \ natify(m) < natify(n))$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mult-le-cancel2* [simp]: $(m \#* k \text{ le } n \#* k) <-> (0 < natify(k) \text{ --> } natify(m) \text{ le } natify(n))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mult-le-cancel1* [simp]: $(k \#* m \text{ le } k \#* n) <-> (0 < natify(k) \text{ --> } natify(m) \text{ le } natify(n))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mult-le-cancel-le1*: $k : nat ==> k \#* m \text{ le } k \longleftrightarrow (0 < k \longrightarrow natify(m) \text{ le } 1)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Ord-eq-iff-le*: $[\mid Ord(m); Ord(n)] ==> m = n <-> (m \text{ le } n \ \& \ n \text{ le } m)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mult-cancel2-lemma*:

$$[\mid k: nat; m: nat; n: nat] ==> (m \#* k = n \#* k) <-> (m = n \mid k = 0)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mult-cancel2* [simp]:

$$(m \#* k = n \#* k) <-> (natify(m) = natify(n) \mid natify(k) = 0)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mult-cancel1* [simp]:

$$(k \#* m = k \#* n) <-> (natify(m) = natify(n) \mid natify(k) = 0)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *div-cancel-raw*:

$[| 0 < n; 0 < k; k : nat; m : nat; n : nat |] ==> (k \# * m) \text{ div } (k \# * n) = m \text{ div } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *div-cancel*:

$[| 0 < \text{natty}(n); 0 < \text{natty}(k) |] ==> (k \# * m) \text{ div } (k \# * n) = m \text{ div } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

27.7 More Lemmas about Remainder

lemma *mult-mod-distrib-raw*:

$[| k : nat; m : nat; n : nat |] ==> (k \# * m) \text{ mod } (k \# * n) = k \# * (m \text{ mod } n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod-mult-distrib2*: $k \# * (m \text{ mod } n) = (k \# * m) \text{ mod } (k \# * n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-mod-distrib*: $(m \text{ mod } n) \# * k = (m \# * k) \text{ mod } (n \# * k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod-add-self2-raw*: $n \in nat ==> (m \# + n) \text{ mod } n = m \text{ mod } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod-add-self2* [simp]: $(m \# + n) \text{ mod } n = m \text{ mod } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod-add-self1* [simp]: $(n \# + m) \text{ mod } n = m \text{ mod } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod-mult-self1-raw*: $k \in nat ==> (m \# + k \# * n) \text{ mod } n = m \text{ mod } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod-mult-self1* [simp]: $(m \# + k \# * n) \text{ mod } n = m \text{ mod } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mod-mult-self2* [simp]: $(m \# + n \# * k) \text{ mod } n = m \text{ mod } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-eq-self-implies-10*: $m = m \# * n ==> \text{natty}(n) = 1 \mid m = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *less-imp-succ-add* [rule-format]:

$[| m < n; n : nat |] ==> \exists k : nat. n = \text{succ}(m \# + k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *less-iff-succ-add*:

$[| m : nat; n : nat |] ==> (m < n) <-> (\exists k : nat. n = \text{succ}(m \# + k))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add-lt-elim2*:

$\llbracket a \# + d = b \# + c; a < b; b \in nat; c \in nat; d \in nat \rrbracket \implies c < d$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add-le-elim2*:

$\llbracket a \# + d = b \# + c; a \leq b; b \in nat; c \in nat; d \in nat \rrbracket \implies c \leq d$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

27.7.1 More Lemmas About Difference

lemma *diff-is-0-lemma*:

$\llbracket m: nat; n: nat \rrbracket \implies m \# - n = 0 \iff m \leq n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *diff-is-0-iff*: $m \# - n = 0 \iff \text{natify}(m) \leq \text{natify}(n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-lt-imp-diff-eq-0*:

$\llbracket a: nat; b: nat; a < b \rrbracket \implies a \# - b = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *raw-nat-diff-split*:

$\llbracket a: nat; b: nat \rrbracket \implies$
 $(P(a \# - b)) \iff ((a < b \implies P(0)) \ \& \ (\text{ALL } d: nat. a = b \# + d \implies P(d)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat-diff-split*:

$(P(a \# - b)) \iff$
 $(\text{natify}(a) < \text{natify}(b) \implies P(0)) \ \& \ (\text{ALL } d: nat. \text{natify}(a) = b \# + d \implies P(d))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Difference and less-than

lemma *diff-lt-imp-lt*: $\llbracket (k \# - i) < (k \# - j); i \in nat; j \in nat; k \in nat \rrbracket \implies j < i$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lt-imp-diff-lt*: $\llbracket j < i; i \leq k; k \in nat \rrbracket \implies (k \# - i) < (k \# - j)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *diff-lt-iff-lt*: $\llbracket i \leq k; j \in nat; k \in nat \rrbracket \implies (k \# - i) < (k \# - j) \iff j < i$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

28 Lists in Zermelo-Fraenkel Set Theory

theory *List* **imports** *Datatype ArithSimp* **begin**

consts

list :: $i \Rightarrow i$

datatype

list(A) = *Nil* | *Cons* ($a:A$, $l: list(A)$)

syntax

$[]$:: i $([])$
 $@List$:: $is \Rightarrow i$ $([(-)])$

translations

$[x, xs]$ == *Cons*(x , $[xs]$)
 $[x]$ == *Cons*(x , $[]$)
 $[]$ == *Nil*

consts

length :: $i \Rightarrow i$
hd :: $i \Rightarrow i$
tl :: $i \Rightarrow i$

primrec

length($[]$) = 0
length(*Cons*(a , l)) = *succ*(*length*(l))

primrec

hd($[]$) = 0
hd(*Cons*(a , l)) = a

primrec

tl($[]$) = $[]$
tl(*Cons*(a , l)) = l

consts

map :: $[i \Rightarrow i, i] \Rightarrow i$
set-of-list :: $i \Rightarrow i$
app :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$ (**infixr** @ 60)

primrec

map($f, []$) = $[]$
map($f, Cons(a, l)$) = *Cons*($f(a)$, *map*(f, l))

primrec

$set-of-list([]) = 0$
 $set-of-list(Cons(a,l)) = cons(a, set-of-list(l))$

primrec

$app-Nil: [] @ ys = ys$
 $app-Cons: (Cons(a,l)) @ ys = Cons(a, l @ ys)$

consts

$rev :: i=>i$
 $flat :: i=>i$
 $list-add :: i=>i$

primrec

$rev([]) = []$
 $rev(Cons(a,l)) = rev(l) @ [a]$

primrec

$flat([]) = []$
 $flat(Cons(l,ls)) = l @ flat(ls)$

primrec

$list-add([]) = 0$
 $list-add(Cons(a,l)) = a \# + list-add(l)$

consts

$drop :: [i,i]=>i$

primrec

$drop-0: drop(0,l) = l$
 $drop-succ: drop(succ(i), l) = tl (drop(i,l))$

definition

$take :: [i,i]=>i$ **where**
 $take(n, as) == list-rec(lam n:nat. [],$
 $\%a\ l\ r. lam n:nat. nat-case([], \%m. Cons(a, r'm), n), as)'n$

definition

$nth :: [i, i]=>i$ **where**
— returns the (n+1)th element of a list, or 0 if the list is too short.
 $nth(n, as) == list-rec(lam n:nat. 0,$
 $\%a\ l\ r. lam n:nat. nat-case(a, \%m. r'm, n), as) ' n$

definition

```

list-update :: [i, i, i] => i where
list-update(xs, i, v) == list-rec(lam n:nat. Nil,
    %u us vs. lam n:nat. nat-case(Cons(v, us), %m. Cons(u, vs'm), n), xs)'i

consts
filter :: [i=>o, i] => i
upt :: [i, i] => i

primrec
filter(P, Nil) = Nil
filter(P, Cons(x, xs)) =
    (if P(x) then Cons(x, filter(P, xs)) else filter(P, xs))

primrec
upt(i, 0) = Nil
upt(i, succ(j)) = (if i le j then upt(i, j)@[j] else Nil)

definition
min :: [i,i] => i where
min(x, y) == (if x le y then x else y)

definition
max :: [i, i] => i where
max(x, y) == (if x le y then y else x)

declare list.intros [simp, TC]

inductive-cases ConsE: Cons(a,l) : list(A)

lemma Cons-type-iff [simp]: Cons(a,l) ∈ list(A) <-> a ∈ A & l ∈ list(A)
⟨proof⟩

lemma Cons-iff: Cons(a,l)=Cons(a',l') <-> a=a' & l=l'
⟨proof⟩

lemma Nil-Cons-iff: ~ Nil=Cons(a,l)
⟨proof⟩

lemma list-unfold: list(A) = {0} + (A * list(A))
⟨proof⟩

lemma list-mono: A<=B ==> list(A) <= list(B)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *list-univ*: $list(univ(A)) \leq univ(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *list-subset-univ* = *subset-trans* [*OF list-mono list-univ*]

lemma *list-into-univ*: $[l: list(A); A \leq univ(B)] \implies l: univ(B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *list-case-type*:
 $[l: list(A);$
 $c: C(Nil);$
 $!!x\ y. [x: A; y: list(A)] \implies h(x,y): C(Cons(x,y))$
 $] \implies list-case(c,h,l) : C(l)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *list-0-triv*: $list(0) = \{Nil\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tl-type*: $l: list(A) \implies tl(l) : list(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *drop-Nil* [*simp*]: $i:nat \implies drop(i, Nil) = Nil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *drop-succ-Cons* [*simp*]: $i:nat \implies drop(succ(i), Cons(a,l)) = drop(i,l)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *drop-type* [*simp, TC*]: $[i:nat; l: list(A)] \implies drop(i,l) : list(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare *drop-succ* [*simp del*]

lemma *list-rec-type* [*TC*]:
 $[l: list(A);$
 $c: C(Nil);$
 $!!x\ y\ r. [x:A; y: list(A); r: C(y)] \implies h(x,y,r): C(Cons(x,y))$
 $] \implies list-rec(c,h,l) : C(l)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *map-type* [TC]:

$[[l: list(A); !!x. x: A ==> h(x): B]] ==> map(h,l) : list(B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *map-type2* [TC]: $l: list(A) ==> map(h,l) : list(\{h(u). u:A\})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length-type* [TC]: $l: list(A) ==> length(l) : nat$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lt-length-in-nat*:

$[[x < length(xs); xs \in list(A)]] ==> x \in nat$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *app-type* [TC]: $[[xs: list(A); ys: list(A)]] ==> xs@ys : list(A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rev-type* [TC]: $xs: list(A) ==> rev(xs) : list(A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *flat-type* [TC]: $ls: list(list(A)) ==> flat(ls) : list(A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *set-of-list-type* [TC]: $l: list(A) ==> set-of-list(l) : Pow(A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *set-of-list-append*:

$xs: list(A) ==> set-of-list (xs@ys) = set-of-list(xs) \cup set-of-list(ys)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *list-add-type* [TC]: $xs: \text{list}(\text{nat}) \implies \text{list-add}(xs) : \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-ident* [simp]: $l: \text{list}(A) \implies \text{map}(\%u. u, l) = l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-compose*: $l: \text{list}(A) \implies \text{map}(h, \text{map}(j, l)) = \text{map}(\%u. h(j(u)), l)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-app-distrib*: $xs: \text{list}(A) \implies \text{map}(h, xs @ ys) = \text{map}(h, xs) @ \text{map}(h, ys)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-flat*: $ls: \text{list}(\text{list}(A)) \implies \text{map}(h, \text{flat}(ls)) = \text{flat}(\text{map}(\text{map}(h), ls))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *list-rec-map*:
 $l: \text{list}(A) \implies$
 $\text{list-rec}(c, d, \text{map}(h, l)) =$
 $\text{list-rec}(c, \%x \text{ } xs \text{ } r. d(h(x), \text{map}(h, xs), r), l)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *list-CollectD* = *Collect-subset* [THEN *list-mono*, THEN *subsetD*, *standard*]

lemma *map-list-Collect*: $l: \text{list}(\{x:A. h(x)=j(x)\}) \implies \text{map}(h, l) = \text{map}(j, l)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-map* [simp]: $xs: \text{list}(A) \implies \text{length}(\text{map}(h, xs)) = \text{length}(xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-app* [simp]:
 $[| \text{ } xs: \text{list}(A); \text{ } ys: \text{list}(A) \text{ } |]$
 $\implies \text{length}(xs @ ys) = \text{length}(xs) \# + \text{length}(ys)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-rev* [simp]: $xs: \text{list}(A) \implies \text{length}(\text{rev}(xs)) = \text{length}(xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-flat*:
 $ls: \text{list}(\text{list}(A)) \implies \text{length}(\text{flat}(ls)) = \text{list-add}(\text{map}(\text{length}, ls))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *drop-length-Cons* [rule-format]:

$xs: list(A) ==>$
 $\forall x. \ EX \ z \ zs. \ drop(length(xs), Cons(x,xs)) = Cons(z,zs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *drop-length* [rule-format]:

$l: list(A) ==> \forall i \in length(l). (EX \ z \ zs. \ drop(i,l) = Cons(z,zs))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *app-right-Nil* [simp]: $xs: list(A) ==> xs@Nil=xs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *app-assoc*: $xs: list(A) ==> (xs@ys)@zs = xs@(ys@zs)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *flat-app-distrib*: $ls: list(list(A)) ==> flat(ls@ms) = flat(ls)@flat(ms)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rev-map-distrib*: $l: list(A) ==> rev(map(h,l)) = map(h,rev(l))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rev-app-distrib*:

$[| \ xs: list(A); \ ys: list(A) \ |] ==> rev(xs@ys) = rev(ys)@rev(xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rev-rev-ident* [simp]: $l: list(A) ==> rev(rev(l))=l$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rev-flat*: $ls: list(list(A)) ==> rev(flat(ls)) = flat(map(rev,rev(ls)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *list-add-app*:

$[| \ xs: list(nat); \ ys: list(nat) \ |]$
 $==> list-add(xs@ys) = list-add(ys) \# + list-add(xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *list-add-rev*: $l: \text{list}(\text{nat}) \implies \text{list-add}(\text{rev}(l)) = \text{list-add}(l)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *list-add-flat*:
 $ls: \text{list}(\text{list}(\text{nat})) \implies \text{list-add}(\text{flat}(ls)) = \text{list-add}(\text{map}(\text{list-add}, ls))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *list-append-induct* [case-names Nil snoc, consumes 1]:
 $\llbracket l: \text{list}(A);$
 $\quad P(\text{Nil});$
 $\quad !!x\ y. \llbracket x: A; \ y: \text{list}(A); \ P(y) \rrbracket \implies P(y @ [x])$
 $\quad \rrbracket \implies P(l)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *list-complete-induct-lemma* [rule-format]:
assumes *ih*:
 $\bigwedge l. \llbracket l \in \text{list}(A);$
 $\quad \forall l' \in \text{list}(A). \text{length}(l') < \text{length}(l) \dashrightarrow P(l') \rrbracket$
 $\implies P(l)$
shows $n \in \text{nat} \implies \forall l \in \text{list}(A). \text{length}(l) < n \dashrightarrow P(l)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *list-complete-induct*:
 $\llbracket l \in \text{list}(A);$
 $\quad \bigwedge l. \llbracket l \in \text{list}(A);$
 $\quad \quad \forall l' \in \text{list}(A). \text{length}(l') < \text{length}(l) \dashrightarrow P(l') \rrbracket$
 $\quad \implies P(l)$
 $\rrbracket \implies P(l)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *min-sym*: $\llbracket i: \text{nat}; \ j: \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{min}(i, j) = \text{min}(j, i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *min-type* [simp, TC]: $\llbracket i: \text{nat}; \ j: \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{min}(i, j): \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *min-0* [simp]: $i: \text{nat} \implies \text{min}(0, i) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *min-02* [simp]: $i: \text{nat} \implies \text{min}(i, 0) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lt-min-iff*: $[i:\text{nat}; j:\text{nat}; k:\text{nat}] \implies i < \min(j,k) \iff i < j \ \& \ i < k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *min-succ-succ* [*simp*]:
 $[i:\text{nat}; j:\text{nat}] \implies \min(\text{succ}(i), \text{succ}(j)) = \text{succ}(\min(i, j))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *filter-append* [*simp*]:
 $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies \text{filter}(P, xs @ ys) = \text{filter}(P, xs) @ \text{filter}(P, ys)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *filter-type* [*simp*, *TC*]: $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies \text{filter}(P, xs):\text{list}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-filter*: $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies \text{length}(\text{filter}(P, xs)) \leq \text{length}(xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *filter-is-subset*: $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies \text{set-of-list}(\text{filter}(P, xs)) \leq \text{set-of-list}(xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *filter-False* [*simp*]: $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies \text{filter}(\%p. \text{False}, xs) = \text{Nil}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *filter-True* [*simp*]: $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies \text{filter}(\%p. \text{True}, xs) = xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-is-0-iff* [*simp*]: $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies \text{length}(xs) = 0 \iff xs = \text{Nil}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-is-0-iff2* [*simp*]: $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies 0 = \text{length}(xs) \iff xs = \text{Nil}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-tl* [*simp*]: $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies \text{length}(\text{tl}(xs)) = \text{length}(xs) \# - 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-greater-0-iff*: $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies 0 < \text{length}(xs) \iff xs \sim \text{Nil}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-succ-iff*: $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies \text{length}(xs) = \text{succ}(n) \iff (\exists y\ ys. xs = \text{Cons}(y, ys) \ \& \ \text{length}(ys) = n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *append-is-Nil-iff* [simp]:

$xs:list(A) ==> (xs@ys = Nil) <-> (xs=Nil \& \ ys = Nil)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *append-is-Nil-iff2* [simp]:

$xs:list(A) ==> (Nil = xs@ys) <-> (xs=Nil \& \ ys = Nil)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *append-left-is-self-iff* [simp]:

$xs:list(A) ==> (xs@ys = xs) <-> (ys = Nil)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *append-left-is-self-iff2* [simp]:

$xs:list(A) ==> (xs = xs@ys) <-> (ys = Nil)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *append-left-is-Nil-iff* [rule-format]:

$[| \ xs:list(A); \ ys:list(A); \ zs:list(A) \ |] ==>$
 $\text{length}(ys)=\text{length}(zs) \dashrightarrow (xs@ys=zs \<-> (xs=Nil \& \ ys=zs))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *append-left-is-Nil-iff2* [rule-format]:

$[| \ xs:list(A); \ ys:list(A); \ zs:list(A) \ |] ==>$
 $\text{length}(ys)=\text{length}(zs) \dashrightarrow (zs=ys@xs \<-> (xs=Nil \& \ ys=zs))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *append-eq-append-iff* [rule-format,simp]:

$xs:list(A) ==> \forall \ ys \in list(A).$
 $\text{length}(xs)=\text{length}(ys) \dashrightarrow (xs@us = ys@vs) \<-> (xs=ys \& \ us=vs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *append-eq-append* [rule-format]:

$xs:list(A) ==>$
 $\forall \ ys \in list(A). \forall \ us \in list(A). \forall \ vs \in list(A).$
 $\text{length}(us) = \text{length}(vs) \dashrightarrow (xs@us = ys@vs) \dashrightarrow (xs=ys \& \ us=vs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *append-eq-append-iff2* [simp]:

$[| \ xs:list(A); \ ys:list(A); \ us:list(A); \ vs:list(A); \ \text{length}(us)=\text{length}(vs) \ |]$
 $==> \ xs@us = ys@vs \<-> (xs=ys \& \ us=vs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *append-self-iff* [simp]:

$[| \ xs:list(A); \ ys:list(A); \ zs:list(A) \ |] ==> \ xs@ys=xz@zs \<-> \ ys=zs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *append-self-iff2* [simp]:

$[[\text{xs}:\text{list}(A); \text{ys}:\text{list}(A); \text{zs}:\text{list}(A)] \implies \text{ys}@\text{xs}=\text{zs}@\text{xs} \iff \text{ys}=\text{zs}]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *append1-eq-iff* [rule-format,simp]:

$\text{xs}:\text{list}(A) \implies \forall \text{ys} \in \text{list}(A). \text{xs}@[x] = \text{ys}@[y] \iff (\text{xs} = \text{ys} \ \& \ x=y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *append-right-is-self-iff* [simp]:

$[[\text{xs}:\text{list}(A); \text{ys}:\text{list}(A)] \implies (\text{xs}@\text{ys} = \text{ys}) \iff (\text{xs}=\text{Nil})]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *append-right-is-self-iff2* [simp]:

$[[\text{xs}:\text{list}(A); \text{ys}:\text{list}(A)] \implies (\text{ys} = \text{xs}@\text{ys}) \iff (\text{xs}=\text{Nil})]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *hd-append* [rule-format,simp]:

$\text{xs}:\text{list}(A) \implies \text{xs} \sim \text{Nil} \longrightarrow \text{hd}(\text{xs} @ \text{ys}) = \text{hd}(\text{xs})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tl-append* [rule-format,simp]:

$\text{xs}:\text{list}(A) \implies \text{xs} \sim \text{Nil} \longrightarrow \text{tl}(\text{xs} @ \text{ys}) = \text{tl}(\text{xs})@\text{ys}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rev-is-Nil-iff* [simp]: $\text{xs}:\text{list}(A) \implies (\text{rev}(\text{xs}) = \text{Nil} \iff \text{xs} = \text{Nil})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Nil-is-rev-iff* [simp]: $\text{xs}:\text{list}(A) \implies (\text{Nil} = \text{rev}(\text{xs}) \iff \text{xs} = \text{Nil})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rev-is-rev-iff* [rule-format,simp]:

$\text{xs}:\text{list}(A) \implies \forall \text{ys} \in \text{list}(A). \text{rev}(\text{xs})=\text{rev}(\text{ys}) \iff \text{xs}=\text{ys}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rev-list-elim* [rule-format]:

$\text{xs}:\text{list}(A) \implies$
 $(\text{xs}=\text{Nil} \longrightarrow P) \longrightarrow (\forall \text{ys} \in \text{list}(A). \forall y \in A. \text{xs}=\text{ys}@[y] \longrightarrow P) \longrightarrow P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-drop* [rule-format,simp]:

$n:\text{nat} \implies \forall \text{xs} \in \text{list}(A). \text{length}(\text{drop}(n, \text{xs})) = \text{length}(\text{xs}) \# - n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *drop-all* [*rule-format,simp*]:

$n:\text{nat} \implies \forall xs \in \text{list}(A). \text{length}(xs) \leq n \implies \text{drop}(n, xs) = \text{Nil}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *drop-append* [*rule-format*]:

$n:\text{nat} \implies$
 $\forall xs \in \text{list}(A). \text{drop}(n, xs @ ys) = \text{drop}(n, xs) @ \text{drop}(n \# - \text{length}(xs), ys)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *drop-drop*:

$m:\text{nat} \implies \forall xs \in \text{list}(A). \forall n \in \text{nat}. \text{drop}(n, \text{drop}(m, xs)) = \text{drop}(n \# + m, xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-0* [*simp*]: $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies \text{take}(0, xs) = \text{Nil}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-succ-Cons* [*simp*]:

$n:\text{nat} \implies \text{take}(\text{succ}(n), \text{Cons}(a, xs)) = \text{Cons}(a, \text{take}(n, xs))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-Nil* [*simp*]: $n:\text{nat} \implies \text{take}(n, \text{Nil}) = \text{Nil}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-all* [*rule-format,simp*]:

$n:\text{nat} \implies \forall xs \in \text{list}(A). \text{length}(xs) \leq n \implies \text{take}(n, xs) = xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-type* [*rule-format,simp,TC*]:

$xs:\text{list}(A) \implies \forall n \in \text{nat}. \text{take}(n, xs):\text{list}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-append* [*rule-format,simp*]:

$xs:\text{list}(A) \implies$
 $\forall ys \in \text{list}(A). \forall n \in \text{nat}. \text{take}(n, xs @ ys) =$
 $\text{take}(n, xs) @ \text{take}(n \# - \text{length}(xs), ys)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-take* [*rule-format*]:

$m : \text{nat} \implies$
 $\forall xs \in \text{list}(A). \forall n \in \text{nat}. \text{take}(n, \text{take}(m, xs)) = \text{take}(\min(n, m), xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-0* [*simp*]: $\text{nth}(0, \text{Cons}(a, l)) = a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-Cons* [*simp*]: $n:\text{nat} \implies \text{nth}(\text{succ}(n), \text{Cons}(a,l)) = \text{nth}(n,l)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-empty* [*simp*]: $\text{nth}(n, \text{Nil}) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-type* [*rule-format, simp, TC*]:
 $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies \forall n. n < \text{length}(xs) \dashv\vdash \text{nth}(n,xs) : A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-eq-0* [*rule-format*]:
 $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies \forall n \in \text{nat}. \text{length}(xs) \leq n \dashv\vdash \text{nth}(n,xs) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-append* [*rule-format*]:
 $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies$
 $\forall n \in \text{nat}. \text{nth}(n, xs @ ys) = (\text{if } n < \text{length}(xs) \text{ then } \text{nth}(n,xs)$
 $\text{else } \text{nth}(n \#- \text{length}(xs), ys))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *set-of-list-conv-nth*:
 $xs:\text{list}(A)$
 $\implies \text{set-of-list}(xs) = \{x:A. \exists i:\text{nat}. i < \text{length}(xs) \ \& \ x = \text{nth}(i,xs)\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-take-lemma* [*rule-format*]:
 $k:\text{nat} \implies$
 $\forall xs \in \text{list}(A). (\forall ys \in \text{list}(A). k \leq \text{length}(xs) \dashv\vdash k \leq \text{length}(ys) \dashv\vdash$
 $(\forall i \in \text{nat}. i < k \dashv\vdash \text{nth}(i,xs) = \text{nth}(i,ys)) \dashv\vdash \text{take}(k,xs) = \text{take}(k,ys))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-equalityI* [*rule-format*]:
 $[[xs:\text{list}(A); ys:\text{list}(A); \text{length}(xs) = \text{length}(ys);$
 $\forall i \in \text{nat}. i < \text{length}(xs) \dashv\vdash \text{nth}(i,xs) = \text{nth}(i,ys)]]$
 $\implies xs = ys$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-equalityI* [*rule-format*]:
 $[[xs:\text{list}(A); ys:\text{list}(A); (\forall i \in \text{nat}. \text{take}(i, xs) = \text{take}(i,ys))]]$
 $\implies xs = ys$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-drop* [*rule-format*]:

$n:\text{nat} \implies \forall i \in \text{nat}. \forall xs \in \text{list}(A). \text{nth}(i, \text{drop}(n, xs)) = \text{nth}(n \# + i, xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-succ* [rule-format]:
 $xs \in \text{list}(A)$
 $\implies \forall i. i < \text{length}(xs) \longrightarrow \text{take}(\text{succ}(i), xs) = \text{take}(i, xs) @ [\text{nth}(i, xs)]$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-add* [rule-format]:
 $[[xs \in \text{list}(A); j \in \text{nat}]]$
 $\implies \forall i \in \text{nat}. \text{take}(i \# + j, xs) = \text{take}(i, xs) @ \text{take}(j, \text{drop}(i, xs))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-take*:
 $l \in \text{list}(A) \implies \forall n \in \text{nat}. \text{length}(\text{take}(n, l)) = \min(n, \text{length}(l))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

28.1 The function zip

Crafty definition to eliminate a type argument

consts
 $\text{zip-aux} \quad :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$

primrec
 $\text{zip-aux}(B, []) =$
 $(\lambda ys \in \text{list}(B). \text{list-case}([], \%y l. [], ys))$
 $\text{zip-aux}(B, \text{Cons}(x, l)) =$
 $(\lambda ys \in \text{list}(B). \text{list-case}(\text{Nil}, \%y zs. \text{Cons}(\langle x, y \rangle, \text{zip-aux}(B, l) 'zs), ys))$

definition
 $\text{zip} :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{zip}(xs, ys) == \text{zip-aux}(\text{set-of-list}(ys), xs) 'ys$

lemma *list-on-set-of-list*: $xs \in \text{list}(A) \implies xs \in \text{list}(\text{set-of-list}(xs))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zip-Nil* [simp]: $ys:\text{list}(A) \implies \text{zip}(\text{Nil}, ys) = \text{Nil}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zip-Nil2* [simp]: $xs:\text{list}(A) \implies \text{zip}(xs, \text{Nil}) = \text{Nil}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zip-aux-unique* [rule-format]:
 $[[B \leq C; xs \in \text{list}(A)]]$

$\Rightarrow \forall ys \in list(B). zip_aux(C, xs) \text{ ‘ } ys = zip_aux(B, xs) \text{ ‘ } ys$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zip-Cons-Cons* [*simp*]:
 $\llbracket xs: list(A); ys: list(B); x:A; y:B \rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $zip(Cons(x, xs), Cons(y, ys)) = Cons(\langle x, y \rangle, zip(xs, ys))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zip-type* [*rule-format, simp, TC*]:
 $xs: list(A) \Rightarrow \forall ys \in list(B). zip(xs, ys): list(A * B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length-zip* [*rule-format, simp*]:
 $xs: list(A) \Rightarrow \forall ys \in list(B). length(zip(xs, ys)) =$
 $min(length(xs), length(ys))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zip-append1* [*rule-format*]:
 $\llbracket ys: list(A); zs: list(B) \rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $\forall xs \in list(A). zip(xs @ ys, zs) =$
 $zip(xs, take(length(xs), zs)) @ zip(ys, drop(length(xs), zs))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zip-append2* [*rule-format*]:
 $\llbracket xs: list(A); zs: list(B) \rrbracket \Rightarrow \forall ys \in list(B). zip(xs, ys @ zs) =$
 $zip(take(length(ys), xs), ys) @ zip(drop(length(ys), xs), zs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zip-append* [*simp*]:
 $\llbracket length(xs) = length(us); length(ys) = length(vs);$
 $xs: list(A); us: list(B); ys: list(A); vs: list(B) \rrbracket$
 $\Rightarrow zip(xs @ ys, us @ vs) = zip(xs, us) @ zip(ys, vs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zip-rev* [*rule-format, simp*]:
 $ys: list(B) \Rightarrow \forall xs \in list(A).$
 $length(xs) = length(ys) \dashrightarrow zip(rev(xs), rev(ys)) = rev(zip(xs, ys))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nth-zip* [*rule-format, simp*]:
 $ys: list(B) \Rightarrow \forall i \in nat. \forall xs \in list(A).$
 $i < length(xs) \dashrightarrow i < length(ys) \dashrightarrow$
 $nth(i, zip(xs, ys)) = \langle nth(i, xs), nth(i, ys) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *set-of-list-zip* [*rule-format*]:
 $\llbracket xs: list(A); ys: list(B); i: nat \rrbracket$

$$\begin{aligned} & \implies \text{set-of-list}(\text{zip}(xs, ys)) = \\ & \quad \{ \langle x, y \rangle : A * B. \exists i : \text{nat}. i < \min(\text{length}(xs), \text{length}(ys)) \\ & \quad \& x = \text{nth}(i, xs) \& y = \text{nth}(i, ys) \} \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *list-update-Nil* [simp]: $i : \text{nat} \implies \text{list-update}(\text{Nil}, i, v) = \text{Nil}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *list-update-Cons-0* [simp]: $\text{list-update}(\text{Cons}(x, xs), 0, v) = \text{Cons}(v, xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *list-update-Cons-succ* [simp]:
 $n : \text{nat} \implies$
 $\text{list-update}(\text{Cons}(x, xs), \text{succ}(n), v) = \text{Cons}(x, \text{list-update}(xs, n, v))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *list-update-type* [rule-format, simp, TC]:
 $\llbracket xs : \text{list}(A); v : A \rrbracket \implies \forall n \in \text{nat}. \text{list-update}(xs, n, v) : \text{list}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *length-list-update* [rule-format, simp]:
 $xs : \text{list}(A) \implies \forall i \in \text{nat}. \text{length}(\text{list-update}(xs, i, v)) = \text{length}(xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-list-update* [rule-format]:
 $\llbracket xs : \text{list}(A) \rrbracket \implies \forall i \in \text{nat}. \forall j \in \text{nat}. i < \text{length}(xs) \implies$
 $\text{nth}(j, \text{list-update}(xs, i, x)) = (\text{if } i=j \text{ then } x \text{ else } \text{nth}(j, xs))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-list-update-eq* [simp]:
 $\llbracket i < \text{length}(xs); xs : \text{list}(A) \rrbracket \implies \text{nth}(i, \text{list-update}(xs, i, x)) = x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-list-update-neq* [rule-format, simp]:
 $xs : \text{list}(A) \implies$
 $\forall i \in \text{nat}. \forall j \in \text{nat}. i \sim j \implies \text{nth}(j, \text{list-update}(xs, i, x)) = \text{nth}(j, xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *list-update-overwrite* [rule-format, simp]:
 $xs : \text{list}(A) \implies \forall i \in \text{nat}. i < \text{length}(xs)$
 $\implies \text{list-update}(\text{list-update}(xs, i, x), i, y) = \text{list-update}(xs, i, y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *list-update-same-conv* [rule-format]:
 $xs : \text{list}(A) \implies$
 $\forall i \in \text{nat}. i < \text{length}(xs) \implies$

$(list_update(xs, i, x) = xs) <-> (nth(i, xs) = x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *update-zip* [rule-format]:

$ys: list(B) ==>$
 $\forall i \in nat. \forall xy \in A*B. \forall xs \in list(A).$
 $length(xs) = length(ys) -->$
 $list_update(zip(xs, ys), i, xy) = zip(list_update(xs, i, fst(xy)),$
 $list_update(ys, i, snd(xy)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *set-update-subset-cons* [rule-format]:

$xs: list(A) ==>$
 $\forall i \in nat. set_of_list(list_update(xs, i, x)) \leq cons(x, set_of_list(xs))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *set-of-list-update-subsetI*:

$[| set_of_list(xs) \leq A; xs: list(A); x:A; i:nat |]$
 $==> set_of_list(list_update(xs, i, x)) \leq A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *upt-rec*:

$j:nat ==> upt(i, j) = (if i < j then Cons(i, upt(succ(i), j)) else Nil)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *upt-conv-Nil* [simp]: $[| j \leq i; j:nat |] ==> upt(i, j) = Nil$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *upt-succ-append*:

$[| i \leq j; j:nat |] ==> upt(i, succ(j)) = upt(i, j) @ [j]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *upt-conv-Cons*:

$[| i < j; j:nat |] ==> upt(i, j) = Cons(i, upt(succ(i), j))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *upt-type* [simp, TC]: $j:nat ==> upt(i, j): list(nat)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *upt-add-eq-append*:

$[| i \leq j; j:nat; k:nat |] ==> upt(i, j \# + k) = upt(i, j) @ upt(j, j \# + k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *length-upt* [simp]: $[| i:nat; j:nat |] ==> length(upt(i, j)) = j \# - i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nth-upt* [*rule-format,simp*]:

$$[| i:\text{nat}; j:\text{nat}; k:\text{nat} |] ==> i \# + k < j \dashrightarrow \text{nth}(k, \text{upt}(i,j)) = i \# + k$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *take-upt* [*rule-format,simp*]:

$$[| m:\text{nat}; n:\text{nat} |] ==>$$

$$\forall i \in \text{nat}. i \# + m \leq n \dashrightarrow \text{take}(m, \text{upt}(i,n)) = \text{upt}(i, i \# + m)$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *map-succ-upt*:

$$[| m:\text{nat}; n:\text{nat} |] ==> \text{map}(\text{succ}, \text{upt}(m,n)) = \text{upt}(\text{succ}(m), \text{succ}(n))$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-map* [*rule-format,simp*]:

$$xs:\text{list}(A) ==>$$

$$\forall n \in \text{nat}. n < \text{length}(xs) \dashrightarrow \text{nth}(n, \text{map}(f, xs)) = f(\text{nth}(n, xs))$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nth-map-upt* [*rule-format*]:

$$[| m:\text{nat}; n:\text{nat} |] ==>$$

$$\forall i \in \text{nat}. i < n \# - m \dashrightarrow \text{nth}(i, \text{map}(f, \text{upt}(m,n))) = f(m \# + i)$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

$$\text{sublist} :: [i, i] => i \text{ where}$$

$$\text{sublist}(xs, A) ==$$

$$\text{map}(\text{fst}, (\text{filter}(\%p. \text{snd}(p): A, \text{zip}(xs, \text{upt}(0, \text{length}(xs)))))$$

lemma *sublist-0* [*simp*]: $xs:\text{list}(A) ==> \text{sublist}(xs, 0) = \text{Nil}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sublist-Nil* [*simp*]: $\text{sublist}(\text{Nil}, A) = \text{Nil}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sublist-shift-lemma*:

$$[| xs:\text{list}(B); i:\text{nat} |] ==>$$

$$\text{map}(\text{fst}, \text{filter}(\%p. \text{snd}(p):A, \text{zip}(xs, \text{upt}(i, i \# + \text{length}(xs))))) =$$

$$\text{map}(\text{fst}, \text{filter}(\%p. \text{snd}(p):\text{nat} \ \& \ \text{snd}(p) \# + i:A, \text{zip}(xs, \text{upt}(0, \text{length}(xs)))))$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sublist-type* [*simp, TC*]:

$$xs:\text{list}(B) ==> \text{sublist}(xs, A):\text{list}(B)$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *upt-add-eq-append2*:

$$[| i:\text{nat}; j:\text{nat} |] ==> \text{upt}(0, i \# + j) = \text{upt}(0, i) @ \text{upt}(i, i \# + j)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sublist-append*:

$[| xs: list(B); ys: list(B) |] ==>$
 $sublist(xs @ ys, A) = sublist(xs, A) @ sublist(ys, \{j: nat. j \# + length(xs): A\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sublist-Cons*:

$[| xs: list(B); x: B |] ==>$
 $sublist(Cons(x, xs), A) =$
 $(if\ 0:A\ then\ [x]\ else\ []) @ sublist(xs, \{j: nat. succ(j) : A\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sublist-singleton* [simp]:

$sublist([x], A) = (if\ 0 : A\ then\ [x]\ else\ [])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sublist-upt-eq-take* [rule-format, simp]:

$xs: list(A) ==> ALL\ n: nat. sublist(xs, n) = take(n, xs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sublist-Int-eq*:

$xs : list(B) ==> sublist(xs, A \cap nat) = sublist(xs, A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Repetition of a List Element

consts *repeat* :: $[i, i] ==> i$

primrec

$repeat(a, 0) = []$

$repeat(a, succ(n)) = Cons(a, repeat(a, n))$

lemma *length-repeat*: $n \in nat ==> length(repeat(a, n)) = n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *repeat-succ-app*: $n \in nat ==> repeat(a, succ(n)) = repeat(a, n) @ [a]$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *repeat-type* [TC]: $[| a \in A; n \in nat |] ==> repeat(a, n) \in list(A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

29 Equivalence Relations

theory *EquivClass* **imports** *Trancl Perm* **begin**

definition

$quotient :: [i,i]=>i \quad (\text{infixl } '//' \ 90) \quad \text{where}$
 $A//r == \{r''\{x\} . x:A\}$

definition

$congruent :: [i,i=>i]=>o \quad \text{where}$
 $congruent(r,b) == ALL \ y \ z. <y,z>:r \dashrightarrow b(y)=b(z)$

definition

$congruent2 :: [i,i,[i,i]=>i]=>o \quad \text{where}$
 $congruent2(r1,r2,b) == ALL \ y1 \ z1 \ y2 \ z2.$
 $<y1,z1>:r1 \dashrightarrow <y2,z2>:r2 \dashrightarrow b(y1,y2) = b(z1,z2)$

abbreviation

$RESPECTS :: [i=>i, i] => o \quad (\text{infixr } respects \ 80) \quad \text{where}$
 $f \text{ respects } r == congruent(r,f)$

abbreviation

$RESPECTS2 :: [i=>i=>i, i] => o \quad (\text{infixr } respects2 \ 80) \quad \text{where}$
 $f \text{ respects2 } r == congruent2(r,r,f)$
 — Abbreviation for the common case where the relations are identical

29.1 Suppes, Theorem 70: r is an equiv relation iff $converse(r) \circ r = r$

lemma *sym-trans-comp-subset*:

$[[\text{sym}(r); \text{trans}(r)]] ==> converse(r) \circ r \leq r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *refl-comp-subset*:

$[[\text{refl}(A,r); r \leq A*A]] ==> r \leq converse(r) \circ r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *equiv-comp-eq*:

$equiv(A,r) ==> converse(r) \circ r = r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *comp-equivI*:

$[[converse(r) \circ r = r; \text{domain}(r) = A]] ==> equiv(A,r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *equiv-class-subset*:

$[[\text{sym}(r); \text{trans}(r); <a,b>:r]] ==> r''\{a\} \leq r''\{b\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *equiv-class-eq*:

$\llbracket \text{equiv}(A, r); \langle a, b \rangle : r \rrbracket \implies r''\{a\} = r''\{b\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equiv-class-self*:

$\llbracket \text{equiv}(A, r); a : A \rrbracket \implies a : r''\{a\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subset-equiv-class*:

$\llbracket \text{equiv}(A, r); r''\{b\} \leq r''\{a\}; b : A \rrbracket \implies \langle a, b \rangle : r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eq-equiv-class*: $\llbracket r''\{a\} = r''\{b\}; \text{equiv}(A, r); b : A \rrbracket \implies \langle a, b \rangle : r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equiv-class-nondisjoint*:

$\llbracket \text{equiv}(A, r); x : (r''\{a\} \text{ Int } r''\{b\}) \rrbracket \implies \langle a, b \rangle : r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equiv-type*: $\text{equiv}(A, r) \implies r \leq A * A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equiv-class-eq-iff*:

$\text{equiv}(A, r) \implies \langle x, y \rangle : r \iff r''\{x\} = r''\{y\} \ \& \ x:A \ \& \ y:A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eq-equiv-class-iff*:

$\llbracket \text{equiv}(A, r); x : A; y : A \rrbracket \implies r''\{x\} = r''\{y\} \iff \langle x, y \rangle : r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *quotientI* $[TC]$: $x:A \implies r''\{x\} : A//r$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *quotientE*:

$\llbracket X : A//r; !x. \llbracket X = r''\{x\}; x:A \rrbracket \implies P \rrbracket \implies P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Union-quotient*:

$\text{equiv}(A, r) \implies \text{Union}(A//r) = A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *quotient-disj*:

$\llbracket \text{equiv}(A, r); X : A//r; Y : A//r \rrbracket \implies X=Y \mid (X \text{ Int } Y \leq 0)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

29.2 Defining Unary Operations upon Equivalence Classes

lemma *UN-equiv-class:*

$\llbracket \text{equiv}(A, r); \text{ } b \text{ respects } r; \text{ } a : A \rrbracket \implies (\text{UN } x : r^{\text{``}\{a\}}. b(x)) = b(a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UN-equiv-class-type:*

$\llbracket \text{equiv}(A, r); \text{ } b \text{ respects } r; \text{ } X : A // r; \text{ } !!x. \text{ } x : A \implies b(x) : B \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\text{UN } x : X. b(x)) : B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UN-equiv-class-inject:*

$\llbracket \text{equiv}(A, r); \text{ } b \text{ respects } r;$
 $(\text{UN } x : X. b(x)) = (\text{UN } y : Y. b(y)); \text{ } X : A // r; \text{ } Y : A // r;$
 $!!x \text{ } y. \llbracket x : A; \text{ } y : A; \text{ } b(x) = b(y) \rrbracket \implies \langle x, y \rangle : r \rrbracket$
 $\implies X = Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

29.3 Defining Binary Operations upon Equivalence Classes

lemma *congruent2-implies-congruent:*

$\llbracket \text{equiv}(A, r1); \text{ } \text{congruent2}(r1, r2, b); \text{ } a : A \rrbracket \implies \text{congruent}(r2, b(a))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *congruent2-implies-congruent-UN:*

$\llbracket \text{equiv}(A1, r1); \text{ } \text{equiv}(A2, r2); \text{ } \text{congruent2}(r1, r2, b); \text{ } a : A2 \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{congruent}(r1, \%x1. \bigcup x2 \in r2^{\text{``}\{a\}}. b(x1, x2))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UN-equiv-class2:*

$\llbracket \text{equiv}(A1, r1); \text{ } \text{equiv}(A2, r2); \text{ } \text{congruent2}(r1, r2, b); \text{ } a1 : A1; \text{ } a2 : A2 \rrbracket$
 $\implies (\bigcup x1 \in r1^{\text{``}\{a1\}}. \bigcup x2 \in r2^{\text{``}\{a2\}}. b(x1, x2)) = b(a1, a2)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *UN-equiv-class-type2:*

$\llbracket \text{equiv}(A, r); \text{ } b \text{ respects2 } r;$
 $X1 : A // r; \text{ } X2 : A // r;$
 $!!x1 \text{ } x2. \llbracket x1 : A; \text{ } x2 : A \rrbracket \implies b(x1, x2) : B$
 $\rrbracket \implies (\text{UN } x1 : X1. \text{UN } x2 : X2. b(x1, x2)) : B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *congruent2I:*

$\llbracket \text{equiv}(A1, r1); \text{ } \text{equiv}(A2, r2);$


```

    !! y z w. [| w ∈ A2; <y,z> ∈ r1 |] ==> b(y,w) = b(z,w);
    !! y z w. [| w ∈ A1; <y,z> ∈ r2 |] ==> b(w,y) = b(w,z)
  [|] ==> congruent2(r1,r2,b)
⟨proof⟩

lemma congruent2-commuteI:
  assumes equivA: equiv(A,r)
    and commute: !! y z. [| y: A; z: A |] ==> b(y,z) = b(z,y)
    and cong: !! y z w. [| w: A; <y,z>: r |] ==> b(w,y) = b(w,z)
  shows b respects2 r
⟨proof⟩

lemma congruent-commuteI:
  [| equiv(A,r); Z: A//r;
    !!w. [| w: A |] ==> congruent(r, %z. b(w,z));
    !!x y. [| x: A; y: A |] ==> b(y,x) = b(x,y)
  [|] ==> congruent(r, %w. UN z: Z. b(w,z))
⟨proof⟩

end

```

30 The Integers as Equivalence Classes Over Pairs of Natural Numbers

theory *Int* **imports** *EquivClass ArithSimp* **begin**

definition

```

  intrel :: i where
    intrel == {p : (nat*nat)*(nat*nat).
      ∃ x1 y1 x2 y2. p=<<x1,y1>,<x2,y2>> & x1#+y2 = x2#+y1}

```

definition

```

  int :: i where
    int == (nat*nat)//intrel

```

definition

```

  int-of :: i=>i — coercion from nat to int    ($# - [80] 80) where
    $# m == intrel “ {<natify(m), 0>}

```

definition

```

  intify :: i=>i — coercion from ANYTHING to int where
    intify(m) == if m : int then m else $#0

```

definition

```

  raw-zminus :: i=>i where
    raw-zminus(z) == ⋃ <x,y>∈z. intrel“{<y,x>}

```

definition

$zminus :: i \Rightarrow i$ ($\$ - [80] 80$) **where**
 $\$ - z == raw-zminus (intify(z))$

definition

$znegative :: i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $znegative(z) == \exists x y. x < y \ \& \ y \in nat \ \& \ <x, y> \in z$

definition

$iszero :: i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $iszero(z) == z = \$\# 0$

definition

$raw-nat-of :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $raw-nat-of(z) == natify (\bigcup <x, y> \in z. x \# -y)$

definition

$nat-of :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $nat-of(z) == raw-nat-of (intify(z))$

definition

$zmagnitude :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 — could be replaced by an absolute value function from int to int?
 $zmagnitude(z) ==$
 $THE m. m \in nat \ \& \ ((\sim znegative(z) \ \& \ z = \$\# m) \mid$
 $(znegative(z) \ \& \ \$ - z = \$\# m))$

definition

$raw-zmult :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $raw-zmult(z1, z2) ==$
 $\bigcup p1 \in z1. \bigcup p2 \in z2. split(\%x1 \ y1. split(\%x2 \ y2.$
 $intrel''\{\<x1 \# * x2 \ \#+ \ y1 \# * y2, x1 \# * y2 \ \#+ \ y1 \# * x2>\}, p2), p1)$

definition

$zmult :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **(infixl $\$ * 70$) where**
 $z1 \ \$ * z2 == raw-zmult (intify(z1), intify(z2))$

definition

$raw-zadd :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $raw-zadd(z1, z2) ==$
 $\bigcup z1 \in z1. \bigcup z2 \in z2. let \ <x1, y1> = z1; \ <x2, y2> = z2$
 $in intrel''\{\<x1 \ \#+ \ x2, y1 \ \#+ \ y2>\}$

definition

$zadd :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **(infixl $\$ + 65$) where**
 $z1 \ \$ + z2 == raw-zadd (intify(z1), intify(z2))$

definition

$zdiff \quad :: \quad [i,i] => i \quad (\text{infixl } \$- \ 65) \quad \text{where}$
 $z1 \ \$- \ z2 == z1 \ \$+ \ zminus(z2)$

definition

$zless \quad :: \quad [i,i] => o \quad (\text{infixl } \$< \ 50) \quad \text{where}$
 $z1 \ \$< \ z2 == znegative(z1 \ \$- \ z2)$

definition

$zle \quad :: \quad [i,i] => o \quad (\text{infixl } \$\leq \ 50) \quad \text{where}$
 $z1 \ \$\leq \ z2 == z1 \ \$< \ z2 \mid \text{intify}(z1) = \text{intify}(z2)$

notation (*xsymbols*)

$zmult \ (\text{infixl } \$\times \ 70) \text{ and}$
 $zle \ (\text{infixl } \$\leq \ 50) \text{ — less than or equals}$

notation (*HTML output*)

$zmult \ (\text{infixl } \$\times \ 70) \text{ and}$
 $zle \ (\text{infixl } \$\leq \ 50)$

declare *quotientE* [*elim!*]

30.1 Proving that *intrel* is an equivalence relation

lemma *intrel-iff* [*simp*]:

$\langle\langle x1,y1\rangle,\langle x2,y2\rangle\rangle: \text{intrel } \langle-\rangle$
 $x1 \in \text{nat} \ \& \ y1 \in \text{nat} \ \& \ x2 \in \text{nat} \ \& \ y2 \in \text{nat} \ \& \ x1 \ \# + y2 = x2 \ \# + y1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *intrelI* [*intro!*]:

$[\mid x1 \ \# + y2 = x2 \ \# + y1; \ x1 \in \text{nat}; \ y1 \in \text{nat}; \ x2 \in \text{nat}; \ y2 \in \text{nat} \mid]$
 $\implies \langle\langle x1,y1\rangle,\langle x2,y2\rangle\rangle: \text{intrel}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *intrelE* [*elim!*]:

$[\mid p: \text{intrel};$
 $\quad !!x1 \ y1 \ x2 \ y2. [\mid p = \langle\langle x1,y1\rangle,\langle x2,y2\rangle\rangle; \ x1 \ \# + y2 = x2 \ \# + y1;$
 $\quad \quad \quad x1 \in \text{nat}; \ y1 \in \text{nat}; \ x2 \in \text{nat}; \ y2 \in \text{nat} \mid] \implies Q \mid]$
 $\implies Q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *int-trans-lemma*:

$[\mid x1 \ \# + y2 = x2 \ \# + y1; \ x2 \ \# + y3 = x3 \ \# + y2 \mid] \implies x1 \ \# + y3 = x3 \ \# + y1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equiv-intrel*: *equiv*(*nat*nat*, *intrel*)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image-intrel-int*: $[| m \in nat; n \in nat |] ==> intrel \text{ `` } \{<m,n>\} : int$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare *equiv-intrel* [*THEN eq-equiv-class-iff, simp*]
declare *conj-cong* [*cong*]

lemmas *eq-intrelD* = *eq-equiv-class* [*OF - equiv-intrel*]

lemma *int-of-type* [*simp, TC*]: $\$ \# m : int$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *int-of-eq* [*iff*]: $(\$ \# m = \$ \# n) <-> natify(m) = natify(n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *int-of-inject*: $[| \$ \# m = \$ \# n; m \in nat; n \in nat |] ==> m = n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *intify-in-int* [*iff, TC*]: *intify*(*x*) : *int*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *intify-ident* [*simp*]: $n : int ==> intify(n) = n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

30.2 Collapsing rules: to remove *intify* from arithmetic expressions

lemma *intify-idem* [*simp*]: *intify*(*intify*(*x*)) = *intify*(*x*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *int-of-natify* [*simp*]: $\$ \# (natify(m)) = \$ \# m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zminus-intify* [*simp*]: $\$ - (intify(m)) = \$ - m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zadd-intify1* [*simp*]: *intify*(*x*) $\$ + y = x \$ + y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zadd-intify2* [*simp*]: $x \$ + intify(y) = x \$ + y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiff-intify1* [simp]: $\text{intify}(x) \$- y = x \$- y$
 <proof>

lemma *zdiff-intify2* [simp]: $x \$- \text{intify}(y) = x \$- y$
 <proof>

lemma *zmult-intify1* [simp]: $\text{intify}(x) \$* y = x \$* y$
 <proof>

lemma *zmult-intify2* [simp]: $x \$* \text{intify}(y) = x \$* y$
 <proof>

lemma *zless-intify1* [simp]: $\text{intify}(x) \$< y \longleftrightarrow x \$< y$
 <proof>

lemma *zless-intify2* [simp]: $x \$< \text{intify}(y) \longleftrightarrow x \$< y$
 <proof>

lemma *zle-intify1* [simp]: $\text{intify}(x) \$\leq y \longleftrightarrow x \$\leq y$
 <proof>

lemma *zle-intify2* [simp]: $x \$\leq \text{intify}(y) \longleftrightarrow x \$\leq y$
 <proof>

30.3 *zminus*: unary negation on *int*

lemma *zminus-congruent*: $(\%<x,y>. \text{intrel}''\{<y,x>\})$ respects *intrel*
 <proof>

lemma *raw-zminus-type*: $z : \text{int} \implies \text{raw-zminus}(z) : \text{int}$
 <proof>

lemma *zminus-type* [TC,iff]: $\$-z : \text{int}$
 <proof>

lemma *raw-zminus-inject*:
 $[| \text{raw-zminus}(z) = \text{raw-zminus}(w); z : \text{int}; w : \text{int} |] \implies z = w$
 <proof>

lemma *zminus-inject-intify* [dest!]: $\$-z = \$-w \implies \text{intify}(z) = \text{intify}(w)$
 <proof>

lemma *zminus-inject*: $[\$-z = \$-w; z : \text{int}; w : \text{int}] \implies z = w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-zminus*:
 $[\$x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}] \implies \text{raw-zminus}(\text{intrel}''\{<x,y>\}) = \text{intrel}''\{<y,x>\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zminus*:
 $[\$x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}] \implies \$- (\text{intrel}''\{<x,y>\}) = \text{intrel}''\{<y,x>\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-zminus-zminus*: $z : \text{int} \implies \text{raw-zminus} (\text{raw-zminus}(z)) = z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zminus-zminus-intify* [simp]: $\$- (\$- z) = \text{intify}(z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zminus-int0* [simp]: $\$- (\$ \# 0) = \$ \# 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zminus-zminus*: $z : \text{int} \implies \$- (\$- z) = z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

30.4 *znegative*: the test for negative integers

lemma *znegative*: $[\$x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}] \implies \text{znegative}(\text{intrel}''\{<x,y>\}) <-> x < y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-znegative-int-of* [iff]: $\sim \text{znegative}(\$ \# n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *znegative-zminus-int-of* [simp]: $\text{znegative}(\$- \$ \# \text{succ}(n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-znegative-imp-zero*: $\sim \text{znegative}(\$- \$ \# n) \implies \text{natify}(n) = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

30.5 *nat-of*: Coercion of an Integer to a Natural Number

lemma *nat-of-intify* [simp]: $\text{nat-of}(\text{intify}(z)) = \text{nat-of}(z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-of-congruent*: $(\lambda x. (\lambda \langle x, y \rangle. x \# - y)(x))$ respects *intrel*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-nat-of*:
 $[\$x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}] \implies \text{raw-nat-of}(\text{intrel}''\{<x,y>\}) = x \# - y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-nat-of-int-of*: $\text{raw-nat-of}(\$ \# n) = \text{nativify}(n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-of-int-of* [*simp*]: $\text{nat-of}(\$ \# n) = \text{nativify}(n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-nat-of-type*: $\text{raw-nat-of}(z) \in \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-of-type* [*iff*, *TC*]: $\text{nat-of}(z) \in \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

30.6 zmagnitude: magnitide of an integer, as a natural number

lemma *zmagnitude-int-of* [*simp*]: $\text{zmagnitude}(\$ \# n) = \text{nativify}(n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nativify-int-of-eq*: $\text{nativify}(x) = n \implies \$ \# x = \$ \# n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmagnitude-zminus-int-of* [*simp*]: $\text{zmagnitude}(\$ - \$ \# n) = \text{nativify}(n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmagnitude-type* [*iff*, *TC*]: $\text{zmagnitude}(z) \in \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-zneg-int-of*:
 $[\mid z : \text{int}; \sim \text{znegative}(z) \mid] \implies \exists n \in \text{nat}. z = \$ \# n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-zneg-mag* [*simp*]:
 $[\mid z : \text{int}; \sim \text{znegative}(z) \mid] \implies \$ \# (\text{zmagnitude}(z)) = z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zneg-int-of*:
 $[\mid \text{znegative}(z); z : \text{int} \mid] \implies \exists n \in \text{nat}. z = \$ - (\$ \# \text{succ}(n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zneg-mag* [*simp*]:
 $[\mid \text{znegative}(z); z : \text{int} \mid] \implies \$ \# (\text{zmagnitude}(z)) = \$ - z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *int-cases*: $z : \text{int} \implies \exists n \in \text{nat}. z = \$ \# n \mid z = \$ - (\$ \# \text{succ}(n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-zneg-raw-nat-of*:
 $[\mid \sim \text{znegative}(z); z : \text{int} \mid] \implies \$ \# (\text{raw-nat-of}(z)) = z$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-zneg-nat-of-intify*:

$\sim \text{znegative}(\text{intify}(z)) \implies \$\# (\text{nat-of}(z)) = \text{intify}(z)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-zneg-nat-of*: $[\mid \sim \text{znegative}(z); z: \text{int} \mid] \implies \$\# (\text{nat-of}(z)) = z$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zneg-nat-of [simp]*: $\text{znegative}(\text{intify}(z)) \implies \text{nat-of}(z) = 0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

30.7 *op* \$+: addition on int

Congruence Property for Addition

lemma *zadd-congruent2*:

$(\%z1\ z2. \text{let } \langle x1, y1 \rangle = z1; \langle x2, y2 \rangle = z2$
 $\text{in } \text{intrel}'' \{ \langle x1 \# + x2, y1 \# + y2 \rangle \})$

respects2 *intrel*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-zadd-type*: $[\mid z: \text{int}; w: \text{int} \mid] \implies \text{raw-zadd}(z, w) : \text{int}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd-type [iff, TC]*: $z \$+ w : \text{int}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-zadd*:

$[\mid x1 \in \text{nat}; y1 \in \text{nat}; x2 \in \text{nat}; y2 \in \text{nat} \mid]$
 $\implies \text{raw-zadd} (\text{intrel}'' \{ \langle x1, y1 \rangle \}, \text{intrel}'' \{ \langle x2, y2 \rangle \}) =$
 $\text{intrel}'' \{ \langle x1 \# + x2, y1 \# + y2 \rangle \}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd*:

$[\mid x1 \in \text{nat}; y1 \in \text{nat}; x2 \in \text{nat}; y2 \in \text{nat} \mid]$
 $\implies (\text{intrel}'' \{ \langle x1, y1 \rangle \}) \$+ (\text{intrel}'' \{ \langle x2, y2 \rangle \}) =$
 $\text{intrel}'' \{ \langle x1 \# + x2, y1 \# + y2 \rangle \}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-zadd-int0*: $z : \text{int} \implies \text{raw-zadd} (\$ \# 0, z) = z$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd-int0-intify [simp]*: $\$ \# 0 \$+ z = \text{intify}(z)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd-int0*: $z: \text{int} \implies \$ \# 0 \$+ z = z$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-zminus-zadd-distrib*:

$\llbracket z: \text{int}; w: \text{int} \rrbracket \implies \$- \text{raw-zadd}(z, w) = \text{raw-zadd}(\$- z, \$- w)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zminus-zadd-distrib* [simp]: $\$- (z \$+ w) = \$- z \$+ \$- w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-zadd-commute*:
 $\llbracket z: \text{int}; w: \text{int} \rrbracket \implies \text{raw-zadd}(z, w) = \text{raw-zadd}(w, z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd-commute*: $z \$+ w = w \$+ z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-zadd-assoc*:
 $\llbracket z1: \text{int}; z2: \text{int}; z3: \text{int} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{raw-zadd} (\text{raw-zadd}(z1, z2), z3) = \text{raw-zadd}(z1, \text{raw-zadd}(z2, z3))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd-assoc*: $(z1 \$+ z2) \$+ z3 = z1 \$+ (z2 \$+ z3)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd-left-commute*: $z1 \$+ (z2 \$+ z3) = z2 \$+ (z1 \$+ z3)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *zadd-ac* = *zadd-assoc* *zadd-commute* *zadd-left-commute*

lemma *int-of-add*: $\$ \# (m \# + n) = (\$ \# m) \$+ (\$ \# n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *int-succ-int-1*: $\$ \# \text{succ}(m) = \$ \# 1 \$+ (\$ \# m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *int-of-diff*:
 $\llbracket m \in \text{nat}; n \leq m \rrbracket \implies \$ \# (m \# - n) = (\$ \# m) \$- (\$ \# n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-zadd-zminus-inverse*: $z : \text{int} \implies \text{raw-zadd} (z, \$- z) = \$ \# 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd-zminus-inverse* [simp]: $z \$+ (\$- z) = \$ \# 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd-zminus-inverse2* [simp]: $(\$- z) \$+ z = \$ \# 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd-int0-right-intify* [simp]: $z \$+ \$ \# 0 = \text{intify}(z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd-int0-right*: $z : \text{int} \implies z \ \$ + \ \$ \# 0 = z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

30.8 *op* $\$ \times$: Integer Multiplication

Congruence property for multiplication

lemma *zmult-congruent2*:
 $(\%p1 \ p2. \text{split}(\%x1 \ y1. \text{split}(\%x2 \ y2. \\
\text{intrel}''\{\langle x1 \ \# * x2 \ \# + \ y1 \ \# * y2, \ x1 \ \# * y2 \ \# + \ y1 \ \# * x2 \rangle\}, p2), p1)) \\
\text{respects2} \ \text{intrel}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-zmult-type*: $[| \ z : \text{int}; \ w : \text{int} \ |] \implies \text{raw-zmult}(z, w) : \text{int}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-type* [*iff*, *TC*]: $z \ \$ * \ w : \text{int}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-zmult*:
 $[| \ x1 \in \text{nat}; \ y1 \in \text{nat}; \ x2 \in \text{nat}; \ y2 \in \text{nat} \ |] \\
\implies \text{raw-zmult}(\text{intrel}''\{\langle x1, y1 \rangle\}, \text{intrel}''\{\langle x2, y2 \rangle\}) = \\
\text{intrel}''\{\langle x1 \ \# * x2 \ \# + \ y1 \ \# * y2, \ x1 \ \# * y2 \ \# + \ y1 \ \# * x2 \rangle\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult*:
 $[| \ x1 \in \text{nat}; \ y1 \in \text{nat}; \ x2 \in \text{nat}; \ y2 \in \text{nat} \ |] \\
\implies (\text{intrel}''\{\langle x1, y1 \rangle\}) \ \$ * \ (\text{intrel}''\{\langle x2, y2 \rangle\}) = \\
\text{intrel}''\{\langle x1 \ \# * x2 \ \# + \ y1 \ \# * y2, \ x1 \ \# * y2 \ \# + \ y1 \ \# * x2 \rangle\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-zmult-int0*: $z : \text{int} \implies \text{raw-zmult} (\$ \# 0, z) = \$ \# 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-int0* [*simp*]: $\$ \# 0 \ \$ * \ z = \$ \# 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-zmult-int1*: $z : \text{int} \implies \text{raw-zmult} (\$ \# 1, z) = z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-int1-intify* [*simp*]: $\$ \# 1 \ \$ * \ z = \text{intify}(z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-int1*: $z : \text{int} \implies \$ \# 1 \ \$ * \ z = z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-zmult-commute*:
 $[| \ z : \text{int}; \ w : \text{int} \ |] \implies \text{raw-zmult}(z, w) = \text{raw-zmult}(w, z)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-commute*: $z \ \$* \ w = w \ \$* \ z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *raw-zmult-zminus*:
[[$z: int; \ w: int$]] $\implies raw_zmult(\$- \ z, \ w) = \$- \ raw_zmult(z, \ w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zminus [simp]*: $(\$- \ z) \ \$* \ w = \$- \ (z \ \$* \ w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zminus-right [simp]*: $w \ \$* \ (\$- \ z) = \$- \ (w \ \$* \ z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *raw-zmult-assoc*:
[[$z1: int; \ z2: int; \ z3: int$]]
 $\implies raw_zmult \ (raw_zmult(z1, z2), z3) = raw_zmult(z1, raw_zmult(z2, z3))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-assoc*: $(z1 \ \$* \ z2) \ \$* \ z3 = z1 \ \$* \ (z2 \ \$* \ z3)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-left-commute*: $z1 \ \$* \ (z2 \ \$* \ z3) = z2 \ \$* \ (z1 \ \$* \ z3)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *zmult-ac = zmult-assoc zmult-commute zmult-left-commute*

lemma *raw-zadd-zmult-distrib*:
[[$z1: int; \ z2: int; \ w: int$]]
 $\implies raw_zmult(raw_zadd(z1, z2), w) =$
 $raw_zadd \ (raw_zmult(z1, w), raw_zmult(z2, w))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zadd-zmult-distrib*: $(z1 \ \$+ \ z2) \ \$* \ w = (z1 \ \$* \ w) \ \$+ \ (z2 \ \$* \ w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zadd-zmult-distrib2*: $w \ \$* \ (z1 \ \$+ \ z2) = (w \ \$* \ z1) \ \$+ \ (w \ \$* \ z2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *int-typechecks =*
int-of-type zminus-type zmagnitude-type zadd-type zmult-type

lemma *zdiff-type [iff, TC]*: $z \ \$- \ w : int$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zminus-zdiff-eq [simp]*: $\$- (z \$- y) = y \$- z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiff-zmult-distrib*: $(z1 \$- z2) \$* w = (z1 \$* w) \$- (z2 \$* w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiff-zmult-distrib2*: $w \$* (z1 \$- z2) = (w \$* z1) \$- (w \$* z2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zadd-zdiff-eq*: $x \$+ (y \$- z) = (x \$+ y) \$- z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiff-zadd-eq*: $(x \$- y) \$+ z = (x \$+ z) \$- y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

30.9 The "Less Than" Relation

lemma *zless-linear-lemma*:
 $[| z: int; w: int |] ==> z \$< w \mid z=w \mid w \$< z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zless-linear*: $z \$< w \mid intify(z)=intify(w) \mid w \$< z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zless-not-refl [iff]*: $\sim (z \$< z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *neq-iff-zless*: $[| x: int; y: int |] ==> (x \sim y) <-> (x \$< y \mid y \$< x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zless-imp-intify-neq*: $w \$< z ==> intify(w) \sim intify(z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zless-imp-succ-zadd-lemma*:
 $[| w \$< z; w: int; z: int |] ==> (\exists n \in nat. z = w \$+ \$\#(succ(n)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zless-imp-succ-zadd*:
 $w \$< z ==> (\exists n \in nat. w \$+ \$\#(succ(n)) = intify(z))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zless-succ-zadd-lemma*:
 $w : int ==> w \$< w \$+ \$\# succ(n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zless-succ-zadd*: $w \$< w \$+ \$\# succ(n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zless-iff-succ-zadd*:

$w \mathrel{\$<} z \iff (\exists n \in \text{nat}. w \mathrel{\$+} \mathrel{\$ \#}(succ(n)) = \text{intify}(z))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zless-int-of [simp]*: $[[m \in \text{nat}; n \in \text{nat}]] \implies (\mathrel{\$ \#}m \mathrel{\$<} \mathrel{\$ \#}n) \iff (m < n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zless-trans-lemma*:

$[[x \mathrel{\$<} y; y \mathrel{\$<} z; x: \text{int}; y: \text{int}; z: \text{int}]] \implies x \mathrel{\$<} z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zless-trans*: $[[x \mathrel{\$<} y; y \mathrel{\$<} z]] \implies x \mathrel{\$<} z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zless-not-sym*: $z \mathrel{\$<} w \implies \sim (w \mathrel{\$<} z)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *zless-asym* = *zless-not-sym* [*THEN* *swap*, *standard*]

lemma *zless-imp-zle*: $z \mathrel{\$<} w \implies z \mathrel{\$ \leq} w$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zle-linear*: $z \mathrel{\$ \leq} w \mid w \mathrel{\$ \leq} z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

30.10 Less Than or Equals

lemma *zle-refl*: $z \mathrel{\$ \leq} z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zle-eq-refl*: $x=y \implies x \mathrel{\$ \leq} y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zle-anti-sym-intify*: $[[x \mathrel{\$ \leq} y; y \mathrel{\$ \leq} x]] \implies \text{intify}(x) = \text{intify}(y)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zle-anti-sym*: $[[x \mathrel{\$ \leq} y; y \mathrel{\$ \leq} x; x: \text{int}; y: \text{int}]] \implies x=y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zle-trans-lemma*:

$[[x: \text{int}; y: \text{int}; z: \text{int}; x \mathrel{\$ \leq} y; y \mathrel{\$ \leq} z]] \implies x \mathrel{\$ \leq} z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zle-trans*: $[[x \mathrel{\$ \leq} y; y \mathrel{\$ \leq} z]] \implies x \mathrel{\$ \leq} z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zle-zless-trans*: $[| i \$\leq j; j \$\leq k |] ==> i \$\leq k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zless-zle-trans*: $[| i \$\leq j; j \$\leq k |] ==> i \$\leq k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-zless-iff-zle*: $\sim (z \$\leq w) <-> (w \$\leq z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *not-zle-iff-zless*: $\sim (z \$\leq w) <-> (w \$\leq z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

30.11 More subtraction laws (for *zcompare-rls*)

lemma *zdiff-zdiff-eq*: $(x \$- y) \$- z = x \$- (y \$+ z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiff-zdiff-eq2*: $x \$- (y \$- z) = (x \$+ z) \$- y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiff-zless-iff*: $(x \$- y \$\leq z) <-> (x \$\leq z \$+ y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zless-zdiff-iff*: $(x \$\leq z \$- y) <-> (x \$+ y \$\leq z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiff-eq-iff*: $[| x: int; z: int |] ==> (x \$- y = z) <-> (x = z \$+ y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eq-zdiff-iff*: $[| x: int; z: int |] ==> (x = z \$- y) <-> (x \$+ y = z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiff-zle-iff-lemma*:
 $[| x: int; z: int |] ==> (x \$- y \$\leq z) <-> (x \$\leq z \$+ y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiff-zle-iff*: $(x \$- y \$\leq z) <-> (x \$\leq z \$+ y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zle-zdiff-iff-lemma*:
 $[| x: int; z: int |] ==> (x \$\leq z \$- y) <-> (x \$+ y \$\leq z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zle-zdiff-iff*: $(x \$\leq z \$- y) <-> (x \$+ y \$\leq z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

This list of rewrites simplifies (in)equalities by bringing subtractions to the top and then moving negative terms to the other side. Use with *zadd-ac*

lemmas *zcompare-rls* =

$zdiff-def$ [symmetric]
 $zadd-zdiff-eq$ $zdiff-zadd-eq$ $zdiff-zdiff-eq$ $zdiff-zdiff-eq2$
 $zdiff-zless-iff$ $zless-zdiff-iff$ $zdiff-zle-iff$ $zle-zdiff-iff$
 $zdiff-eq-iff$ $eq-zdiff-iff$

30.12 Monotonicity and Cancellation Results for Instantiation of the CancelNumerals Simprocs

lemma $zadd-left-cancel$:

$[| w: int; w': int |] ==> (z \$+ w' = z \$+ w) <-> (w' = w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $zadd-left-cancel-intify$ [simp]:

$(z \$+ w' = z \$+ w) <-> intify(w') = intify(w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $zadd-right-cancel$:

$[| w: int; w': int |] ==> (w' \$+ z = w \$+ z) <-> (w' = w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $zadd-right-cancel-intify$ [simp]:

$(w' \$+ z = w \$+ z) <-> intify(w') = intify(w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $zadd-right-cancel-zless$ [simp]: $(w' \$+ z \$< w \$+ z) <-> (w' \$< w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $zadd-left-cancel-zless$ [simp]: $(z \$+ w' \$< z \$+ w) <-> (w' \$< w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $zadd-right-cancel-zle$ [simp]: $(w' \$+ z \$<= w \$+ z) <-> w' \$<= w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $zadd-left-cancel-zle$ [simp]: $(z \$+ w' \$<= z \$+ w) <-> w' \$<= w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $zadd-zless-mono1 = zadd-right-cancel-zless$ [THEN $iffD2$, standard]

lemmas $zadd-zless-mono2 = zadd-left-cancel-zless$ [THEN $iffD2$, standard]

lemmas $zadd-zle-mono1 = zadd-right-cancel-zle$ [THEN $iffD2$, standard]

lemmas $zadd-zle-mono2 = zadd-left-cancel-zle$ [THEN $iffD2$, standard]

lemma *zadd-zle-mono*: $[[w' \$\leq w; z' \$\leq z]] ==> w' \$+ z' \$\leq w \$+ z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zadd-zless-mono*: $[[w' \$< w; z' \$\leq z]] ==> w' \$+ z' \$< w \$+ z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

30.13 Comparison laws

lemma *zminus-zless-zminus* [*simp*]: $(\$- x \$< \$- y) <-> (y \$< x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zminus-zle-zminus* [*simp*]: $(\$- x \$\leq \$- y) <-> (y \$\leq x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

30.13.1 More inequality lemmas

lemma *equation-zminus*: $[[x: int; y: int]] ==> (x = \$- y) <-> (y = \$- x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zminus-equation*: $[[x: int; y: int]] ==> (\$- x = y) <-> (\$- y = x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *equation-zminus-intify*: $(intify(x) = \$- y) <-> (intify(y) = \$- x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zminus-equation-intify*: $(\$- x = intify(y)) <-> (\$- y = intify(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

30.13.2 The next several equations are permutative: watch out!

lemma *zless-zminus*: $(x \$< \$- y) <-> (y \$< \$- x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zminus-zless*: $(\$- x \$< y) <-> (\$- y \$< x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zle-zminus*: $(x \$\leq \$- y) <-> (y \$\leq \$- x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zminus-zle*: $(\$- x \$\leq y) <-> (\$- y \$\leq x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

31 Arithmetic on Binary Integers

theory *Bin*
imports *Int Datatype*

uses *Tools/numeral-syntax.ML*
begin

consts *bin* :: *i*
datatype
bin = *Pls*
| *Min*
| *Bit* (*w*: *bin*, *b*: *bool*) (**infixl** *BIT* 90)

syntax
-Int :: *xnum* => *i* (-)

consts
integ-of :: *i* => *i*
NCons :: [*i*,*i*] => *i*
bin-succ :: *i* => *i*
bin-pred :: *i* => *i*
bin-minus :: *i* => *i*
bin-adder :: *i* => *i*
bin-mult :: [*i*,*i*] => *i*

primrec
integ-of-Pls: *integ-of* (*Pls*) = \$# 0
integ-of-Min: *integ-of* (*Min*) = \$-(#1)
integ-of-BIT: *integ-of* (*w BIT b*) = \$#*b* \$+ *integ-of*(*w*) \$+ *integ-of*(*w*)

primrec
NCons-Pls: *NCons* (*Pls*,*b*) = *cond*(*b*,*Pls BIT b*,*Pls*)
NCons-Min: *NCons* (*Min*,*b*) = *cond*(*b*,*Min*,*Min BIT b*)
NCons-BIT: *NCons* (*w BIT c*,*b*) = *w BIT c BIT b*

primrec
bin-succ-Pls: *bin-succ* (*Pls*) = *Pls BIT 1*
bin-succ-Min: *bin-succ* (*Min*) = *Pls*
bin-succ-BIT: *bin-succ* (*w BIT b*) = *cond*(*b*, *bin-succ*(*w*) *BIT* 0, *NCons*(*w*,1))

primrec
bin-pred-Pls: *bin-pred* (*Pls*) = *Min*
bin-pred-Min: *bin-pred* (*Min*) = *Min BIT 0*
bin-pred-BIT: *bin-pred* (*w BIT b*) = *cond*(*b*, *NCons*(*w*,0), *bin-pred*(*w*) *BIT* 1)

primrec
bin-minus-Pls:
bin-minus (*Pls*) = *Pls*
bin-minus-Min:
bin-minus (*Min*) = *Pls BIT 1*
bin-minus-BIT:

$$\text{bin-minus } (w \text{ BIT } b) = \text{cond}(b, \text{bin-pred}(N\text{Cons}(\text{bin-minus}(w), 0)), \text{bin-minus}(w) \text{ BIT } 0)$$

primrec

bin-adder-Pls:
 $\text{bin-adder } (Pls) = (\text{lam } w:\text{bin. } w)$
bin-adder-Min:
 $\text{bin-adder } (Min) = (\text{lam } w:\text{bin. } \text{bin-pred}(w))$
bin-adder-BIT:
 $\text{bin-adder } (v \text{ BIT } x) =$
 $(\text{lam } w:\text{bin.}$
 $\text{bin-case } (v \text{ BIT } x, \text{bin-pred}(v \text{ BIT } x),$
 $\%w y. N\text{Cons}(\text{bin-adder } (v) \text{ ' cond}(x \text{ and } y, \text{bin-succ}(w), w),$
 $x \text{ xor } y),$
 $w))$

definition

$\text{bin-add} :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{bin-add}(v, w) == \text{bin-adder}(v) \text{ ' } w$

primrec

bin-mult-Pls:
 $\text{bin-mult } (Pls, w) = Pls$
bin-mult-Min:
 $\text{bin-mult } (Min, w) = \text{bin-minus}(w)$
bin-mult-BIT:
 $\text{bin-mult } (v \text{ BIT } b, w) = \text{cond}(b, \text{bin-add}(N\text{Cons}(\text{bin-mult}(v, w), 0), w),$
 $N\text{Cons}(\text{bin-mult}(v, w), 0))$

$\langle ML \rangle$

declare *bin.intros* [*simp*, *TC*]

lemma *NCons-Pls-0*: $N\text{Cons}(Pls, 0) = Pls$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *NCons-Pls-1*: $N\text{Cons}(Pls, 1) = Pls \text{ BIT } 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *NCons-Min-0*: $N\text{Cons}(Min, 0) = Min \text{ BIT } 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *NCons-Min-1*: $N\text{Cons}(Min, 1) = Min$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *NCons-BIT*: $NCons(w \text{ BIT } x, b) = w \text{ BIT } x \text{ BIT } b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *NCons-simps* [*simp*] =
NCons-Pls-0 NCons-Pls-1 NCons-Min-0 NCons-Min-1 NCons-BIT

lemma *integ-of-type* [*TC*]: $w: bin \implies integ\text{-}of(w) : int$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *NCons-type* [*TC*]: $[| w: bin; b: bool |] \implies NCons(w, b) : bin$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-succ-type* [*TC*]: $w: bin \implies bin\text{-}succ(w) : bin$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-pred-type* [*TC*]: $w: bin \implies bin\text{-}pred(w) : bin$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-minus-type* [*TC*]: $w: bin \implies bin\text{-}minus(w) : bin$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-add-type* [*rule-format, TC*]:
 $v: bin \implies ALL w: bin. bin\text{-}add(v, w) : bin$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-mult-type* [*TC*]: $[| v: bin; w: bin |] \implies bin\text{-}mult(v, w) : bin$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

31.0.3 The Carry and Borrow Functions, *bin-succ* and *bin-pred*

lemma *integ-of-NCons* [*simp*]:
 $[| w: bin; b: bool |] \implies integ\text{-}of(NCons(w, b)) = integ\text{-}of(w \text{ BIT } b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *integ-of-succ* [*simp*]:
 $w: bin \implies integ\text{-}of(bin\text{-}succ(w)) = \$\#1 \ \$+ \ integ\text{-}of(w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *integ-of-pred* [*simp*]:
 $w: bin \implies integ\text{-}of(bin\text{-}pred(w)) = \$- \ (\$ \#1) \ \$+ \ integ\text{-}of(w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

31.0.4 *bin-minus*: Unary Negation of Binary Integers

lemma *integ-of-minus*: $w: bin \implies integ\text{-}of(bin\text{-}minus(w)) = \$- \ integ\text{-}of(w)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

31.0.5 *bin-add*: Binary Addition

lemma *bin-add-Pls* [simp]: $w: bin \implies bin-add(Pls, w) = w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-add-Pls-right*: $w: bin \implies bin-add(w, Pls) = w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-add-Min* [simp]: $w: bin \implies bin-add(Min, w) = bin-pred(w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-add-Min-right*: $w: bin \implies bin-add(w, Min) = bin-pred(w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-add-BIT-Pls* [simp]: $bin-add(v BIT x, Pls) = v BIT x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-add-BIT-Min* [simp]: $bin-add(v BIT x, Min) = bin-pred(v BIT x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-add-BIT-BIT* [simp]:
 $[| w: bin; y: bool |]$
 $\implies bin-add(v BIT x, w BIT y) =$
 $NCons(bin-add(v, cond(x \text{ and } y, bin-succ(w), w)), x xor y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *integ-of-add* [rule-format]:
 $v: bin \implies$
 $ALL w: bin. integ-of(bin-add(v, w)) = integ-of(v) \$+ integ-of(w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *diff-integ-of-eq*:
 $[| v: bin; w: bin |]$
 $\implies integ-of(v) \$- integ-of(w) = integ-of(bin-add(v, bin-minus(w)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

31.0.6 *bin-mult*: Binary Multiplication

lemma *integ-of-mult*:
 $[| v: bin; w: bin |]$
 $\implies integ-of(bin-mult(v, w)) = integ-of(v) \$* integ-of(w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

31.1 Computations

lemma *bin-succ-1*: $bin-succ(w BIT 1) = bin-succ(w) BIT 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bin-succ-0*: $\text{bin-succ}(w \text{ BIT } 0) = \text{NCons}(w, 1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bin-pred-1*: $\text{bin-pred}(w \text{ BIT } 1) = \text{NCons}(w, 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bin-pred-0*: $\text{bin-pred}(w \text{ BIT } 0) = \text{bin-pred}(w) \text{ BIT } 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bin-minus-1*: $\text{bin-minus}(w \text{ BIT } 1) = \text{bin-pred}(\text{NCons}(\text{bin-minus}(w), 0))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bin-minus-0*: $\text{bin-minus}(w \text{ BIT } 0) = \text{bin-minus}(w) \text{ BIT } 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bin-add-BIT-11*: $w: \text{bin} \implies \text{bin-add}(v \text{ BIT } 1, w \text{ BIT } 1) =$
 $\text{NCons}(\text{bin-add}(v, \text{bin-succ}(w)), 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bin-add-BIT-10*: $w: \text{bin} \implies \text{bin-add}(v \text{ BIT } 1, w \text{ BIT } 0) =$
 $\text{NCons}(\text{bin-add}(v, w), 1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bin-add-BIT-0*: $[| w: \text{bin}; y: \text{bool} |]$
 $\implies \text{bin-add}(v \text{ BIT } 0, w \text{ BIT } y) = \text{NCons}(\text{bin-add}(v, w), y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bin-mult-1*: $\text{bin-mult}(v \text{ BIT } 1, w) = \text{bin-add}(\text{NCons}(\text{bin-mult}(v, w), 0), w)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *bin-mult-0*: $\text{bin-mult}(v \text{ BIT } 0, w) = \text{NCons}(\text{bin-mult}(v, w), 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *int-of-0*: $\$ \# 0 = \# 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *int-of-succ*: $\$ \# \text{succ}(n) = \# 1 \$ + \$ \# n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zminus-0* [*simp*]: $\$ - \#0 = \#0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zadd-0-intify* [*simp*]: $\#0 \$ + z = intify(z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zadd-0-right-intify* [*simp*]: $z \$ + \#0 = intify(z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-1-intify* [*simp*]: $\#1 \$ * z = intify(z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-1-right-intify* [*simp*]: $z \$ * \#1 = intify(z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-0* [*simp*]: $\#0 \$ * z = \#0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-0-right* [*simp*]: $z \$ * \#0 = \#0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-minus1* [*simp*]: $\#-1 \$ * z = \$-z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-minus1-right* [*simp*]: $z \$ * \#-1 = \$-z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

31.2 Simplification Rules for Comparison of Binary Numbers

Thanks to Norbert Voelker

lemma *eq-integ-of-eq*:

$$[[v: bin; w: bin]] \\ ==> ((integ-of(v)) = integ-of(w)) <-> \\ iszero (integ-of (bin-add (v, bin-minus(w))))$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *iszero-integ-of-Pls*: $iszero (integ-of(Pls))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nonzero-integ-of-Min*: $\sim iszero (integ-of(Min))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *iszero-integ-of-BIT*:

$$[[w: bin; x: bool]] \\ ==> iszero (integ-of (w BIT x)) <-> (x=0 \& iszero (integ-of(w)))$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *iszero-integ-of-0*:
 $w: \text{bin} \implies \text{iszero} (\text{integ-of } (w \text{ BIT } 0)) \iff \text{iszero} (\text{integ-of}(w))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iszero-integ-of-1*: $w: \text{bin} \implies \sim \text{iszero} (\text{integ-of } (w \text{ BIT } 1))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *less-integ-of-eq-neg*:
 $[[v: \text{bin}; w: \text{bin}]]$
 $\implies \text{integ-of}(v) \$< \text{integ-of}(w)$
 $\iff \text{znegative} (\text{integ-of } (\text{bin-add } (v, \text{bin-minus}(w))))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-neg-integ-of-Pls*: $\sim \text{znegative} (\text{integ-of}(Pls))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *neg-integ-of-Min*: $\text{znegative} (\text{integ-of}(Min))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *neg-integ-of-BIT*:
 $[[w: \text{bin}; x: \text{bool}]]$
 $\implies \text{znegative} (\text{integ-of } (w \text{ BIT } x)) \iff \text{znegative} (\text{integ-of}(w))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *le-integ-of-eq-not-less*:
 $(\text{integ-of}(x) \$\leq (\text{integ-of}(w))) \iff \sim (\text{integ-of}(w) \$< (\text{integ-of}(x)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare *bin-succ-BIT* [*simp del*]
bin-pred-BIT [*simp del*]
bin-minus-BIT [*simp del*]
NCons-Pls [*simp del*]
NCons-Min [*simp del*]
bin-adder-BIT [*simp del*]
bin-mult-BIT [*simp del*]

declare *integ-of-Pls* [*simp del*] *integ-of-Min* [*simp del*] *integ-of-BIT* [*simp del*]

lemmas *bin-arith-extra-simps* =

integ-of-add [symmetric]
integ-of-minus [symmetric]
integ-of-mult [symmetric]
bin-succ-1 *bin-succ-0*
bin-pred-1 *bin-pred-0*
bin-minus-1 *bin-minus-0*
bin-add-Pls-right *bin-add-Min-right*
bin-add-BIT-0 *bin-add-BIT-10* *bin-add-BIT-11*
diff-integ-of-eq
bin-mult-1 *bin-mult-0* *NCons-simps*

lemmas *bin-arith-simps* =
bin-pred-Pls *bin-pred-Min*
bin-succ-Pls *bin-succ-Min*
bin-add-Pls *bin-add-Min*
bin-minus-Pls *bin-minus-Min*
bin-mult-Pls *bin-mult-Min*
bin-arith-extra-simps

lemmas *bin-rel-simps* =
eq-integ-of-eq *iszero-integ-of-Pls* *nonzero-integ-of-Min*
iszero-integ-of-0 *iszero-integ-of-1*
less-integ-of-eq-neg
not-neg-integ-of-Pls *neg-integ-of-Min* *neg-integ-of-BIT*
le-integ-of-eq-not-less

declare *bin-arith-simps* [simp]
declare *bin-rel-simps* [simp]

lemma *add-integ-of-left* [simp]:

$$[[\ v: \text{bin};\ w: \text{bin}\]]$$

$$\implies \text{integ-of}(v) \$+ (\text{integ-of}(w) \$+ z) = (\text{integ-of}(\text{bin-add}(v,w)) \$+ z)$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *mult-integ-of-left* [simp]:

$$[[\ v: \text{bin};\ w: \text{bin}\]]$$

$$\implies \text{integ-of}(v) \$* (\text{integ-of}(w) \$* z) = (\text{integ-of}(\text{bin-mult}(v,w)) \$* z)$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *add-integ-of-diff1* [simp]:

$$[[\ v: \text{bin};\ w: \text{bin}\]]$$

$$\implies \text{integ-of}(v) \$+ (\text{integ-of}(w) \$- c) = \text{integ-of}(\text{bin-add}(v,w)) \$- (c)$$

$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

lemma *add-integ-of-diff2* [*simp*]:

[[*v*: *bin*; *w*: *bin*]]
 $\implies \text{integ-of}(v) \$+ (c \$- \text{integ-of}(w)) =$
 $\text{integ-of} (\text{bin-add } (v, \text{bin-minus}(w))) \$+ (c)$
 <proof>

declare *int-of-0* [*simp*] *int-of-succ* [*simp*]

lemma *zdiff0* [*simp*]: $\#0 \$- x = \$-x$
 <proof>

lemma *zdiff0-right* [*simp*]: $x \$- \#0 = \text{intify}(x)$
 <proof>

lemma *zdiff-self* [*simp*]: $x \$- x = \#0$
 <proof>

lemma *znegative-iff-zless-0*: $k: \text{int} \implies \text{znegative}(k) <-> k \$< \#0$
 <proof>

lemma *zero-zless-imp-znegative-zminus*: [[$\#0 \$< k$; $k: \text{int}$]] $\implies \text{znegative}(\$-k)$
 <proof>

lemma *zero-zle-int-of* [*simp*]: $\#0 \$<= \$\# n$
 <proof>

lemma *nat-of-0* [*simp*]: $\text{nat-of}(\#0) = 0$
 <proof>

lemma *nat-le-int0-lemma*: [[$z \$<= \$\#0$; $z: \text{int}$]] $\implies \text{nat-of}(z) = 0$
 <proof>

lemma *nat-le-int0*: $z \$<= \$\#0 \implies \text{nat-of}(z) = 0$
 <proof>

lemma *int-of-eq-0-imp-natify-eq-0*: $\#\# n = \#0 \implies \text{natify}(n) = 0$
 <proof>

lemma *nat-of-zminus-int-of*: $\text{nat-of}(\$- \#\# n) = 0$
 <proof>

lemma *int-of-nat-of*: $\#0 \$<= z \implies \#\# \text{nat-of}(z) = \text{intify}(z)$
 <proof>

declare *int-of-nat-of* [*simp*] *nat-of-zminus-int-of* [*simp*]

lemma *int-of-nat-of-if*: $\$ \# \text{ nat-of}(z) = (\text{if } \#0 \ \$ \leq z \text{ then } \text{intify}(z) \text{ else } \#0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zless-nat-iff-int-zless*: $[[\ m: \text{nat}; z: \text{int} \]] \implies (m < \text{nat-of}(z)) <-> (\$ \# m \ \$ < z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zless-nat-conj-lemma*: $\$ \# 0 \ \$ < z \implies (\text{nat-of}(w) < \text{nat-of}(z)) <-> (w \ \$ < z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zless-nat-conj*: $(\text{nat-of}(w) < \text{nat-of}(z)) <-> (\$ \# 0 \ \$ < z \ \& \ w \ \$ < z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *integ-of-minus-reorient* [*simp*]:
 $(\text{integ-of}(w) = \$ - x) <-> (\$ - x = \text{integ-of}(w))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *integ-of-add-reorient* [*simp*]:
 $(\text{integ-of}(w) = x \ \$ + y) <-> (x \ \$ + y = \text{integ-of}(w))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *integ-of-diff-reorient* [*simp*]:
 $(\text{integ-of}(w) = x \ \$ - y) <-> (x \ \$ - y = \text{integ-of}(w))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *integ-of-mult-reorient* [*simp*]:
 $(\text{integ-of}(w) = x \ \$ * y) <-> (x \ \$ * y = \text{integ-of}(w))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

theory *IntArith* **imports** *Bin*
uses *int-arith.ML* **begin**

end

32 The Division Operators Div and Mod

theory *IntDiv* **imports** *IntArith OrderArith* **begin**

definition

$quorem :: [i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $quorem == \%<a, b> <q, r>.$
 $a = b\$*q \$+ r \ \&$
 $(\#0\$<b \ \& \ \#0\$<=r \ \& \ r\$<b \mid \sim(\#0\$<b) \ \& \ b\$<r \ \& \ r \$<= \#0)$

definition

$adjust :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $adjust(b) == \%<q, r>. \text{ if } \#0 \$<= r\$-b \text{ then } <\#2\$*q \$+ \#1, r\$-b>$
 $\text{ else } <\#2\$*q, r>$

definition

$posDivAlg :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$posDivAlg(ab) ==$
 $wfrec(measure(int*int, \%<a, b>. \text{ nat-of } (a \$- b \$+ \#1)),$
 $ab,$
 $\%<a, b> f. \text{ if } (a\$<b \mid b\$<=\#0) \text{ then } <\#0, a>$
 $\text{ else } adjust(b, f \text{ ‘ } <a, \#2\$*b>))$

definition

$negDivAlg :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$negDivAlg(ab) ==$
 $wfrec(measure(int*int, \%<a, b>. \text{ nat-of } (\$- a \$- b)),$
 $ab,$
 $\%<a, b> f. \text{ if } (\#0 \$<= a\$+b \mid b\$<=\#0) \text{ then } <\#-1, a\$+b>$
 $\text{ else } adjust(b, f \text{ ‘ } <a, \#2\$*b>))$

definition

$negateSnd :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $negateSnd == \%<q, r>. <q, \$-r>$

definition

$divAlg :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $divAlg ==$
 $\%<a, b>. \text{ if } \#0 \$<= a \text{ then}$
 $\text{ if } \#0 \$<= b \text{ then } posDivAlg (<a, b>)$
 $\text{ else if } a=\#0 \text{ then } <\#0, \#0>$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{else } \text{negateSnd } (\text{negDivAlg } (<\$-a, \$-b>)) \\ \text{else} & \\ & \text{if } \#0 \$< b \text{ then } \text{negDivAlg } (<a, b>) \\ & \text{else } \text{negateSnd } (\text{posDivAlg } (<\$-a, \$-b>)) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{zdiv} &:: [i, i] \Rightarrow i & (\text{infixl } \text{zdiv } 70) & \text{ where} \\ a \text{ zdiv } b &== \text{fst } (\text{divAlg } (<\text{intify}(a), \text{intify}(b)>)) \end{aligned}$$

definition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{zmod} &:: [i, i] \Rightarrow i & (\text{infixl } \text{zmod } 70) & \text{ where} \\ a \text{ zmod } b &== \text{snd } (\text{divAlg } (<\text{intify}(a), \text{intify}(b)>)) \end{aligned}$$

lemma *zspos-add-zspos-imp-zspos*: $[\#0 \$< x; \#0 \$< y] \Rightarrow \#0 \$< x \$+ y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zpos-add-zpos-imp-zpos*: $[\#0 \$<= x; \#0 \$<= y] \Rightarrow \#0 \$<= x \$+ y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zneg-add-zneg-imp-zneg*: $[x \$< \#0; y \$< \#0] \Rightarrow x \$+ y \$< \#0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zneg-or-0-add-zneg-or-0-imp-zneg-or-0*:
 $[\#0 \$<= x; \#0 \$<= y] \Rightarrow x \$+ y \$<= \#0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zero-lt-zmagnitude*: $[\#0 \$< k; k \in \text{int}] \Rightarrow 0 < \text{zmagnitude}(k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zless-add-succ-iff*:
 $(w \$< z \$+ \$\# \text{succ}(m)) <-> (w \$< z \$+ \$\#m \mid \text{intify}(w) = z \$+ \$\#m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd-succ-lemma*:
 $z \in \text{int} \Rightarrow (w \$+ \$\# \text{succ}(m) \$<= z) <-> (w \$+ \$\#m \$< z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd-succ-zle-iff*: $(w \$+ \$\# \text{succ}(m) \$<= z) <-> (w \$+ \$\#m \$< z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zless-add1-iff-zle*: $(w \leq z + \#1) \leftrightarrow (w \leq z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add1-zle-iff*: $(w + \#1 \leq z) \leftrightarrow (w \leq z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *add1-left-zle-iff*: $(\#1 + w \leq z) \leftrightarrow (w \leq z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-mono-lemma*: $k \in \text{nat} \implies i \leq j \implies i * \#k \leq j * \#k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zle-mono1*: $[i \leq j; \#0 \leq k] \implies i * k \leq j * k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zle-mono1-neg*: $[i \leq j; k \leq \#0] \implies j * k \leq i * k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zle-mono2*: $[i \leq j; \#0 \leq k] \implies k * i \leq k * j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zle-mono2-neg*: $[i \leq j; k \leq \#0] \implies k * j \leq k * i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zle-mono*:
 $[i \leq j; k \leq l; \#0 \leq j; \#0 \leq k] \implies i * k \leq j * l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zless-mono2-lemma* [rule-format]:
 $[i < j; k \in \text{nat}] \implies 0 < k \longrightarrow \#k * i < \#k * j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zless-mono2*: $[i < j; \#0 < k] \implies k * i < k * j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zless-mono1*: $[i < j; \#0 < k] \implies i * k < j * k$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zless-mono*:
 $[i < j; k < l; \#0 < j; \#0 < k] \implies i * k < j * l$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zless-mono1-neg*: $[[i \$< j; k \$< \#0]] ==> j\$*k \$< i\$*k$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zless-mono2-neg*: $[[i \$< j; k \$< \#0]] ==> k\$*j \$< k\$*i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-eq-lemma*:
 $[[m \in int; n \in int]] ==> (m = \#0 \mid n = \#0) <-> (m\$*n = \#0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-eq-0-iff* [iff]: $(m\$*n = \#0) <-> (intify(m) = \#0 \mid intify(n) = \#0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zless-lemma*:
 $[[k \in int; m \in int; n \in int]]$
 $==> (m\$*k \$< n\$*k) <-> ((\#0 \$< k \& m\$<n) \mid (k \$< \#0 \& n\$<m))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zless-cancel2*:
 $(m\$*k \$< n\$*k) <-> ((\#0 \$< k \& m\$<n) \mid (k \$< \#0 \& n\$<m))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zless-cancel1*:
 $(k\$*m \$< k\$*n) <-> ((\#0 \$< k \& m\$<n) \mid (k \$< \#0 \& n\$<m))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zle-cancel2*:
 $(m\$*k \$<= n\$*k) <-> ((\#0 \$< k --> m\$<=n) \& (k \$< \#0 --> n\$<=m))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-zle-cancel1*:
 $(k\$*m \$<= k\$*n) <-> ((\#0 \$< k --> m\$<=n) \& (k \$< \#0 --> n\$<=m))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *int-eq-iff-zle*: $[[m \in int; n \in int]] ==> m=n <-> (m \$<= n \& n \$<= m)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-cancel2-lemma*:

$[[k \in \text{int}; m \in \text{int}; n \in \text{int}]] \implies (m * k = n * k) \leftrightarrow (k = \#0 \mid m = n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-cancel2 [simp]*:

$(m * k = n * k) \leftrightarrow (\text{intify}(k) = \#0 \mid \text{intify}(m) = \text{intify}(n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-cancel1 [simp]*:

$(k * m = k * n) \leftrightarrow (\text{intify}(k) = \#0 \mid \text{intify}(m) = \text{intify}(n))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

32.1 Uniqueness and monotonicity of quotients and remainders

lemma *unique-quotient-lemma*:

$[[b * q' \$+ r' \$\leq b * q \$+ r; \#0 \$\leq r'; \#0 \$\leq b; r \$\leq b]]$
 $\implies q' \$\leq q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unique-quotient-lemma-neg*:

$[[b * q' \$+ r' \$\leq b * q \$+ r; r \$\leq \#0; b \$\leq \#0; b \$\leq r']]$
 $\implies q \$\leq q'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unique-quotient*:

$[[\text{quorem} (\langle a, b \rangle, \langle q, r \rangle); \text{quorem} (\langle a, b \rangle, \langle q', r' \rangle); b \in \text{int}; b \sim \#0;$
 $q \in \text{int}; q' \in \text{int}]] \implies q = q'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *unique-remainder*:

$[[\text{quorem} (\langle a, b \rangle, \langle q, r \rangle); \text{quorem} (\langle a, b \rangle, \langle q', r' \rangle); b \in \text{int}; b \sim \#0;$
 $q \in \text{int}; q' \in \text{int};$
 $r \in \text{int}; r' \in \text{int}]] \implies r = r'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

32.2 Correctness of posDivAlg, the Division Algorithm for $a \geq 0$ and $b > 0$

lemma *adjust-eq [simp]*:

$\text{adjust}(b, \langle q, r \rangle) = (\text{let } \text{diff} = r \$- b \text{ in}$
 $\text{if } \#0 \$\leq \text{diff} \text{ then } \langle \#2 * q \$+ \#1, \text{diff} \rangle$
 $\text{else } \langle \#2 * q, r \rangle)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *posDivAlg-termination*:

$[[\#0 \$\leq b; \sim a \$\leq b]]$

$\implies \text{nat-of}(a \text{ \$- } \#2 \text{ \$}\times b \text{ \$+ } \#1) < \text{nat-of}(a \text{ \$- } b \text{ \$+ } \#1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *posDivAlg-unfold* = *def-wfrec* [*OF posDivAlg-def wf-measure*]

lemma *posDivAlg-eqn*:

$[[\#0 \text{ \$< } b; a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}]] \implies$
 $\text{posDivAlg}(<a, b>) =$
 $(\text{if } a \text{ \$< } b \text{ then } <\#0, a> \text{ else } \text{adjust}(b, \text{posDivAlg } (<a, \#2 \text{ \$* } b>)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *posDivAlg-induct-lemma* [*rule-format*]:

assumes *prem*:
 $!!a \ b. [[a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int};$
 $\sim (a \text{ \$< } b \mid b \text{ \$<=} \#0) \longrightarrow P(<a, \#2 \text{ \$* } b>)]] \implies P(<a, b>)$
shows $<u, v> \in \text{int} * \text{int} \longrightarrow P(<u, v>)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *posDivAlg-induct* [*consumes 2*]:

assumes *u-int*: $u \in \text{int}$
and *v-int*: $v \in \text{int}$
and *ih*: $!!a \ b. [[a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int};$
 $\sim (a \text{ \$< } b \mid b \text{ \$<=} \#0) \longrightarrow P(a, \#2 \text{ \$* } b)]] \implies P(a, b)$
shows $P(u, v)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *intify-eq-0-iff-zle*: $\text{intify}(m) = \#0 \longleftrightarrow (m \text{ \$<=} \#0 \ \& \ \#0 \text{ \$<=} m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

32.3 Some convenient biconditionals for products of signs

lemma *zmult-pos*: $[[\#0 \text{ \$< } i; \#0 \text{ \$< } j]] \implies \#0 \text{ \$< } i \text{ \$* } j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-neg*: $[[i \text{ \$< } \#0; j \text{ \$< } \#0]] \implies \#0 \text{ \$< } i \text{ \$* } j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmult-pos-neg*: $[[\#0 \text{ \$< } i; j \text{ \$< } \#0]] \implies i \text{ \$* } j \text{ \$< } \#0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *int-0-less-lemma*:

$[[x \in \text{int}; y \in \text{int}]]$
 $\implies (\#0 \text{ \$< } x \text{ \$* } y) \longleftrightarrow (\#0 \text{ \$< } x \ \& \ \#0 \text{ \$< } y \mid x \text{ \$< } \#0 \ \& \ y \text{ \$< } \#0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *int-0-less-mult-iff*:

$(\#0 \ \$< x \ \$* y) <-> (\#0 \ \$< x \ \& \ \#0 \ \$< y \mid x \ \$< \#0 \ \& \ y \ \$< \#0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *int-0-le-lemma*:

$[\mid x \in int; y \in int \mid]$
 $\implies (\#0 \ \$<= x \ \$* y) <-> (\#0 \ \$<= x \ \& \ \#0 \ \$<= y \mid x \ \$<= \#0 \ \& \ y \ \$<= \#0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *int-0-le-mult-iff*:

$(\#0 \ \$<= x \ \$* y) <-> ((\#0 \ \$<= x \ \& \ \#0 \ \$<= y) \mid (x \ \$<= \#0 \ \& \ y \ \$<= \#0))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-less-0-iff*:

$(x \ \$* y \ \$< \#0) <-> (\#0 \ \$< x \ \& \ y \ \$< \#0 \mid x \ \$< \#0 \ \& \ \#0 \ \$< y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmult-le-0-iff*:

$(x \ \$* y \ \$<= \#0) <-> (\#0 \ \$<= x \ \& \ y \ \$<= \#0 \mid x \ \$<= \#0 \ \& \ \#0 \ \$<= y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *posDivAlg-type* [rule-format]:

$[\mid a \in int; b \in int \mid] \implies posDivAlg(<a,b>) \in int * int$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *posDivAlg-correct* [rule-format]:

$[\mid a \in int; b \in int \mid]$
 $\implies \#0 \ \$<= a \dashrightarrow \#0 \ \$< b \dashrightarrow quorem (<a,b>, posDivAlg(<a,b>))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

32.4 Correctness of negDivAlg, the division algorithm for $a \div 0$ and $b \div 0$

lemma *negDivAlg-termination*:

$[\mid \#0 \ \$< b; a \ \$+ b \ \$< \#0 \mid]$
 $\implies nat-of(\$- a \ \$- \#2 \ \$* b) < nat-of(\$- a \ \$- b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *negDivAlg-unfold* = def-wfrec [OF negDivAlg-def wf-measure]

lemma *negDivAlg-eqn*:

$[\mid \#0 \ \$< b; a : int; b : int \mid] \implies$
 $negDivAlg(<a,b>) =$
 $(if \ \#0 \ \$<= a \ \$+ b \ then \ <\#-1, a \ \$+ b>)$

$else\ adjust(b, negDivAlg\ (<a, \#2\$*b>))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *negDivAlg-induct-lemma* [rule-format]:
assumes *prem*:
 $!!a\ b. \ [\ a \in int; \ b \in int;$
 $\quad \sim (\#0\ \$ \leq a\ \$ + \ b \mid b\ \$ \leq \#0) \dashrightarrow P(<a, \#2\ \$* \ b>) \]$
 $\implies P(<a, b>)$
shows $<u, v> \in int * int \dashrightarrow P(<u, v>)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *negDivAlg-induct* [consumes 2]:
assumes *u-int*: $u \in int$
and *v-int*: $v \in int$
and *ih*: $!!a\ b. \ [\ a \in int; \ b \in int;$
 $\quad \sim (\#0\ \$ \leq a\ \$ + \ b \mid b\ \$ \leq \#0) \dashrightarrow P(a, \#2\ \$* \ b) \]$
 $\implies P(a, b)$
shows $P(u, v)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *negDivAlg-type*:
 $[\ a \in int; \ b \in int \] \implies negDivAlg(<a, b>) \in int * int$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *negDivAlg-correct* [rule-format]:
 $[\ a \in int; \ b \in int \]$
 $\implies a\ \$ < \#0 \dashrightarrow \#0\ \$ < b \dashrightarrow quorem (<a, b>, negDivAlg(<a, b>))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

32.5 Existence shown by proving the division algorithm to be correct

lemma *quorem-0*: $[\ b \neq \#0; \ b \in int \] \implies quorem (<\#0, b>, <\#0, \#0>)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *posDivAlg-zero-divisor*: $posDivAlg(<a, \#0>) = <\#0, a>$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *posDivAlg-0* [simp]: $posDivAlg (<\#0, b>) = <\#0, \#0>$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *linear-arith-lemma*: $\sim (\#0\ \$ \leq \#-1\ \$ + \ b) \implies (b\ \$ \leq \#0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *negDivAlg-minus1* [simp]: $\text{negDivAlg } (<\#-1, b>) = <\#-1, b\$-\#1>$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *negateSnd-eq* [simp]: $\text{negateSnd } (<q, r>) = <q, \$-r>$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *negateSnd-type*: $qr \in \text{int} * \text{int} \implies \text{negateSnd } (qr) \in \text{int} * \text{int}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *quorem-neg*:
 $[[\text{quorem } (<\$-a, \$-b>, qr); a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}; qr \in \text{int} * \text{int}]]$
 $\implies \text{quorem } (<a, b>, \text{negateSnd}(qr))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *divAlg-correct*:
 $[[b \neq \#0; a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}]] \implies \text{quorem } (<a, b>, \text{divAlg } (<a, b>))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *divAlg-type*: $[[a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}]] \implies \text{divAlg } (<a, b>) \in \text{int} * \text{int}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-intify1* [simp]: $\text{intify}(x) \text{ zdiv } y = x \text{ zdiv } y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-intify2* [simp]: $x \text{ zdiv } \text{intify}(y) = x \text{ zdiv } y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-type* [iff, TC]: $z \text{ zdiv } w \in \text{int}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-intify1* [simp]: $\text{intify}(x) \text{ zmod } y = x \text{ zmod } y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-intify2* [simp]: $x \text{ zmod } \text{intify}(y) = x \text{ zmod } y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-type* [iff, TC]: $z \text{ zmod } w \in \text{int}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *DIVISION-BY-ZERO-ZDIV*: $a \text{ zdiv } \#0 = \#0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *DIVISION-BY-ZERO-ZMOD*: $a \text{ zmod } \#0 = \text{intify}(a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *raw-zmod-zdiv-equality*:
 $\llbracket a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int} \rrbracket \implies a = b \$* (a \text{ zdiv } b) \$+ (a \text{ zmod } b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zdiv-equality*: $\text{intify}(a) = b \$* (a \text{ zdiv } b) \$+ (a \text{ zmod } b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pos-mod*: $\#0 \$< b \implies \#0 \$<= a \text{ zmod } b \ \& \ a \text{ zmod } b \$< b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *pos-mod-sign* = *pos-mod* [*THEN conjunct1, standard*]
and *pos-mod-bound* = *pos-mod* [*THEN conjunct2, standard*]

lemma *neg-mod*: $b \$< \#0 \implies a \text{ zmod } b \$<= \#0 \ \& \ b \$< a \text{ zmod } b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *neg-mod-sign* = *neg-mod* [*THEN conjunct1, standard*]
and *neg-mod-bound* = *neg-mod* [*THEN conjunct2, standard*]

lemma *quorem-div-mod*:
 $\llbracket b \neq \#0; a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int} \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{quorem} (<a, b>, <a \text{ zdiv } b, a \text{ zmod } b>)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *quorem-div*:
 $\llbracket \text{quorem} (<a, b>, <q, r>); b \neq \#0; a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}; q \in \text{int} \rrbracket$
 $\implies a \text{ zdiv } b = q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *quorem-mod*:
 $\llbracket \text{quorem} (<a, b>, <q, r>); b \neq \#0; a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}; q \in \text{int}; r \in \text{int} \rrbracket$
 $\implies a \text{ zmod } b = r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-pos-pos-trivial-raw*:
 $\llbracket a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}; \#0 \$<= a; a \$< b \rrbracket \implies a \text{ zdiv } b = \#0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-pos-pos-trivial*: $[\#0 \leq a; a < b] \implies a \text{ zdiv } b = \#0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-neg-neg-trivial-raw*:
 $[\#0 \leq a; b < a] \implies a \text{ zdiv } b = \#0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-neg-neg-trivial*: $[a \leq \#0; b < a] \implies a \text{ zdiv } b = \#0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd-le-0-lemma*: $[a+b \leq \#0; \#0 < a; \#0 < b] \implies \text{False}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-pos-neg-trivial-raw*:
 $[a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}; \#0 < a; a+b \leq \#0] \implies a \text{ zdiv } b = \#-1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-pos-neg-trivial*: $[\#0 < a; a+b \leq \#0] \implies a \text{ zdiv } b = \#-1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-pos-pos-trivial-raw*:
 $[a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}; \#0 \leq a; a < b] \implies a \text{ zmod } b = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-pos-pos-trivial*: $[\#0 \leq a; a < b] \implies a \text{ zmod } b = \text{intify}(a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-neg-neg-trivial-raw*:
 $[a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}; a \leq \#0; b < a] \implies a \text{ zmod } b = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-neg-neg-trivial*: $[a \leq \#0; b < a] \implies a \text{ zmod } b = \text{intify}(a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-pos-neg-trivial-raw*:
 $[a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}; \#0 < a; a+b \leq \#0] \implies a \text{ zmod } b = a+b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-pos-neg-trivial*: $[\#0 < a; a+b \leq \#0] \implies a \text{ zmod } b = a+b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zminus-zminus-raw*:

$[|a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}|] \implies (\$-a) \text{ zdiv } (\$-b) = a \text{ zdiv } b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zminus-zminus [simp]*: $(\$-a) \text{ zdiv } (\$-b) = a \text{ zdiv } b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zminus-zminus-raw*:

$[|a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}|] \implies (\$-a) \text{ zmod } (\$-b) = \$- (a \text{ zmod } b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zminus-zminus [simp]*: $(\$-a) \text{ zmod } (\$-b) = \$- (a \text{ zmod } b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

32.6 division of a number by itself

lemma *self-quotient-aux1*: $[| \#0 \$< a; a = r \$+ a\$*q; r \$< a |] \implies \#1 \$<= q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *self-quotient-aux2*: $[| \#0 \$< a; a = r \$+ a\$*q; \#0 \$<= r |] \implies q \$<= \#1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *self-quotient*:

$[| \text{quorem}(<a,a>, <q,r>); a \in \text{int}; q \in \text{int}; a \neq \#0 |] \implies q = \#1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *self-remainder*:

$[| \text{quorem}(<a,a>, <q,r>); a \in \text{int}; q \in \text{int}; r \in \text{int}; a \neq \#0 |] \implies r = \#0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-self-raw*: $[|a \neq \#0; a \in \text{int}|] \implies a \text{ zdiv } a = \#1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-self [simp]*: $\text{intify}(a) \neq \#0 \implies a \text{ zdiv } a = \#1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-self-raw*: $a \in \text{int} \implies a \text{ zmod } a = \#0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-self [simp]*: $a \text{ zmod } a = \#0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

32.7 Computation of division and remainder

lemma *zdiv-zero [simp]*: $\#0 \text{ zdiv } b = \#0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-eq-minus1*: $\#0 \ \$< \ b \implies \#-1 \ zdiv \ b = \#-1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zero [simp]*: $\#0 \ zmod \ b = \#0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-minus1*: $\#0 \ \$< \ b \implies \#-1 \ zdiv \ b = \#-1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmod-minus1*: $\#0 \ \$< \ b \implies \#-1 \ zmod \ b = b \ \$- \ #1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-pos-pos*: $[\#0 \ \$< \ a; \ \#0 \ \$\leq \ b]$
 $\implies a \ zdiv \ b = fst \ (posDivAlg(<intify(a), intify(b)>))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmod-pos-pos*:
 $[\#0 \ \$< \ a; \ \#0 \ \$\leq \ b]$
 $\implies a \ zmod \ b = snd \ (posDivAlg(<intify(a), intify(b)>))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-neg-pos*:
 $[a \ \$< \ \#0; \ \#0 \ \$< \ b]$
 $\implies a \ zdiv \ b = fst \ (negDivAlg(<intify(a), intify(b)>))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmod-neg-pos*:
 $[a \ \$< \ \#0; \ \#0 \ \$< \ b]$
 $\implies a \ zmod \ b = snd \ (negDivAlg(<intify(a), intify(b)>))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-pos-neg*:
 $[\#0 \ \$< \ a; \ b \ \$< \ \#0]$
 $\implies a \ zdiv \ b = fst \ (negateSnd(negDivAlg (<\$-a, \$-b>)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmod-pos-neg*:
 $[\#0 \ \$< \ a; \ b \ \$< \ \#0]$
 $\implies a \ zmod \ b = snd \ (negateSnd(negDivAlg (<\$-a, \$-b>)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-neg-neg*:

$[| a \ \$< \ #0; \ b \ \$\leq \ #0 \ |]$
 $\implies a \ zdiv \ b = fst \ (negateSnd(posDivAlg(<\$-a, \$-b>)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmod-neg-neg*:

$[| a \ \$< \ #0; \ b \ \$\leq \ #0 \ |]$
 $\implies a \ zmod \ b = snd \ (negateSnd(posDivAlg(<\$-a, \$-b>)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare *zdiv-pos-pos* [of integ-of (v) integ-of (w), standard, simp]
declare *zdiv-neg-pos* [of integ-of (v) integ-of (w), standard, simp]
declare *zdiv-pos-neg* [of integ-of (v) integ-of (w), standard, simp]
declare *zdiv-neg-neg* [of integ-of (v) integ-of (w), standard, simp]
declare *zmod-pos-pos* [of integ-of (v) integ-of (w), standard, simp]
declare *zmod-neg-pos* [of integ-of (v) integ-of (w), standard, simp]
declare *zmod-pos-neg* [of integ-of (v) integ-of (w), standard, simp]
declare *zmod-neg-neg* [of integ-of (v) integ-of (w), standard, simp]
declare *posDivAlg-eqn* [of **concl**: integ-of (v) integ-of (w), standard, simp]
declare *negDivAlg-eqn* [of **concl**: integ-of (v) integ-of (w), standard, simp]

lemma *zmod-1* [simp]: $a \ zmod \ #1 = \#0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-1* [simp]: $a \ zdiv \ #1 = intify(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmod-minus1-right* [simp]: $a \ zmod \ #-1 = \#0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-minus1-right-raw*: $a \in int \implies a \ zdiv \ #-1 = \$-a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-minus1-right*: $a \ zdiv \ #-1 = \$-a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare *zdiv-minus1-right* [simp]

32.8 Monotonicity in the first argument (divisor)

lemma *zdiv-mono1*: $[| a \ \$\leq \ a'; \ \#0 \ \$< \ b \ |] \implies a \ zdiv \ b \ \$\leq \ a' \ zdiv \ b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-mono1-neg*: $[| a \ \$\leq \ a'; \ b \ \$< \ \#0 \ |] \implies a' \ zdiv \ b \ \$\leq \ a \ zdiv \ b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

32.9 Monotonicity in the second argument (dividend)

lemma *q-pos-lemma*:

$$[[\#0 \leq b' * q' + r'; r' < b'; \#0 < b']] \implies \#0 \leq q'$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-mono2-lemma*:

$$[[b * q + r = b' * q' + r'; \#0 \leq b' * q' + r'; \\ r' < b'; \#0 \leq r; \#0 < b'; b' \leq b]]$$

$$\implies q \leq q'$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-mono2-raw*:

$$[[\#0 \leq a; \#0 < b'; b' \leq b; a \in int]]$$

$$\implies a \text{ zdiv } b \leq a \text{ zdiv } b'$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-mono2*:

$$[[\#0 \leq a; \#0 < b'; b' \leq b]]$$

$$\implies a \text{ zdiv } b \leq a \text{ zdiv } b'$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *q-neg-lemma*:

$$[[b' * q' + r' < \#0; \#0 \leq r'; \#0 < b']] \implies q' < \#0$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-mono2-neg-lemma*:

$$[[b * q + r = b' * q' + r'; b' * q' + r' < \#0; \\ r < b; \#0 \leq r'; \#0 < b'; b' \leq b]]$$

$$\implies q' \leq q$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-mono2-neg-raw*:

$$[[a < \#0; \#0 < b'; b' \leq b; a \in int]]$$

$$\implies a \text{ zdiv } b' \leq a \text{ zdiv } b$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-mono2-neg*: $[[a < \#0; \#0 < b'; b' \leq b]]$

$$\implies a \text{ zdiv } b' \leq a \text{ zdiv } b$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

32.10 More algebraic laws for zdiv and zmod

lemma *zmult1-lemma*:

$$[[\text{quorem}(\langle b, c \rangle, \langle q, r \rangle); c \in int; c \neq \#0]]$$

$$\implies \text{quorem}(\langle a * b, c \rangle, \langle a * q + (a * r) \text{ zdiv } c, (a * r) \text{ zmod } c \rangle)$$

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zmult1-eq-raw*:

$[[b \in \text{int}; c \in \text{int}]]$
 $\implies (a\$*b) \text{ zdiv } c = a\$*(b \text{ zdiv } c) \$+ a\$*(b \text{ zmod } c) \text{ zdiv } c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zmult1-eq*: $(a\$*b) \text{ zdiv } c = a\$*(b \text{ zdiv } c) \$+ a\$*(b \text{ zmod } c) \text{ zdiv } c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zmult1-eq-raw*:

$[[b \in \text{int}; c \in \text{int}]] \implies (a\$*b) \text{ zmod } c = a\$*(b \text{ zmod } c) \text{ zmod } c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zmult1-eq*: $(a\$*b) \text{ zmod } c = a\$*(b \text{ zmod } c) \text{ zmod } c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zmult1-eq'*: $(a\$*b) \text{ zmod } c = ((a \text{ zmod } c) \$* b) \text{ zmod } c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zmult-distrib*: $(a\$*b) \text{ zmod } c = ((a \text{ zmod } c) \$* (b \text{ zmod } c)) \text{ zmod } c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zmult-self1* [simp]: $\text{intify}(b) \neq \#0 \implies (a\$*b) \text{ zdiv } b = \text{intify}(a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zmult-self2* [simp]: $\text{intify}(b) \neq \#0 \implies (b\$*a) \text{ zdiv } b = \text{intify}(a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zmult-self1* [simp]: $(a\$*b) \text{ zmod } b = \#0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zmult-self2* [simp]: $(b\$*a) \text{ zmod } b = \#0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zadd1-lemma*:

$[[\text{quorem}(\langle a, c \rangle, \langle aq, ar \rangle); \text{quorem}(\langle b, c \rangle, \langle bq, br \rangle);$
 $c \in \text{int}; c \neq \#0]]$
 $\implies \text{quorem}(\langle a\$+b, c \rangle, \langle aq \$+ bq \$+ (ar\$+br) \text{ zdiv } c, (ar\$+br) \text{ zmod } c \rangle)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zadd1-eq-raw*:

$[[a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}; c \in \text{int}]] \implies$
 $(a\$+b) \text{ zdiv } c = a \text{ zdiv } c \$+ b \text{ zdiv } c \$+ ((a \text{ zmod } c \$+ b \text{ zmod } c) \text{ zdiv } c)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zadd1-eq*:

$$(a\$+b) \text{ zdiv } c = a \text{ zdiv } c \$+ b \text{ zdiv } c \$+ ((a \text{ zmod } c \$+ b \text{ zmod } c) \text{ zdiv } c)$$

<proof>

lemma *zmod-zadd1-eq-raw*:

$$[[a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}; c \in \text{int}]] \\ \implies (a\$+b) \text{ zmod } c = (a \text{ zmod } c \$+ b \text{ zmod } c) \text{ zmod } c$$

<proof>

lemma *zmod-zadd1-eq*: $(a\$+b) \text{ zmod } c = (a \text{ zmod } c \$+ b \text{ zmod } c) \text{ zmod } c$
<proof>

lemma *zmod-div-trivial-raw*:

$$[[a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}]] \implies (a \text{ zmod } b) \text{ zdiv } b = \#0$$

<proof>

lemma *zmod-div-trivial* [simp]: $(a \text{ zmod } b) \text{ zdiv } b = \#0$
<proof>

lemma *zmod-mod-trivial-raw*:

$$[[a \in \text{int}; b \in \text{int}]] \implies (a \text{ zmod } b) \text{ zmod } b = a \text{ zmod } b$$

<proof>

lemma *zmod-mod-trivial* [simp]: $(a \text{ zmod } b) \text{ zmod } b = a \text{ zmod } b$
<proof>

lemma *zmod-zadd-left-eq*: $(a\$+b) \text{ zmod } c = ((a \text{ zmod } c) \$+ b) \text{ zmod } c$
<proof>

lemma *zmod-zadd-right-eq*: $(a\$+b) \text{ zmod } c = (a \$+ (b \text{ zmod } c)) \text{ zmod } c$
<proof>

lemma *zdiv-zadd-self1* [simp]:

$$\text{intify}(a) \neq \#0 \implies (a\$+b) \text{ zdiv } a = b \text{ zdiv } a \$+ \#1$$

<proof>

lemma *zdiv-zadd-self2* [simp]:

$$\text{intify}(a) \neq \#0 \implies (b\$+a) \text{ zdiv } a = b \text{ zdiv } a \$+ \#1$$

<proof>

lemma *zmod-zadd-self1* [simp]: $(a\$+b) \text{ zmod } a = b \text{ zmod } a$
<proof>

lemma *zmod-zadd-self2* [simp]: $(b\$+a) \text{ zmod } a = b \text{ zmod } a$
<proof>

32.11 proving a zdiv (b*c) = (a zdiv b) zdiv c

lemma *zdiv-zmult2-aux1*:

$[| \#0 \$< c; \ b \$< r; \ r \$\leq \#0 |] \implies b\$*c \$< b\$*(q \text{ zmod } c) \$+ r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zmult2-aux2*:

$[| \#0 \$< c; \ b \$< r; \ r \$\leq \#0 |] \implies b \$* (q \text{ zmod } c) \$+ r \$\leq \#0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zmult2-aux3*:

$[| \#0 \$< c; \ \#0 \$\leq r; \ r \$< b |] \implies \#0 \$\leq b \$* (q \text{ zmod } c) \$+ r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zmult2-aux4*:

$[| \#0 \$< c; \ \#0 \$\leq r; \ r \$< b |] \implies b \$* (q \text{ zmod } c) \$+ r \$< b \$* c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zmult2-lemma*:

$[| \text{quorem } (<a,b>, <q,r>); \ a \in \text{int}; \ b \in \text{int}; \ b \neq \#0; \ \#0 \$< c |]$
 $\implies \text{quorem } (<a,b\$*c>, <q \text{ zdiv } c, b\$*(q \text{ zmod } c) \$+ r>)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zmult2-eq-row*:

$[| \#0 \$< c; \ a \in \text{int}; \ b \in \text{int} |] \implies a \text{ zdiv } (b\$*c) = (a \text{ zdiv } b) \text{ zdiv } c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zmult2-eq*: $\#0 \$< c \implies a \text{ zdiv } (b\$*c) = (a \text{ zdiv } b) \text{ zdiv } c$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zmult2-eq-row*:

$[| \#0 \$< c; \ a \in \text{int}; \ b \in \text{int} |]$
 $\implies a \text{ zmod } (b\$*c) = b\$*(a \text{ zdiv } b \text{ zmod } c) \$+ a \text{ zmod } b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zmult2-eq*:

$\#0 \$< c \implies a \text{ zmod } (b\$*c) = b\$*(a \text{ zdiv } b \text{ zmod } c) \$+ a \text{ zmod } b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

32.12 Cancellation of common factors in "zdiv"

lemma *zdiv-zmult-zmult1-aux1*:

$[| \#0 \$< b; \ \text{intify}(c) \neq \#0 |] \implies (c\$*a) \text{ zdiv } (c\$*b) = a \text{ zdiv } b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zmult-zmult1-aux2*:

$[| b \$< \#0; \ \text{intify}(c) \neq \#0 |] \implies (c\$*a) \text{ zdiv } (c\$*b) = a \text{ zdiv } b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zmult-zmult1-row*:

$$[[\text{intify}(c) \neq \#0; b \in \text{int}]] \implies (c\$*a) \text{ zdiv } (c\$*b) = a \text{ zdiv } b$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zmult-zmult1*: $\text{intify}(c) \neq \#0 \implies (c\$*a) \text{ zdiv } (c\$*b) = a \text{ zdiv } b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-zmult-zmult2*: $\text{intify}(c) \neq \#0 \implies (a\$*c) \text{ zdiv } (b\$*c) = a \text{ zdiv } b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

32.13 Distribution of factors over "zmod"

lemma *zmod-zmult-zmult1-aux1*:

$$[[\#0 \$< b; \text{intify}(c) \neq \#0]] \implies (c\$*a) \text{ zmod } (c\$*b) = c \$* (a \text{ zmod } b)$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zmult-zmult1-aux2*:

$$[[b \$< \#0; \text{intify}(c) \neq \#0]] \implies (c\$*a) \text{ zmod } (c\$*b) = c \$* (a \text{ zmod } b)$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zmult-zmult1-raw*:

$$[[b \in \text{int}; c \in \text{int}]] \implies (c\$*a) \text{ zmod } (c\$*b) = c \$* (a \text{ zmod } b)$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zmult-zmult1*: $(c\$*a) \text{ zmod } (c\$*b) = c \$* (a \text{ zmod } b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zmod-zmult-zmult2*: $(a\$*c) \text{ zmod } (b\$*c) = (a \text{ zmod } b) \$* c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-neg-pos-less0*: $[[a \$< \#0; \#0 \$< b]] \implies a \text{ zdiv } b \$< \#0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zdiv-nonneg-neg-le0*: $[[\#0 \$<= a; b \$< \#0]] \implies a \text{ zdiv } b \$<= \#0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pos-imp-zdiv-nonneg-iff*: $\#0 \$< b \implies (\#0 \$<= a \text{ zdiv } b) \leftrightarrow (\#0 \$<= a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *neg-imp-zdiv-nonneg-iff*: $b \$< \#0 \implies (\#0 \$<= a \text{ zdiv } b) \leftrightarrow (a \$<= \#0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pos-imp-zdiv-neg-iff*: $\#0 \leq b \implies (a \text{ zdiv } b \leq \#0) \iff (a \leq \#0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *neg-imp-zdiv-neg-iff*: $b \leq \#0 \implies (a \text{ zdiv } b \leq \#0) \iff (\#0 \leq a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

33 Cardinal Arithmetic Without the Axiom of Choice

theory *CardinalArith* **imports** *Cardinal OrderArith ArithSimp Finite* **begin**

definition

InfCard $:: i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
InfCard(*i*) == *Card*(*i*) & nat le *i*

definition

cmult $:: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$ (**infixl** $|*|$ 70) **where**
 $i \text{ } |*| \text{ } j == |i*j|$

definition

cadd $:: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$ (**infixl** $|+|$ 65) **where**
 $i \text{ } |+| \text{ } j == |i+j|$

definition

csquare-rel $:: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
csquare-rel(*K*) ==
 $\text{rvimage}(K*K,$
 $\text{lam } \langle x, y \rangle : K*K. \langle x \text{ Un } y, x, y \rangle,$
 $\text{rmult}(K, \text{Memrel}(K), K*K, \text{rmult}(K, \text{Memrel}(K), K, \text{Memrel}(K))))$

definition

jump-cardinal $:: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
— This def is more complex than Kunen's but it more easily proved to be a cardinal
jump-cardinal(*K*) ==
 $\bigcup X \in \text{Pow}(K). \{z. r: \text{Pow}(K*K), \text{well-ord}(X, r) \ \& \ z = \text{ordertype}(X, r)\}$

definition

csucc $:: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
— needed because *jump-cardinal*(*K*) might not be the successor of *K*
csucc(*K*) == *LEAST* *L*. *Card*(*L*) & *K* < *L*

notation (*xsymbols* **output**)

cadd (**infixl** \oplus 65) and
cmult (**infixl** \otimes 70)

notation (*HTML output*)
cadd (**infixl** \oplus 65) and
cmult (**infixl** \otimes 70)

lemma *Card-Union* [*simp,intro,TC*]: $(\text{ALL } x:A. \text{Card}(x)) \implies \text{Card}(\text{Union}(A))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Card-UN*: $(!\!x. x:A \implies \text{Card}(K(x))) \implies \text{Card}(\bigcup_{x \in A} K(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Card-OUN* [*simp,intro,TC*]:
 $(!\!x. x:A \implies \text{Card}(K(x))) \implies \text{Card}(\bigcup_{x < A} K(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *n-lesspoll-nat*: $n \in \text{nat} \implies n \prec \text{nat}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *in-Card-imp-lesspoll*: $[\mid \text{Card}(K); b \in K \mid] \implies b \prec K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lesspoll-lemma*: $[\mid \sim A \prec B; C \prec B \mid] \implies A - C \neq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

33.1 Cardinal addition

Note: Could omit proving the algebraic laws for cardinal addition and multiplication. On finite cardinals these operations coincide with addition and multiplication of natural numbers; on infinite cardinals they coincide with union (maximum). Either way we get most laws for free.

33.1.1 Cardinal addition is commutative

lemma *sum-commute-epoll*: $A+B \approx B+A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cadd-commute*: $i \mid + \mid j = j \mid + \mid i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

33.1.2 Cardinal addition is associative

lemma *sum-assoc-epoll*: $(A+B)+C \approx A+(B+C)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-cadd-assoc*:

$$[\text{well-ord}(i, ri); \text{well-ord}(j, rj); \text{well-ord}(k, rk)]$$

$$\implies (i \mid\mid j) \mid\mid k = i \mid\mid (j \mid\mid k)$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

33.1.3 0 is the identity for addition

lemma *sum-0-epoll*: $0 + A \approx A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cadd-0 [simp]*: $\text{Card}(K) \implies 0 \mid\mid K = K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

33.1.4 Addition by another cardinal

lemma *sum-lepoll-self*: $A \lesssim A + B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cadd-le-self*:
 $[\text{Card}(K); \text{Ord}(L)] \implies K \text{ le } (K \mid\mid L)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

33.1.5 Monotonicity of addition

lemma *sum-lepoll-mono*:
 $[A \lesssim C; B \lesssim D] \implies A + B \lesssim C + D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cadd-le-mono*:
 $[K' \text{ le } K; L' \text{ le } L] \implies (K' \mid\mid L') \text{ le } (K \mid\mid L)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

33.1.6 Addition of finite cardinals is "ordinary" addition

lemma *sum-succ-epoll*: $\text{succ}(A) + B \approx \text{succ}(A + B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cadd-succ-lemma*:
 $[\text{Ord}(m); \text{Ord}(n)] \implies \text{succ}(m) \mid\mid n = |\text{succ}(m \mid\mid n)|$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-cadd-eq-add*: $[m: \text{nat}; n: \text{nat}] \implies m \mid\mid n = m \# + n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

33.2 Cardinal multiplication

33.2.1 Cardinal multiplication is commutative

lemma *prod-commute-epoll*: $A*B \approx B*A$
<proof>

lemma *cmult-commute*: $i \mid * \mid j = j \mid * \mid i$
<proof>

33.2.2 Cardinal multiplication is associative

lemma *prod-assoc-epoll*: $(A*B)*C \approx A*(B*C)$
<proof>

lemma *well-ord-cmult-assoc*:
[[*well-ord*(i,ri); *well-ord*(j,rj); *well-ord*(k,rk)]]
==> $(i \mid * \mid j) \mid * \mid k = i \mid * \mid (j \mid * \mid k)$
<proof>

33.2.3 Cardinal multiplication distributes over addition

lemma *sum-prod-distrib-epoll*: $(A+B)*C \approx (A*C)+(B*C)$
<proof>

lemma *well-ord-cadd-cmult-distrib*:
[[*well-ord*(i,ri); *well-ord*(j,rj); *well-ord*(k,rk)]]
==> $(i \mid + \mid j) \mid * \mid k = (i \mid * \mid k) \mid + \mid (j \mid * \mid k)$
<proof>

33.2.4 Multiplication by 0 yields 0

lemma *prod-0-epoll*: $0*A \approx 0$
<proof>

lemma *cmult-0 [simp]*: $0 \mid * \mid i = 0$
<proof>

33.2.5 1 is the identity for multiplication

lemma *prod-singleton-epoll*: $\{x\}*A \approx A$
<proof>

lemma *cmult-1 [simp]*: $\text{Card}(K) ==> 1 \mid * \mid K = K$
<proof>

33.3 Some inequalities for multiplication

lemma *prod-square-lepoll*: $A \lesssim A*A$
<proof>

lemma *cmult-square-le*: $\text{Card}(K) \implies K \text{ le } K \mid * \mid K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

33.3.1 Multiplication by a non-zero cardinal

lemma *prod-lepoll-self*: $b: B \implies A \lesssim A * B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cmult-le-self*:
 $\llbracket \text{Card}(K); \text{Ord}(L); 0 < L \rrbracket \implies K \text{ le } (K \mid * \mid L)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

33.3.2 Monotonicity of multiplication

lemma *prod-lepoll-mono*:
 $\llbracket A \lesssim C; B \lesssim D \rrbracket \implies A * B \lesssim C * D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cmult-le-mono*:
 $\llbracket K' \text{ le } K; L' \text{ le } L \rrbracket \implies (K' \mid * \mid L') \text{ le } (K \mid * \mid L)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

33.4 Multiplication of finite cardinals is "ordinary" multiplication

lemma *prod-succ-epoll*: $\text{succ}(A) * B \approx B + A * B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cmult-succ-lemma*:
 $\llbracket \text{Ord}(m); \text{Ord}(n) \rrbracket \implies \text{succ}(m) \mid * \mid n = n \mid + \mid (m \mid * \mid n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-cmult-eq-mult*: $\llbracket m: \text{nat}; n: \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies m \mid * \mid n = m \# * n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cmult-2*: $\text{Card}(n) \implies 2 \mid * \mid n = n \mid + \mid n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sum-lepoll-prod*: $2 \lesssim C \implies B + B \lesssim C * B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lepoll-imp-sum-lepoll-prod*: $\llbracket A \lesssim B; 2 \lesssim A \rrbracket \implies A + B \lesssim A * B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

33.5 Infinite Cardinals are Limit Ordinals

lemma *nat-cons-lepoll*: $\text{nat} \lesssim A \implies \text{cons}(u, A) \lesssim A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-cons-epoll*: $\text{nat} \lesssim A \implies \text{cons}(u, A) \approx A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nat-succ-epoll*: $\text{nat} \leq A \implies \text{succ}(A) \approx A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *InfCard-nat*: $\text{InfCard}(\text{nat})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *InfCard-is-Card*: $\text{InfCard}(K) \implies \text{Card}(K)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *InfCard-Un*:
 $\llbracket \text{InfCard}(K); \text{Card}(L) \rrbracket \implies \text{InfCard}(K \text{ Un } L)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *InfCard-is-Limit*: $\text{InfCard}(K) \implies \text{Limit}(K)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ordermap-epoll-pred*:
 $\llbracket \text{well-ord}(A, r); x:A \rrbracket \implies \text{ordermap}(A, r) \text{ ' } x \approx \text{Order.pred}(A, x, r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

33.5.1 Establishing the well-ordering

lemma *csquare-lam-inj*:
 $\text{Ord}(K) \implies (\text{lam } \langle x, y \rangle : K * K. \langle x \text{ Un } y, x, y \rangle) : \text{inj}(K * K, K * K * K)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-csquare*: $\text{Ord}(K) \implies \text{well-ord}(K * K, \text{csquare-rel}(K))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

33.5.2 Characterising initial segments of the well-ordering

lemma *csquareD*:
 $\llbracket \langle \langle x, y \rangle, \langle z, z \rangle \rangle : \text{csquare-rel}(K); x < K; y < K; z < K \rrbracket \implies x \text{ le } z \ \& \ y \text{ le } z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pred-csquare-subset*:

$z < K \implies \text{Order.pred}(K * K, <z, z>, \text{csquare-rel}(K)) \leq \text{succ}(z) * \text{succ}(z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *csquare-ltI*:

$[[x < z; y < z; z < K]] \implies <<x, y>, <z, z>> : \text{csquare-rel}(K)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *csquare-or-eqI*:

$[[x \leq z; y \leq z; z < K]] \implies <<x, y>, <z, z>> : \text{csquare-rel}(K) \mid x = z \ \& \ y = z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

33.5.3 The cardinality of initial segments

lemma *ordermap-z-lt*:

$[[\text{Limit}(K); x < K; y < K; z = \text{succ}(x \text{ Un } y)]] \implies$
 $\text{ordermap}(K * K, \text{csquare-rel}(K)) \text{ ' } <x, y> <$
 $\text{ordermap}(K * K, \text{csquare-rel}(K)) \text{ ' } <z, z>$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ordermap-csquare-le*:

$[[\text{Limit}(K); x < K; y < K; z = \text{succ}(x \text{ Un } y)]]$
 $\implies \mid \text{ordermap}(K * K, \text{csquare-rel}(K)) \text{ ' } <x, y> \mid \leq \mid \text{succ}(z) \mid * \mid \text{succ}(z) \mid$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ordertype-csquare-le*:

$[[\text{InfCard}(K); \text{ALL } y:K. \text{InfCard}(y) \dashrightarrow y * y = y]]$
 $\implies \text{ordertype}(K * K, \text{csquare-rel}(K)) \leq K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *InfCard-csquare-eq*: $\text{InfCard}(K) \implies K * K = K$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *well-ord-InfCard-square-eq*:

$[[\text{well-ord}(A, r); \text{InfCard}(|A|)]] \implies A * A \approx A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *InfCard-square-eqpoll*: $\text{InfCard}(K) \implies K \times K \approx K$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Inf-Card-is-InfCard*: $[[\sim \text{Finite}(i); \text{Card}(i)]] \implies \text{InfCard}(i)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

33.5.4 Toward's Kunen's Corollary 10.13 (1)

lemma *InfCard-le-cmult-eq*: $[[\text{InfCard}(K); L \leq K; 0 < L]] \implies K \mid * \mid L = K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *InfCard-cmult-eq*: $[[\text{InfCard}(K); \text{InfCard}(L)]] \implies K \mid * \mid L = K \cup_n L$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *InfCard-cdouble-eq*: $\text{InfCard}(K) \implies K \mid + \mid K = K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *InfCard-le-cadd-eq*: $[[\text{InfCard}(K); L \leq K]] \implies K \mid + \mid L = K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *InfCard-cadd-eq*: $[[\text{InfCard}(K); \text{InfCard}(L)]] \implies K \mid + \mid L = K \cup_n L$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

33.6 For Every Cardinal Number There Exists A Greater One

*text** This result is Kunen's Theorem 10.16, which would be trivial using AC **lemma**
Ord-jump-cardinal: $\text{Ord}(\text{jump-cardinal}(K))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *jump-cardinal-iff*:
 $i : \text{jump-cardinal}(K) < - >$
 $(\exists X \ r \ X. r \leq K * K \ \& \ X \leq K \ \& \ \text{well-ord}(X, r) \ \& \ i = \text{ordertype}(X, r))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *K-lt-jump-cardinal*: $\text{Ord}(K) \implies K < \text{jump-cardinal}(K)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Card-jump-cardinal-lemma*:
 $[[\text{well-ord}(X, r); r \leq K * K; X \leq K;$
 $f : \text{bij}(\text{ordertype}(X, r), \text{jump-cardinal}(K))]]$
 $\implies \text{jump-cardinal}(K) : \text{jump-cardinal}(K)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Card-jump-cardinal*: $\text{Card}(\text{jump-cardinal}(K))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

33.7 Basic Properties of Successor Cardinals

lemma *csucc-basic*: $\text{Ord}(K) \implies \text{Card}(\text{csucc}(K)) \ \& \ K < \text{csucc}(K)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $Card-csucc = csucc-basic$ [*THEN conjunct1, standard*]

lemmas $lt-csucc = csucc-basic$ [*THEN conjunct2, standard*]

lemma $Ord-0-lt-csucc$: $Ord(K) ==> 0 < csucc(K)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $csucc-le$: $[| Card(L); K < L |] ==> csucc(K) le L$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lt-csucc-iff$: $[| Ord(i); Card(K) |] ==> i < csucc(K) <-> |i| le K$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Card-lt-csucc-iff$:

$[| Card(K'); Card(K) |] ==> K' < csucc(K) <-> K' le K$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $InfCard-csucc$: $InfCard(K) ==> InfCard(csucc(K))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

33.7.1 Removing elements from a finite set decreases its cardinality

lemma $Fin-imp-not-cons-lepoll$: $A: Fin(U) ==> x \sim : A \dashrightarrow \sim cons(x, A) \lesssim A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Finite-imp-cardinal-cons$ [*simp*]:

$[| Finite(A); a \sim : A |] ==> |cons(a, A)| = succ(|A|)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Finite-imp-succ-cardinal-Diff$:

$[| Finite(A); a : A |] ==> succ(|A - \{a\}|) = |A|$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Finite-imp-cardinal-Diff$: $[| Finite(A); a : A |] ==> |A - \{a\}| < |A|$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Finite-cardinal-in-nat$ [*simp*]: $Finite(A) ==> |A| : nat$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $card-Un-Int$:

$[| Finite(A); Finite(B) |] ==> |A| \# + |B| = |A \ Un \ B| \# + |A \ Int \ B|$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $card-Un-disjoint$:

$[| Finite(A); Finite(B); A \ Int \ B = 0 |] ==> |A \ Un \ B| = |A| \# + |B|$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *card-partition* [rule-format]:

$Finite(C) ==>$
 $Finite(\bigcup C) -->$
 $(\forall c \in C. |c| = k) -->$
 $(\forall c1 \in C. \forall c2 \in C. c1 \neq c2 --> c1 \cap c2 = 0) -->$
 $k \#* |C| = |\bigcup C|$

⟨proof⟩

33.7.2 Theorems by Krzysztof Grabczewski, proofs by lcp

lemmas *nat-implies-well-ord* = *nat-into-Ord* [THEN *well-ord-Memrel*, *standard*]

lemma *nat-sum-eqpoll-sum*: $[\mid m:nat; n:nat \mid] ==> m + n \approx m \# + n$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *Ord-subset-natD* [rule-format]: $Ord(i) ==> i \leq nat --> i : nat \mid i=nat$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *Ord-nat-subset-into-Card*: $[\mid Ord(i); i \leq nat \mid] ==> Card(i)$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *Finite-Diff-sing-eq-diff-1*: $[\mid Finite(A); x:A \mid] ==> |A-\{x\}| = |A| \# - 1$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *cardinal-lt-imp-Diff-not-0* [rule-format]:

$Finite(B) ==> ALL A. |B| < |A| --> A - B \sim = 0$

⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩

end

34 Theory Main: Everything Except AC

theory *Main* **imports** *List IntDiv CardinalArith* **begin**

34.1 Iteration of the function F

consts *iterates* :: $[i=>i,i,i] => i \quad ((-\wedge- '(-)) [60,1000,1000] 60)$

primrec

$F^\wedge 0 (x) = x$
 $F^\wedge (succ(n)) (x) = F(F^\wedge n (x))$

definition

iterates-omega :: $[i=>i,i] => i$ **where**
iterates-omega(F,x) == $\bigcup_{n \in \text{nat}. F^n(x)}$

notation (*xsymbols*)

iterates-omega $((-\hat{\omega} \text{ '(-')}) [60,1000] 60)$

notation (*HTML output*)

iterates-omega $((-\hat{\omega} \text{ '(-')}) [60,1000] 60)$

lemma *iterates-triv*:

$[[n \in \text{nat}; F(x) = x]] ==> F^n(x) = x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iterates-type* [*TC*]:

$[[n : \text{nat}; a : A; !!x. x : A ==> F(x) : A]]$
 $==> F^n(a) : A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iterates-omega-triv*:

$F(x) = x ==> F^\omega(x) = x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Ord-iterates* [*simp*]:

$[[n \in \text{nat}; !!i. \text{Ord}(i) ==> \text{Ord}(F(i)); \text{Ord}(x)]]$
 $==> \text{Ord}(F^n(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iterates-commute*: $n \in \text{nat} ==> F(F^n(x)) = F^n(F(x))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

34.2 Transfinite Recursion

Transfinite recursion for definitions based on the three cases of ordinals

definition

transrec3 :: $[i, i, [i,i] => i, [i,i] => i] => i$ **where**
transrec3(k, a, b, c) ==
transrec($k, \lambda x r.$
 if $x=0$ then a
 else if *Limit*(x) then $c(x, \lambda y \in x. r'y$)
 else $b(\text{Arith.pred}(x), r \text{ 'Arith.pred}(x))$)

lemma *transrec3-0* [*simp*]: *transrec3*($0,a,b,c$) = a

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *transrec3-succ* [*simp*]:

transrec3(*succ*(i), a,b,c) = $b(i, \text{transrec3}(i,a,b,c))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *transrec3-Limit*:

Limit(i) ==>

$transrec3(i,a,b,c) = c(i, \lambda j \in i. transrec3(j,a,b,c))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

end

35 The Axiom of Choice

theory AC imports Main begin

This definition comes from Halmos (1960), page 59.

axiomatization where

$AC: [\mid a: A; \mid \mid x. x:A ==> (EX y. y:B(x)) \mid] ==> EX z. z : Pi(A,B)$

lemma AC-Pi: $[\mid \mid x. x \in A ==> (\exists y. y \in B(x)) \mid] ==> \exists z. z \in Pi(A,B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma AC-ball-Pi: $\forall x \in A. \exists y. y \in B(x) ==> \exists y. y \in Pi(A,B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma AC-Pi-Pow: $\exists f. f \in (\Pi X \in Pow(C)-\{0\}. X)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma AC-func:

$[\mid \mid x. x \in A ==> (\exists y. y \in x) \mid] ==> \exists f \in A \rightarrow Union(A). \forall x \in A. f'x \in x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma non-empty-family: $[\mid 0 \notin A; \mid x \in A \mid] ==> \exists y. y \in x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma AC-func0: $0 \notin A ==> \exists f \in A \rightarrow Union(A). \forall x \in A. f'x \in x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma AC-func-Pow: $\exists f \in (Pow(C)-\{0\}) \rightarrow C. \forall x \in Pow(C)-\{0\}. f'x \in x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma AC-Pi0: $0 \notin A ==> \exists f. f \in (\Pi x \in A. x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

36 Zorn's Lemma

theory *Zorn* **imports** *OrderArith AC Inductive* **begin**

Based upon the unpublished article “Towards the Mechanization of the Proofs of Some Classical Theorems of Set Theory,” by Abrial and Laffitte.

definition

Subset-rel :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
Subset-rel(A) == $\{z \in A * A . \exists x y. z = \langle x, y \rangle \ \& \ x \leq y \ \& \ x \neq y\}$

definition

chain :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
chain(A) == $\{F \in Pow(A). \forall X \in F. \forall Y \in F. X \leq Y \mid Y \leq X\}$

definition

super :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
super(A, c) == $\{d \in chain(A). c \leq d \ \& \ c \neq d\}$

definition

maxchain :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
maxchain(A) == $\{c \in chain(A). super(A, c) = \emptyset\}$

definition

increasing :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
increasing(A) == $\{f \in Pow(A) \rightarrow Pow(A). \forall x. x \leq A \rightarrow x \leq f'x\}$

Lemma for the inductive definition below

lemma *Union-in-Pow*: $Y \in Pow(Pow(A)) \Rightarrow Union(Y) \in Pow(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

We could make the inductive definition conditional on $next \in increasing(S)$ but instead we make this a side-condition of an introduction rule. Thus the induction rule lets us assume that condition! Many inductive proofs are therefore unconditional.

consts

TFin :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$

inductive

domains $TFin(S, next) \leq Pow(S)$

intros

nextI: $[[x \in TFin(S, next); next \in increasing(S)]]$
 $\Rightarrow next'x \in TFin(S, next)$

Pow-UnionI: $Y \in Pow(TFin(S, next)) \Rightarrow Union(Y) \in TFin(S, next)$

monos *Pow-mono*

con-defs *increasing-def*

type-intros *CollectD1 [THEN apply-funtype] Union-in-Pow*

36.1 Mathematical Preamble

lemma *Union-lemma0*: $(\forall x \in C. x \leq A \mid B \leq x) \implies Union(C) \leq A \mid B \leq Union(C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Inter-lemma0*:

$[\mid c \in C; \forall x \in C. A \leq x \mid x \leq B \mid] \implies A \leq Inter(C) \mid Inter(C) \leq B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

36.2 The Transfinite Construction

lemma *increasingD1*: $f \in increasing(A) \implies f \in Pow(A) \rightarrow Pow(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *increasingD2*: $[\mid f \in increasing(A); x \leq A \mid] \implies x \leq f'x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *TFin-UnionI* = *PowI* [THEN *TFin.Pow-UnionI*, standard]

lemmas *TFin-is-subset* = *TFin.dom-subset* [THEN *subsetD*, THEN *PowD*, standard]

Structural induction on *TFin*(*S*, *next*)

lemma *TFin-induct*:

$[\mid n \in TFin(S, next);$
 $\quad !!x. [\mid x \in TFin(S, next); P(x); next \in increasing(S) \mid] \implies P(next'x);$
 $\quad !!Y. [\mid Y \leq TFin(S, next); \forall y \in Y. P(y) \mid] \implies P(Union(Y))$
 $\mid] \implies P(n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

36.3 Some Properties of the Transfinite Construction

lemmas *increasing-trans* = *subset-trans* [*OF* - *increasingD2*,
OF - - *TFin-is-subset*]

Lemma 1 of section 3.1

lemma *TFin-linear-lemma1*:

$[\mid n \in TFin(S, next); m \in TFin(S, next);$
 $\quad \forall x \in TFin(S, next). x \leq m \dashv\rightarrow x = m \mid next'x \leq m \mid]$
 $\implies n \leq m \mid next'm \leq n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Lemma 2 of section 3.2. Interesting in its own right! Requires *next* $\in increasing(S)$ in the second induction step.

lemma *TFin-linear-lemma2*:

$[\mid m \in TFin(S, next); next \in increasing(S) \mid]$
 $\implies \forall n \in TFin(S, next). n \leq m \dashv\rightarrow n = m \mid next'n \leq m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

a more convenient form for Lemma 2

lemma *TFin-subsetD*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [| n \leq m; m \in TFin(S, next); n \in TFin(S, next); next \in increasing(S) |] \\ & \implies n = m \mid next'n \leq m \end{aligned}$$

<proof>

Consequences from section 3.3 – Property 3.2, the ordering is total

lemma *TFin-subset-linear*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [| m \in TFin(S, next); n \in TFin(S, next); next \in increasing(S) |] \\ & \implies n \leq m \mid m \leq n \end{aligned}$$

<proof>

Lemma 3 of section 3.3

lemma *equal-next-upper*:

$$[| n \in TFin(S, next); m \in TFin(S, next); m = next'm |] \implies n \leq m$$

<proof>

Property 3.3 of section 3.3

lemma *equal-next-Union*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [| m \in TFin(S, next); next \in increasing(S) |] \\ & \implies m = next'm \iff m = Union(TFin(S, next)) \end{aligned}$$

<proof>

36.4 Hausdorff's Theorem: Every Set Contains a Maximal Chain

NOTE: We assume the partial ordering is \subseteq , the subset relation!

* Defining the "next" operation for Hausdorff's Theorem *

lemma *chain-subset-Pow*: $chain(A) \leq Pow(A)$

<proof>

lemma *super-subset-chain*: $super(A, c) \leq chain(A)$

<proof>

lemma *maxchain-subset-chain*: $maxchain(A) \leq chain(A)$

<proof>

lemma *choice-super*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [| ch \in (\Pi X \in Pow(chain(S)) - \{0\}. X); X \in chain(S); X \notin maxchain(S) |] \\ & \implies ch \text{ ' } super(S, X) \in super(S, X) \end{aligned}$$

<proof>

lemma *choice-not-equals*:

$$\begin{aligned} & [| ch \in (\Pi X \in Pow(chain(S)) - \{0\}. X); X \in chain(S); X \notin maxchain(S) |] \\ & \implies ch \text{ ' } super(S, X) \neq X \end{aligned}$$

<proof>

This justifies Definition 4.4

lemma *Hausdorff-next-exists*:

$$\begin{aligned} ch \in (\Pi X \in Pow(chain(S)) - \{0\}. X) ==> \\ \exists next \in increasing(S). \forall X \in Pow(S). \\ next'X = if(X \in chain(S) - maxchain(S), ch'super(S,X), X) \end{aligned}$$

<proof>

Lemma 4

lemma *TFin-chain-lemma4*:

$$\begin{aligned} [| c \in TFin(S,next); \\ ch \in (\Pi X \in Pow(chain(S)) - \{0\}. X); \\ next \in increasing(S); \\ \forall X \in Pow(S). next'X = \\ if(X \in chain(S) - maxchain(S), ch'super(S,X), X) |] \\ ==> c \in chain(S) \end{aligned}$$

<proof>

theorem *Hausdorff*: $\exists c. c \in maxchain(S)$

<proof>

36.5 Zorn's Lemma: If All Chains in S Have Upper Bounds In S, then S contains a Maximal Element

Used in the proof of Zorn's Lemma

lemma *chain-extend*:

$$[| c \in chain(A); z \in A; \forall x \in c. x \leq z |] ==> cons(z,c) \in chain(A)$$

<proof>

lemma *Zorn*: $\forall c \in chain(S). Union(c) \in S ==> \exists y \in S. \forall z \in S. y \leq z \rightarrow y=z$

<proof>

36.6 Zermelo's Theorem: Every Set can be Well-Ordered

Lemma 5

lemma *TFin-well-lemma5*:

$$\begin{aligned} [| n \in TFin(S,next); Z \leq TFin(S,next); z:Z; \sim Inter(Z) \in Z |] \\ ==> \forall m \in Z. n \leq m \end{aligned}$$

<proof>

Well-ordering of $TFin(S, next)$

lemma *well-ord-TFin-lemma*: $[| Z \leq TFin(S,next); z \in Z |] ==> Inter(Z) \in Z$

<proof>

This theorem just packages the previous result

lemma *well-ord-TFin*:

$next \in increasing(S)$
 $\implies well_ord(TFin(S,next), Subset_rel(TFin(S,next)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

* Defining the "next" operation for Zermelo's Theorem *

lemma *choice-Diff*:

$[| ch \in (\Pi X \in Pow(S) - \{0\}. X); X \subseteq S; X \neq S |] \implies ch'(S-X) \in S-X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

This justifies Definition 6.1

lemma *Zermelo-next-exists*:

$ch \in (\Pi X \in Pow(S) - \{0\}. X) \implies$
 $\exists next \in increasing(S). \forall X \in Pow(S).$
 $next'X = (if X=S then S else cons(ch'(S-X), X))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The construction of the injection

lemma *choice-imp-injection*:

$[| ch \in (\Pi X \in Pow(S) - \{0\}. X);$
 $next \in increasing(S);$
 $\forall X \in Pow(S). next'X = if(X=S, S, cons(ch'(S-X), X)) |]$
 $\implies (\lambda x \in S. Union(\{y \in TFin(S,next). x \notin y\}))$
 $\in inj(S, TFin(S,next) - \{S\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The wellordering theorem

theorem *AC-well-ord*: $\exists r. well_ord(S,r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

37 Cardinal Arithmetic Using AC

theory *Cardinal-AC* **imports** *CardinalArith Zorn* **begin**

37.1 Strengthened Forms of Existing Theorems on Cardinals

lemma *cardinal-epoll*: $|A| \text{ eqpoll } A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The theorem $||A|| = |A|$

lemmas *cardinal-idem* = *cardinal-epoll* [*THEN* *cardinal-cong*, *standard*, *simp*]

lemma *cardinal-eqE*: $|X| = |Y| \implies X \text{ eqpoll } Y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cardinal-epoll-iff*: $|X| = |Y| \leftrightarrow X \text{ epoll } Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cardinal-disjoint-Un*:
 $[|A|=|B|; |C|=|D|; A \text{ Int } C = 0; B \text{ Int } D = 0] \\ \implies |A \text{ Un } C| = |B \text{ Un } D|$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lepoll-imp-Card-le*: $A \text{ lepoll } B \implies |A| \text{ le } |B|$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cadd-assoc*: $(i \mid + \mid j) \mid + \mid k = i \mid + \mid (j \mid + \mid k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cmult-assoc*: $(i \mid * \mid j) \mid * \mid k = i \mid * \mid (j \mid * \mid k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cadd-cmult-distrib*: $(i \mid + \mid j) \mid * \mid k = (i \mid * \mid k) \mid + \mid (j \mid * \mid k)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *InfCard-square-eq*: $\text{InfCard}(|A|) \implies A * A \text{ epoll } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

37.2 The relationship between cardinality and le-pollence

lemma *Card-le-imp-lepoll*: $|A| \text{ le } |B| \implies A \text{ lepoll } B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *le-Card-iff*: $\text{Card}(K) \implies |A| \text{ le } K \leftrightarrow A \text{ lepoll } K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cardinal-0-iff-0* [simp]: $|A| = 0 \leftrightarrow A = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cardinal-lt-iff-lesspoll*: $\text{Ord}(i) \implies i < |A| \leftrightarrow i \text{ lesspoll } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cardinal-le-imp-lepoll*: $i \leq |A| \implies i \lesssim A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

37.3 Other Applications of AC

lemma *surj-implies-inj*: $f: \text{surj}(X, Y) \implies \exists X \text{ g. } g: \text{inj}(Y, X)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *surj-implies-cardinal-le*: $f: \text{surj}(X, Y) \implies |Y| \text{ le } |X|$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cardinal-UN-le*:

$[| \text{InfCard}(K); \text{ ALL } i:K. |X(i)| \text{ le } K |] ==> |\bigcup i \in K. X(i)| \text{ le } K$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cardinal-UN-lt-csucc*:

$[| \text{InfCard}(K); \text{ ALL } i:K. |X(i)| < \text{csucc}(K) |]$
 $==> |\bigcup i \in K. X(i)| < \text{csucc}(K)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cardinal-UN-Ord-lt-csucc*:

$[| \text{InfCard}(K); \text{ ALL } i:K. j(i) < \text{csucc}(K) |]$
 $==> (\bigcup i \in K. j(i)) < \text{csucc}(K)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inj-UN-subset*:

$[| f: \text{inj}(A,B); a:A |] ==>$
 $(\bigcup x \in A. C(x)) \leq (\bigcup y \in B. C(\text{if } y: \text{range}(f) \text{ then } \text{converse}(f) 'y \text{ else } a))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *le-UN-Ord-lt-csucc*:

$[| \text{InfCard}(K); |W| \text{ le } K; \text{ ALL } w:W. j(w) < \text{csucc}(K) |]$
 $==> (\bigcup w \in W. j(w)) < \text{csucc}(K)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

end

38 Infinite-Branching Datatype Definitions

theory *InfDatatype* **imports** *Datatype Univ Finite Cardinal-AC* **begin**

lemmas *fun-Limit-VfromE* =

Limit-VfromE [*OF apply-funtype InfCard-csucc* [*THEN InfCard-is-Limit*]]

lemma *fun-Vcsucc-lemma*:

$[| f: D \rightarrow V\text{from}(A, \text{csucc}(K)); |D| \text{ le } K; \text{ InfCard}(K) |]$
 $==> \text{EX } j. f: D \rightarrow V\text{from}(A, j) \ \& \ j < \text{csucc}(K)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subset-Vsucc*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket D \leq \text{Vfrom}(A, \text{csucc}(K)); |D| \leq K; \text{InfCard}(K) \rrbracket \\ & \implies \exists x. D \leq \text{Vfrom}(A, x) \ \& \ x < \text{csucc}(K) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *fun-Vsucc*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket |D| \leq K; \text{InfCard}(K); D \leq \text{Vfrom}(A, \text{csucc}(K)) \rrbracket \implies \\ & D \rightarrow \text{Vfrom}(A, \text{csucc}(K)) \leq \text{Vfrom}(A, \text{csucc}(K)) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *fun-in-Vsucc*:

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket f: D \rightarrow \text{Vfrom}(A, \text{csucc}(K)); |D| \leq K; \text{InfCard}(K); \\ & D \leq \text{Vfrom}(A, \text{csucc}(K)) \rrbracket \\ & \implies f: \text{Vfrom}(A, \text{csucc}(K)) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemmas *fun-in-Vsucc' = fun-in-Vsucc* [OF - - - subsetI]

lemma *Card-fun-Vsucc*:

$$\text{InfCard}(K) \implies K \rightarrow \text{Vfrom}(A, \text{csucc}(K)) \leq \text{Vfrom}(A, \text{csucc}(K))$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Card-fun-in-Vsucc*:

$$\llbracket f: K \rightarrow \text{Vfrom}(A, \text{csucc}(K)); \text{InfCard}(K) \rrbracket \implies f: \text{Vfrom}(A, \text{csucc}(K))$$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Limit-csucc*: $\text{InfCard}(K) \implies \text{Limit}(\text{csucc}(K))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *Pair-in-Vsucc = Pair-in-VLimit* [OF - - Limit-csucc]

lemmas *Inl-in-Vsucc = Inl-in-VLimit* [OF - Limit-csucc]

lemmas *Inr-in-Vsucc = Inr-in-VLimit* [OF - Limit-csucc]

lemmas *zero-in-Vsucc = Limit-csucc* [THEN zero-in-VLimit]

lemmas *nat-into-Vsucc = nat-into-VLimit* [OF - Limit-csucc]

lemmas *InfCard-nat-Un-cardinal = InfCard-Un* [OF InfCard-nat Card-cardinal]

lemmas *le-nat-Un-cardinal =*

Un-upper2-le [OF Ord-nat Card-cardinal [THEN Card-is-Ord]]

lemmas *UN-upper-cardinal = UN-upper* [THEN subset-imp-lepoll, THEN lepoll-imp-Card-le]

```

lemmas Data-Arg-intros =
  SigmaI InlI InrI
  Pair-in-univ Inl-in-univ Inr-in-univ
  zero-in-univ A-into-univ nat-into-univ UnCI

```

```

lemmas inf-datatype-intros =
  InfCard-nat InfCard-nat-Un-cardinal
  Pair-in-Vcsucc Inl-in-Vcsucc Inr-in-Vcsucc
  zero-in-Vcsucc A-into-Vfrom nat-into-Vcsucc
  Card-fun-in-Vcsucc fun-in-Vcsucc' UN-I

```

```

end

```

```

theory Main-ZFC imports Main InfDatatype begin

```

```

end

```